

SIXTH FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME

FP6-045003-WEB-DEP Western Balkans Democratic Participation



ANNEX TO

EXISTING E-PARTICIPATION PRACTICES WITH RELEVANCE TO WEB.DEP

Internal Report	
Project Reference No.	045003
Relevant work package:	Work package 2: Base-line Definition To become part of D 2.1 - Current situation, high -level definition of WEB.DEP Priority areas and stakeholders
Date:	11/06/2007
Author(s):	E. Smith and A. Macintosh, Napier University (ITC)
Document Type:	Annex to internal report (Existing e-Participation Practices with Relevance to WEB.DEP)
Status:	Final
Document ID:	



ANNEX TO EXISTING E-PARTICIPATION PRACTICES WITH RELEVANCE TO WEB.DEP: THE CASE STUDIES

This document forms the annex to the report "Existing E-Participation Practices With Relevance To WEB.DEP¹". It contains the full analysis of the 29 Case Studies on which the report is based.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4	Allerian Control of the Control of t	2
1.	Albanian-Serb Information Exchange Forum (kosovakosovo.com)	
2.	Armenian Forum	
3.	BBC Action Network	
4.	Caithness.org	
5.	Debatepedia	
6.	Deme – Platform for online deliberation	18
7.	Demos: Delphi Online Mediation System	21
8.	e-Community Council	24
9.	e-consultation.org	27
10.	EPA.net East Palo Alto Community Network	30
11.	Funredes Tradauto	33
12.	Global Kids: Newz Crew	37
13.	Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement Review	40
14.	HeadsUp	43
15.	Highland Youth Voice (Your Voice forum)	48
16.	Junior Summit (1998)	53
17.	Law Commission Forum	57
18.	Local issues forums	62
19.	Ministry of Finance Forum - Macedonia	65
20.	Oncom – Online Communities	
21.	Open Government Website Of Mongolia	
22.	Politika.lv	
23.	Reconciling for the future online forum	
24.	Self-Sufficiency Task Force	
25.	Seoul's Cyber Policy Forum	
26.	Slashdot	
27.	Today I Decide (TOM)	
28.	V@W - International Virtual Workshop	
29	Zeno (Dito 2)	101

¹ WEB.DEP project website: http://www.web-dep.eu/



Albanian-Serb Information Exchange Forum (kosovakosovo.com)

1. Title	Albanian-Serb Information Exchange Forum (kosovakosovo.com²)
2. General	http://www.kosovakosovo.com/
description	Internet-based news resource. Forum structured around invited
	contributions.
	Area: Kosovo (and surrounding area)
	Target users: Serbs and Albanians, especially media and journalists.
3. Basis of	Established by
initiative	o Center for Democracy and Reconciliation in Southeast Europe
	(CDRSEE) Non-profit ³
	o Beta Media Center in Belgrade: Private, independent news agency ⁴
	o KosovaLive agency in Pristina: non-profit, independent news
	agency ⁵
	• Supported so far by UNESCO ⁶ , OSCE Belgrade ⁷ and the Friedrich Ebert
	Stiftung ⁸
	• Launched 9 th June, 2004 ⁹
	Long-term objective 10
	"to broaden and strengthen the common ground between Serb and
	Albanian media and journalists as well as the respective societies at
	large. The idea is to help enhance a robust peace process between the
	two communities which will in one other shape or form inevitably take
	place in the immediate future. A public support for it could be enhanced
	through the power of the media."
	anough the perior of the mount.
	Specific project objectives
	"To facilitate and improve dialogue between Albanian and Serb
	journalists and media and their readers;
	To combat stereotypes that Serbs and Albanians have of each other by
	providing informed and reliable news"
	"The above objectives would be achieved through the following time-bound
	project aims:
	To re-establish direct information channels between Albanians and Serbs;
	To improve the quality and accuracy of the news in the Albanian and
	Serb communities in the region and in the diaspora;
	To increase the availability to the public of good quality news on the
	other community;
	To increase the communication and contacts between Albanian and Serb
	journalists;
	To de-polarise the extreme views that Serbs and Albanians have of each
	other through conducting and publishing an opinion poll."
	CDRSEE are involved in a variety of initiatives in South East Europe: ### ### ### ### #### ###############
	"committed to investigating specific ways of enhancing and encouraging social dialogue and building social cohesion". 11
	Social dialogue and building Social corresion .
	Completion/currence: The initiative coope to have some success but does
	Completion/success: The initiative seems to have some success, but does
	not currently have funding to continue.

 $^{^{2}}$ Name made up of Albanian and Serbian names for the region. $^{3}_{\cdot}$ http://www.cdsee.org

⁴ http://www.beta.co.yu/
5 http://www.kosovalive.com/



4. Democracy	Disputed territory (currently semi-autonomous region, legally part of Serbia)
Context	Division between 2 communities (Serb and Albanian)
	Information Provision
5. Participation	
area	Community and trust building
(D) 11 6	• Discourse
6. Direction of	Direction of communication –peer to peer (non-governmental)
communication/	Level of participation - eInforming
level of	
participation	
7. Stage in policy	Not specifically linked to policy life-cycle.
cycle	
8. Stakeholders	Forum owners/managers/staff (including translators)
	CDRSEE and the 2 news agencies that established the initiative
	 Journalists – main target users, forum contributors
	 Leaders and experts – invited to provide articles and statements
	(discussion is a reaction to these)
	 Members of the community (objectives should diffuse through
	community.)
9. Rules of	Registration – not required
engagement	 Authentication - Personal information required to post a comment: full
(owner/provider	name, email address and the comment. Don't know if the email address
and/or end-user)	is verified.
and/or end-user)	
	Privacy statement - not found Conditions of the attack and found
	Conditions of use statement –not found
	Moderation policy – not found
	Disclaimer – "The contents of the www.kosovakosovo.com website is the
	sole responsibility of the Centre for Democracy and Reconciliation,
	KosovaLive and the Medijski Centar Beta, and can in no way be taken to
	reflect the views of the donors for the Albanian Serb Information
	Exchange Forum"
10. Moderation,	"The website was edited one day by KosovaLive in Pristina and the next by
facilitation,	Beta in Belgrade. Being aware of the sensitivity of the issues we would be
content-rating	dealing with, we set up a mechanism whereby the CDRSEE would jump in
	and arbitrate in the case of an editorial disagreement. It gives us great pride
	to say that in 18 months, such arbitration was not sought even once.
	Furthermore, there have been occasions when the two teams worked and
	signed news items together." 12
	No further information about policies or roles found yet.
	Content rating – comments are "reactions" to articles (like a blog-format)
	but no technical support for users to rate each others comments.
11. Accessibility of	No obvious accessibility problems to use website
the tool	 No information about level of experience and skills needed to add
11.0 1001	content or moderate
	 No accessibility statement
12 Languago	 Articles and news available in three languages: Albanian, English and
12. Language	
support	Serbian
10.01	However, no translation of comments (reactions)
13. Channel	Web-based forum
availability	Can receive news headlines by email

⁶ http://www.unesco.org/
7 http://www.osce.org/
8 http://www.fes.de/

 ⁹Press release for launch http://www.cdsee.org/pdf/kkcom_pr.pdf
 10 Objectives quoted from http://www.cdsee.org/project_kosovakosovo.html
 11 http://www.cdsee.org/aboutus_mission.html
 12 http://www.kosovakosovo.com/announcement/



	No information about any other channels
14. Technologies	 Function – forum similar to blog format – Comments follow articles without threading. No information found about technical basis of initiative.
15. Evaluation mechanisms	No information about inbuilt evaluation mechanisms.
16. Further examples	 Offline conferences associated with Kosovakosovo.com "Reconciling for the future online forum" -earlier CDRSEE online initiative with similar objective. Also a case study for this report.
17. Further information	 Further information about the project: http://www.cdsee.org/projects.html A "social research" survey was conducted in the area as part of the wider project. The results of this are combined with articles contributed to the forum (in English, Albanian and Serbian): Kelmend Hapciu, Ljubica Markovic and Nenad Sebek (eds) (2006) "KosovaKosovo.com" Belgrade, Prishtina and Thessaloniki http://www.cdsee.org/pdf/KosovoKosova.pdf



2. Armenian Forum

1. Title	Armenian forum
2. General description	 http://www.forum.am/ Forum hosts online discussion groups or 'communities'. These communities are organised thematically into groups. Bulletin boards, mailboxes, photo galleries and newsletters available. Area: Armenia Target users: individuals and groups
3. Basis of	Include:
initiative	 Launched by Armenia's National Academy of Sciences with support from UNDP (United Nations Development Program) ¹³ and Armenian Ministry of Finance and Economy Launched in 2001 Objective: "We wanted to support the development of information society and democratic governance, through the use of the Internet," ¹⁴ The forum is
	considered a tool for building e-democracy.
	"develop more efficient public administration, enhance public participation, encourage transparency and reduce corruption Armenian public would benefit from an online discussion forum as part of their transition to democratic citizenship." 15
	 Combination of online and offline initiatives (See rules of engagement below) Part of larger UNDP Armenia scheme 16
	 Completion/success The website is still live and in use, having undergone a recent redesign. Coleman and Kaposi's contributors felt that the forum had (so far) been fairly successful. However, participation rates were not very high.
4. Democracy Context	 Armenia moving towards democracy after gaining independence from the Soviet Union in 2001. Concern expressed about lack of transparency from government, electoral fraud (in 2003) and media bias¹⁷. (Elections due May, 2007) Telecoms: Note importance of Armenian Freenet to this initiative ¹⁸
5. Participation area	 Information Provision Community building / Collaborative Environments, Deliberation
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	eInforming possibly eConsulting objective to be eEmpowering - persuade citizens they could participate meaningfully in policy formation

¹³ http://www.undp.org/

Armenian chapter available here:

http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/UNTC/UNPAN018496.pdf

¹⁴ Artashes Darbinyan, Project Coordinator for UNDP's ICT for Development in UNDP in "Armenia" in Amy Mahan and Yuri Misnikov (eds) "How to Build Open Information Societies (A Collection of Best Practices and Know-How)"; 2004, UNDP

¹⁵ Coleman and Kaposi (2006) p83

¹⁶ http://www.undp.am/ and http://www.ict.am/

¹⁷ Coleman and Kaposi (2006) p83

¹⁸ http://www.freenet.am/ The Armenian Freenet (ArmFN) was created in the framework of UNDP Armenia Internet Project in 1997. The Internet Project aims to support the development of Internet and information technologies in Armenia. Following the best traditions of the Internet, the ArmFN provides free services to individuals, as well as non-profit, education and research organisations, Government and other institutions. The most popular service provided by the Armenian Freenet is free email accounts.



7. Stage in	(1) agenda setting
policy cycle	And (2) policy formulation
8. Stakeholders	 Project team (UNDP Armenia and the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Armenia) Forum hosts – initiate and moderate (manage) communities Members of offline groups (and experts) were targeted to start/join the online thematic communities¹⁹ "Experts" (in the themes) initially chosen/trained as facilitators (More about facilitators' roles below)
9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or end- user)	 To start a community – meet with team (vetted for seriousness) and agree to facilitate Read only – open to anyone To contribute to the discussion: need to register (don't know what details are required) Rules of engagement developed in offline workshops –see below
10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	 Facilitators were recruited as subject experts, then trained in facilitation. When someone asks to set up a topic-based group (community) they take on the role of facilitator and manager –part of their role is to recruit members. To educate participants in understanding the rules of engagement for online communities, in February 2001 Forum organised a workshop on community building, led by a visiting professor at the Armenian School of Public Administration who was supported by the US Civic Education Project. Representatives of prospective online communities worked together at this workshop to brainstorm and "interactively discuss" the goals, means, and overall importance of online communities.
11. Accessibility of the tool	 Designers worked with potential users to design the tool. Do not have information about accessibility WAI conformance etc (though notice possibly problematic use of JavaScript menus on the home page)
12. Language support	 The forum is only available in Armenian Note that the National Academy of Sciences are working with the UN University in Tokyo on suitable Universal Networking Language ²¹resources.
13. Channel availability	Forums combine online and offline.Mailboxes are mentioned
14. Technologies	Tool design based on work with offline groups "simulating" an online forum. Various online tools adjusted to match needs of "prospective users". Note – based on idea of thematic communities Initial website included:
	 a bulletin board administrative tools (for which facilitators to register new members and manage discussion threads member mailboxes and web pages links to useful online resources newsletters a help section Later additions: Galleries (members can upload pictures and photos to their communities to illustrate discussions) Documents - facilitators of the communities can place lengthy papers such as
	 draft laws for downloading by community members Voting' option: enables participants to reach decisions on issues by voting

¹⁹ Coleman and Kaposi, 2006, p 86 20 Coleman and Kaposi, 2006, p 86 21 http://www.undl.org/



15. Evaluation	The information provided for registration provides some information for evaluation.
mechanisms	Most members seem to be also registered with (provided with access by) Freenet
16. Further examples	Related initiatives: • See Mahan and Misnikov (2004) ²² including Freenet and the National e-
	Governance System for Territorial Administration of Armenia (started 2002)
17. Further information	 Coleman, S., Kaposi, I. (2006); "New democracies, new media, what's new? A study of e-participation projects in third-wave democracies" http://www.ega.ee/handbook/# Toc132047448 Artashes Darbinyan, Project Coordinator for UNDP's ICT for Development in UNDP in "Armenia" in Amy Mahan and Yuri Misnikov (eds) "How to Build Open Information Societies (A Collection of Best Practices and Know-How) "; 2004, UNDP (Referenced here as Mahan and Misnikov, 2004)

²² Armenian chapter: http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/UNTC/UNPAN018496.pdf



BBC Action Network 3.

1. Title	BBC Action Network ²³
2. General description	 http://www.bbc.co.uk/dna/actionnetwork/ Open online forum, run by the BBC, for people to influence issues they care about. Most of the content is written by the public and reflects their views. Citizens can raise issues/campaigns called 'networks'. These can be searched by issue or locality. Citizens can comment on/join each other's campaigns. Designed to have a strong relationship with the real world. Area: UK Target users: UK public
3. Basis of initiative	 Initiated by staff at the BBC ²⁴ - public UK media organisation In 2003 (then called iCan) Objectives: "A survey following the general election in 2001 showed that though people are less interested in party politics, they are still passionate about individual issues. Action Network was launched to help people discuss these issues and get involved in their local communities through their individual concerns. Action Network is politics with a small 'p': local campaigns and pressure groups having a say in decisions that affect their neighbourhood." ²⁵ Redesign launched June 2005 under new name: BBC Action Network Winner of 2005 "Top 10 Who Are Changing the World of Internet and Politics" However, the network does not seem to be particularly busy, given its position within the bbc website. Action Network is designed to be strongly related to (encourage, publicise and provide web space for) offline initiatives: "our aim is to encourage people to take action in the real world." ²⁷ Importance of presence on BBC website (publicity, link to news and current affairs)
4. Democracy Context	The UK is a parliamentary democracy with a constitutional monarchy. However, concern has been expressed at low levels of political participation – e.g. as evidenced by falling turnouts at elections. ²⁸ Telecoms: 57% of UK households had Internet access from home in 2006 ²⁹ though figures for digital TV and mobile phones are higher ³⁰
5. Participation area	Information ProvisionCommunity buildingCampaigning

²³ Formerly iCan

²⁴ http://www.bbc.co.uk/

http://www.bbc.co.uk/dna/actionnetwork/whatisactionnetwork#4 see also Kevill (2003) "Why the BBC has invested in iCan" http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/technology/3239501.stm

http://www.politicsonline.com/content/main/specialreports/2005/top10 2005/
 Email from Amber Rose, Senior Broadcast Journalist, BBC News Interactive

²⁸ E.g. Electoral Commission and the Hansard Society (2003); "Audit of Political Engagement 3"; Electoral Commission, London.

http://www.electoralcommission.org.uk/files/dms/Auditofpoliticalengagement3-fullreport_20006-14653__E__N__S__W__.pdf

²⁹ http://www.statistics.gov.uk/CCI/nugget.asp?ID=8

³⁰ http://www.statistics.gov.uk/CCI/nugget.asp?ID=1710&Pos=6&ColRank=2&Rank=224



6. Direction of	Peer to peer (public)
communication/	Level of participation: eInforming and eCollaborating
level of	Potentially eEmpowering
participation	1 otomiany ozmpoworing
7. Stage in policy	Could be used at any stage in the policy lifecycle as essentially issue-based
cycle	obditable ascalation of stage in the policy incoyers as essentially issue based
8. Stakeholders	BBC staff as technology providers
o. otakonolacis	BBC staff as information providers (some in depth briefs provided)
	Anyone accessing the site on a read only basis
	People who are registered. Registered members can:
	o Post notices on local notice board
	Write articles, guides and case studies for the site
	o Set up Action Network campaigns
	o Register support or opposition to other people's campaigns
	o Add comments on other people's posts
	People who have "campaigns"
9. Rules of	Registration and authentication – users need to provide authentication
engagement	information when they register (user name, password and security
(owner/provider	question)
and/or end-user)	Members are also asked for their real name –this is publicly displayed –
	and their email address (not displayed). The email address needs to be
	verified for the membership to be activated.
	Rights and responsibilities are set for whole bbc website ³¹ . Members
	have to agree to rights and responsibilities as part of registration process.
	These are essentially the same as the bbc website's Terms of Use:
	http://www.bbc.co.uk/terms/
	Plus the Action Network rules
	http://www.bbc.co.uk/dna/actionnetwork/actionnetworkrules
	Privacy Policy (for whole bbc website): http://www.bbc.co.uk/privacy/
10. Moderation,	The network is moderated by its staff.
facilitation,	Users can complain using a "complain" link provided near each comment.
content-rating	Users can also correct/contact each other by posting public messages or
January 1	private messages across the network.
11. Accessibility of	The bbc website is well-regarded in terms of accessibility and has extra
the tool	information and tools to help http://www.bbc.co.uk/accessibility/
12. Language	Action Network may only be used in English or Welsh (though other parts)
support	of the bbc website are available in up to 33 languages 33)
13. Channel	RSS feed
availability	Email newsletter
14. Technologies	The website is organised around "campaigns". These are started by
, and the second	members (i.e. registered users) and organised like blogs. Campaigns
	have a number of different sections that allow owners to display updates,
	campaign aims, contact details and links. Static information like the
	campaign's aim is displayed on the right hand side of the page. On the
	left are articles (including articles about events). Other visitors comment
	on these like a blog.
	The website also supports "Noticeboards": a place to ask questions or
	suggest ideas. Posting a notice is a way to highlight a concern about an
	issue and find out if other people have similar feelings about it.
	Noticeboards and campaigns are indexed in 2 ways -by locality and

³¹ See http://www.bbc.co.uk/signon/sso_popups/rights.shtml?/icanimages/sso_resources
32 The bbc also provide a 3rd-party accessibility study of their website though this is from 2002, so can tell us little about the current design of the Action Network: System Concepts Ltd (2002) "Accessibility study of BBCi: Problems faced by users with disabilities"

http://www.bbc.co.uk/commissioning/newmedia/pdf/BBCi_Accessibility_Study_7-10-02.pdf

³³ http://www.bbc.co.uk/worldservice/languages/



	 issue. Directory pages collect all the different types of posts for an issue or place. On each one you'll find the two most recent notices and most recently updated campaigns and links to see a full list of each. There are also upcoming events, recent articles and organisations and relevant links. You can also see a list of members signed up for a chosen locality (who have agreed for their names to be published in this way.) The website also offers facilities for members to send messages to each other without revealing their email addresses via an "Action Network Message" The system used is called DNA. It's an in house (bbc) platform, which allows users to add and create content. It's used across the BBC for websites that have user generated content.
15. Evaluation mechanisms	In order to join the network people need to supply a minimum of demographic data: their name, email address and location.
16. Further examples	Part of one of the UK's busiest websites - http://www.bbc.co.uk/ - though not currently promoted on it (no home page link)
17. Further information	An external evaluation is due for publication soon, through ICELE ³⁴

³⁴ International Centre of Excellence for Local eDemocracy http://www.icele.org/



Caithness.org 4.

1. Title	Caithness.org
2. General	http://www.caithness.org/
description	Forums: http://forum.caithness.org/
'	Community website, with various notice boards (local and community)
	news, tourism, commerce, local groups and entertainments), directory
	services and forums
	 Caithness, Scotland – most northerly county in mainland Britain; about
	700 square miles; population of around 27,500.
	 The website is aimed at everyone with an interest in the area
3. Basis of	The project was started in 1999 by a local citizen: Bill Fernie
initiative	Objective:
IIIIIalive	"I started the web site to try to help the area by drawing together in one
	place much of the information that was available for the voluntary and
	charitable sector. I saw very quickly that there were many other possibilities
	for promoting the area in new ways - combining social information and the
	data that anyone making a trip to the area might need. I looked at what was
	popular as the site developed and extended it in many directions as they
	suggested themselves to me." 35
	The initiator decided against applying for any sort of funding in order to
	run the website as self-supporting. However, the site has never made a
	profit.
	• In 2001 the website won Yell.com's Best Community Site award and Web
	Site Of The Year ³⁶ .
	The website is one of the UK's most successful local community websites
	 receiving over 1million hits per day during busy periods.³⁷
	• "the forum alone on the web site gets over 4500 individual visitors a day
	and the numbers continue to rise. Hit rate in our forum alone in May
	2007 was 6,656,679. The site as whole I am estimating will pass
	100,000,000 hits for the year 2007." ³⁸
4. Democracy	See BBC Action network study for UK context.
Context	However, the area (Highland region) has a reputation for above average
	democratic activity. 39
5. Participation	Note that e-democracy was not one of the initial aims of the website, but it
area	is used by local people and politicians for the following: Information
	Provision, Community building, Consultation, Campaigning, Deliberation
6. Direction of	Direction of communication – Mostly peer to peer
communication/	Open to citizens, candidate, councillors and members of various parliaments
level of	to use to inform or gather opinion.
participation	eInforming, eConsulting, eCollaborating, eEmpowering
7. Stage in policy	Not aimed at policy formation, but could be used at any stage in the policy
cycle	lifecycle.
8. Stakeholders	 Staff (has previously had staff, but currently only Bill is paid staff)
o. otakonolacio	Forum moderators (mostly volunteers)
	 People who contribute news or add notices about events
	·
	People who use one of the services – e.g. to buy or sell Forums, registered users, guests (can only read)
	Forums: registered users, guests (can only read)

35 Rural Gateway Interview with Bill Fernie, 11th February, 2004
http://www.ruralgateway.org.uk/cgi-bin/item.cgi?id=458&d=11&h=24&u=46&dateformat=%o-%b-%h
36 http://www.caithness.org/fpb/october/yellawards/index.htm
37 http://www.caithness.org/about.htm
38 Email from Bill Fernie, June 2006

http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/msp/elections/2003/analysis/index.htm

³⁹ See analysis of the 2003 Scottish Parliament election results:



9. Rules of	The following apply enecifically to the forums
	The following apply specifically to the forums.
engagement	• In order to register, visitors are asked to agree to the Forum Rules 40. The
(owner/provider	forum rules include a privacy statement.
and/or end-user)	They are required to give the minimum of personal information – an
	email address ⁴¹ that is verified (also a chosen user-name and password).
	Image verification is used. They may add information about who referred
	them to the site and their local time zone. They opt in or out of receiving
	emails from administrators or other members.
10. Moderation,	Moderators: Moderators oversee specific forums. They generally have the
facilitation,	ability to edit and delete posts, move threads, and perform other
content-rating	manipulations. Becoming a moderator for a specific forum is usually
	rewarded to users who are particularly helpful and knowledgeable in the
	subject of the forum they are moderating. 42
	Registered members can edit or delete their own posts at a later date.
	The website has an extensive moderation policy ⁴³ , with an "infraction
	system" –i.e. the use of virtual red or yellow cards.
	The forum rules are comprehensive, though individual forums may have
	extra specific rules.
	 Members can "rate" threads. You may find a small menu on thread pages
	which allows you to 'rate this thread' with a number between 1-5,
	expressed in "stars". Once enough votes have been cast for the thread
	rating, you may see a set of stars appear with the title of the thread in
	the thread listings. These stars reflect the average vote cast, and can
	allow you to quickly see which threads are worth reading.
	Members can also gain credit by referring other people to the forums.
11 Accessibility of	No specific claims about/problems with usability were found
11. Accessibility of the tool	
the tool	No evidence of special efforts to pass compliance tests (e.g. no doc type
10.1	declaration, no WAI - or similar - compliance sign ⁴⁴)
12. Language	The website (including forums) seems to be only available in English
support	However, the underlying technology (vBulletin) is used to support forums
	in a variety of languages and scripts. 45
13. Channel	News and forums are available as RSS feeds
availability	Email notification available for threads you subscribe to
14. Technologies	Forums:
	Forums work like fairly traditional bulletin boards with themes, top level
	comments and threads. These can be viewed in a variety of modes:
	o Linear Mode (Oldest First) - In this mode, posts are displayed
	chronologically from oldest to newest. Posts are shown in a flat
	mode so that many posts can be viewed simultaneously.
	o Linear Mode (Newest First) - This is the same as the above mode,
	except that posts are ordered in an opposite way (newest first).
	o Threaded Mode - In this mode, a tree is shown along with every
	post. This tree allows you to see the relationship each post has to
	others, in terms of who responded to whom. Only one post is shown
	at a time.
	 Hybrid Mode - This mode is a mixture of the linear and threaded
	modes. The post tree is displayed like in the threaded mode, but
	multiple posts are displayed simultaneously like in the linear modes.

http://forum.caithness.org/showthread.php?t=13581
http://forum.caithness.org/showthread.php?t=13581
http://forum.caithness.org/showthread.php?t=1275
http://forum.caithness.org/showthread.php?t=1275

http://forum.caithness.org/faq.php?faq=vb_read_and_post#faq_vb_moderator_explain http://forum.caithness.org/showthread.php?t=13581 WAI: Web Accessibility Initiative http://www.w3.org/WAI/ http://www.vbulletin.com/links.php?linkcatid=international



	 Contributors can use a WYSIWYG editor to add emphasis in their posts Users can post polls when they start a new thread 46 and vote in each other's polls. Forums are powered by vBulletin® Version 3.6.4 which works in PHP with mySQL: http://www.vbulletin.com/
15. Evaluation	Very little information is needed to register –the only demo graphic
mechanisms	information is that revealed by a (non-web-based) email address.
	The website publishes its "hits" 47
16. Further	vBulletin is used by a wide variety of groups
examples	e.g. http://www.vbulletin.com/links.php?linkcatid=international
	 A company has come out of Caithness.org -Scorrie Internet Services⁴⁸.
	They build and host websites for commercial and community
	organisations.
17. Further	See "articles about us" and "research on us" left hand side bar of
information	http://www.caithness.org/about.htm
	e.g.
	e.g. Rural Gateway Interview with Bill Fernie, 11th February, 2004
	http://www.ruralgateway.org.uk/cgi-
	bin/item.cgi?id=458&d=11&h=24&u=46&dateformat=%o-%b-%h
	Laura Hamilton Thomson (2001) "Can the creation of Community The community of the
	Networks enhance social capital in rural Scotland?". Dissertation
	submitted in part fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of BA
	Hons Sociology and Social Policy University of Stirling
	http://www.caithness.org/laurathompson/index.htm
	Roger Seeney (2001) "Digital Communities" as part of an MSc Information Studies http://www.geithness.org/geograph/index.htm
	Information Studies http://www.caithness.org/research/index.htm

http://forum.caithness.org/faq.php?faq=vb_read_and_post#faq_vb_poll_explain
 http://www.caithness.org/about.htm
 http://www.scorrie.co.uk/



Debatepedia 5.

1. Title	Debatepedia
2. General	http://debatepedia.com/
description	 Enables users to present and organise unique arguments made by third-party sources (e.g. by scholars, experts, leaders) on both sides of a debate. By providing a "logic tree" debate methodology, it enables debates to be organised in the most understandable way. Wiki-based technology. Nominally International, but has so far been mostly taken up by US issues Target user group: Any English speaking Internet user
3. Basis of initiative	 Founded in the summer of 2006 by Georgetown University graduate Brooks Lindsay and Georgetown University under-grad student William Whekowicz. A larger group of students and professors then aided in the development of the idea. The main question driving the idea through this formative period was: how can "open-source" technology be applied to debate and analysis. By the winter of 2006, the model for bridging this gap was based on 3 foundational assumptions: That arguments made within the public sphere at specific points in time can be created as facts, presented in an encyclopedia form, and that this can be objectively regulated by Debatepedia "wiki" editors and administrators. That there is a finite number of unique arguments being made in public debates, making it possible to regulate the length of any given debate article under the criteria that all presented arguments be unique. That the split-screen/question/sub-question Debatepedia logic tree is ideal for framing debates, and that it is compatible with "wiki" technology. 49 Aim of tool: for uncovering all of the unique arguments in important public debates and for developing a complete and rational position. 50 A new organisation and tool. Still in the process of setting up an advisory board and looking for funding. 51
4. Democracy Context	Designed to be used internationally.
5. Participation area	Information Provision, Collaborative Environment Note that users cannot add their own opinions.
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	(Note that Debatepedia is not designed as an e-participation tool.) eInforming, eCollaborating
7. Stage in policy cycle	Note that Debatepedia is not designed as an e-participation tool. However it could be used to support any of the following if relevant factual information was added: (1) agenda setting (2) policy formulation (3) decision-making (5) policy evaluation.

⁴⁹ http://debatepedia.com/index.php/Media_Kit 50 http://debatepedia.com/index.php/Debatepedia:About 51 http://debatepedia.com/index.php/Media_Kit



8. Stakeholders	 Registered users – can add facts by editing the wiki or discuss edits Founders People working closely on the project Users with administrative privileges (Users who contribute significantly may get administrative privileges) 3rd parties who are quoted on the site
9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or end-user)	 Registration and authentication To make changes users need to create an account. To do this, they need to provide a username, password and email address and (optionally) their real name. There is no evidence that the email address needs to be verified. Privacy statement makes clear that users' IP addresses are logged.⁵² Conditions of use - see Guiding Principals⁵³ Administrative privileges include: deleting users editing blocked pages blocking pages moving pages Regular users can "rollback" or change another person's edit The software has the ability to route every single edit to an administrator for
10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	approval ⁵⁴ Users can essentially moderate each other by editing each other's contributions. If they are sceptical, but unsure, they can discuss the point on the page's accompanying discussion page.
11. Accessibility of the tool	 No obvious accessibility problems with the technology, though contributors need to follow good practice⁵⁵ Wikis are not difficult to use, but can be intimidating for non-experienced or less confident users.
12. Language support	Help pages are currently only available in English. Content could be added in other languages, but there are no examples of this yet.
13. Channel availability	 Web-based Some use of email: "Enables others to contact you through your user or user_talk page without needing to reveal your identity". 56
14. Technologies	Debatepedia enables "editors" to add content to a "logic tree debate medium": editors initiate debate with a "yes"/"no" question. The "yes" and "no" arguments are then presented down the left and right sides of the page in a split-screen. (See Image ⁵⁷) Users are enabled to break down the main question and it list of pros and cons into sub-questions

http://debatepedia.com/index.php/Debatepedia:Privacy_policy
 http://debatepedia.com/index.php/Debatepedia:About
 Information about administrative rights from email from William Wnekowicz, Debatepedia.com, info@debatepedia.com
 E.g. see Wikipedia http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Accessibility
 http://debatepedia.com/index.php?title=Special:Userlogin&type=signup
 http://debatepedia.com/index.php/Image:Debatepedia_4x6_back.jpg
 http://www.wiki.org/wiki.cgi?WhatIsWiki
 See also http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wiki



	that represent real sub-debates that haven taken place within the larger public debate. Pros and cons (in the form of added 3 rd -party references) are then organised under the sub-questions. • Wiki is a piece of server software that allows users to freely create and edit Web page content using any Web browser. Wiki supports hyperlinks and has a simple text syntax for creating new pages and crosslinks between internal pages on the fly. ⁵⁸ For the user, this feels like a very literal editing a web-page (rather than editing html) ⁵⁹ Users can usually also discuss changes (each page has an accompanying discussion page) • Debatepedia 2007 is Open source wiki Content is available under GNU Free Documentation License 1.2. ⁶⁰
15. Evaluation mechanisms	Changes and visits are tracked by user account and IP address – but beyond this, not much demographic information seems to be stored.
16. Further examples	Related initiative – Wikipedia: http://wikipedia.org/
17. Further information	The initiative is still too new to be evaluated. It would be useful to see who becomes more involved through funding and their proposed advisory Board ⁶¹ "From the description on the site you sent to me, it looks like these countries are looking to set up some sort of wiki. I'd like to let you know that Debatemedia, Inc., the parent company that started Debatepedia.com, is currently in a software development project to create a more user friendly environment. I believe that we can be instrumental in helping you develop this project." —email from William Wnekowicz, Debatepedia.com, info@debatepedia.com

http://www.gnu.org/copyleft/fdl.htmlhttp://debatepedia.com/index.php/Media_Kit



Deme - Platform for online deliberation 6.

1. Title	Deme – Platform for online deliberation.
2. General description	 http://groupspace.org/ Web-based platform for online deliberation (formerly referred to as "POD"). Deme is being developed as an asynchronous environment for groups to meet, discuss, and come to decisions via the World-Wide Web. Deme can either be installed on your own server, or accessed via the free prototype hosting service on Groupspace.org. geographical area – project based in the US but open to groups from anywhere Groups that might find Deme useful include advocacy, service, or civic organizations, trade union groups, neighbourhood/homeowner associations, religious organizations, university groups, social clubs, loose groups of activists, and "online communities" (those whose interaction takes place primarily on the Internet). We especially have in mind small-to-medium sized groups of between 2 and 200 people, who interact outside of the Internet (i.e. in "real life"), and who have some purpose or mission that requires collective decision making. Although it is particularly aimed at civil society groups, government organizations should be able to use it as well. 62
3. Basis of initiative	 Initial project based at Stanford University (US) Partnership for Internet Equity and Community Engagement (PIECE)⁶³ Deme seems to have launched in 2004⁶⁴ Designed to support participation among low income groups (initially in the Palo Alto area) who found it difficult to attend groups which met face to face: "Deme was inspired by participation in and observation of community and nonprofit organizations, grassroots activist groups, neighborhood associations, church committees, university meetings, and labor groups. These groups have different structures, sizes, levels of openness/closedness, and decision making styles, but all share a need to deliberate on decisions and all have face-to-face meetings. [] Despite our aim of providing a tool that many types of groups can use, the Deme project grew out of specific concerns about enhancing participation in deliberative discourse, and about empowering people such as residents of the low-income, multilingual community of East Palo Alto who face many barriers to civic involvement. A report prepared by PIECE researchers⁶⁵ in the summer of 2002 attempted to make the case for the potential of online deliberation to help democratize and build social capital and trust in East Palo Alto. In an academic context, our work generally aligns with the perspective known as "deliberative democracy", which holds that democracy can only be enhanced by tying social decisions to thoughtful, fair, and informed dialogue among stakeholders, rather than through the filtering and manipulation of raw public opinion by power holders. A common theme of participant-observations leading up to the design of Deme was that the need to make group decisions in face-to-face meetings often serves as an excuse for inner-circle, nontransparent decision making at

⁶² http://groupspace.org/wordpress/?page_id=43#who ⁶³ http://piece.stanford.edu/

⁶⁴ Todd Davies, Brendan O'Connor, Alex Angiolillo Cochran and Jonathan J. Effrat (2004) "An Online Environment for Democratic Deliberation: Motivations, Principles, and Design" http://www.stanford.edu/~davies/deme-principles.pdf

⁶⁵ "Community Democracy Online: A Preliminary Report from East Palo Alto" http://www.stanford.edu/~davies/APSA-2002.pdf



many levels in society, ranging from small informal activist organizations to the US Government." 66
Work was funded by various grants and scholarships (based at Stanford) 67
• See the PIECE projects page for related initiatives ⁶⁸ . Note East Palo Alto Network (EPA.net) is also a case study for this report, though the network does not use the Deme software.
See above – democracy limited for low income citizens.
Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative Environments, Campaigning, Deliberation, Discourse, Polling
peer to peer eInforming, eCollaborating, eEmpowering
Note importance of group. Primarily to support decision-making, but collaborative environment could support most stages in the cycle.
 The tool is focused around groups. Groups can also have sub-groups (like a committee meeting on a particular topic). Horizontal communication between groups is also possible.
Groups creator defines privacy
 To create an account users need to supply a user name, password, email address and first and second name. However, some groups may be private/invitation only. Deme supports guest (anonymous) reading and posting, at the discretion
of the group's creator. ⁶⁹ No rules of engagement accompany the groupware –presumably it's up to each group to decide roles and conditions of use.
 Long term commitment to compliance with WAI standards. Cross-browser support is quite good in the current version (PHP Deme), and will continue to be in the next one (ruby on rails deme) which will work in most browsers and all major operating systems - Windows, Mac, Linux, Solaris. Primarily a visual tool. Would require a different approach (especially for discussing a document) for visually impaired users.
 Mostly available in English A version of PHP Deme exists for Catalan, with an interface developed in Catalonia.
PHP Deme is email integrated - you can post and read comments via email 71
 "It provides the functionality of message boards and email lists for discussion, integrated with tools for collaborative writing, item-structured and document-centered commentary, straw polling and decision making, and storing and displaying group information."

⁶⁶ http://groupspace.org/wordpress/?page_id=43#agenda
⁶⁷ http://www.groupspace.org/wordpress/?page_id=13

⁶⁸ http://piece.stanford.edu/projects.html

^{69 &}quot;How secure is deme?" http://groupspace.org/wordpress/?page_id=43#secure
70 Interface described in Todd Davies, Benjamin Newman, Brendan O'Connor, Aaron Tam, Leo Perry; (2006) "Displaying Asynchronous Reactions to a Document: Two Goals and a Design" Presented at "CSCW '06", November 4 -8, 2006, Banff, Alberta, Canada

⁷¹ "An Online Environment for Democratic Deliberation: Motivations, Principles, and Design" (ibid

⁷² http://groupspace.org/wordpress/?page_id=43#usage



	 PHP version Requires Apache, MySQL, and PHP >= 4.1 on Linux or perhaps a unix-like system. Has been tested on redhat 9's apache/php/mysql setup: 2.0/4.2.2/3.23. Deme has not been tested on PHP for Windows. 73 Next version: The new version has a redesigned interface 74 heavily utilizing AJAX technologies. The new version will require a Ruby on Rails server. Opens source 75
15. Evaluation mechanisms	The principals: "The online platform should therefore build in feedback and assessment from group members, shared both within the group and with tool providers, at different stages during and after tool adoption." However, there's no evidence that this was completed.
16. Further examples	This page lists only open groups: http://groupspace.org/groups.php
17. Further information	 Todd Davies, Brendan O'Connor, Alex Angiolillo Cochran and Jonathan J. Effrat (2004) "An Online Environment for Democratic Deliberation: Motivations, Principles, and Design" http://www.stanford.edu/~davies/deme-principles.pdf "Community Democracy Online: A Preliminary Report from East Palo Alto" http://www.stanford.edu/~davies/APSA-2002.pdf Todd Davies, Benjamin Newman, Brendan O'Connor, Aaron Tam, Leo Perry; (2006) "Displaying Asynchronous Reactions to a Document: Two Goals and a Design" Presented at "CSCW '06", November 4 -8, 2006, Banff, Alberta, Canada http://www.stanford.edu/~davies/cscw06-poster-paper.pdf

⁷³ http://www.groupspace.org/base3/README.txt
74 "Displaying Asynchronous Reactions to a Document: Two Goals and a Design" (ibid)
http://www.stanford.edu/~davies/cscw06-poster-paper.pdf
75 See licence: http://www.groupspace.org/base3/LICENSE.html



7. **Demos: Delphi Online Mediation System**

1. Title	Demos: Delphi Online Mediation System
2. General description	 http://demos-project.org/index.html DEMOS supports the full process of discussion/consultation through various online tools, including an online forum, polling, surveying (and formulating the results). Note that demos uses the deliberation system "Zeno", which is included here as a separate case study. Demos has been used in Hamburg (Germany) and Bologna (Italy) It has so far been used to involve citizens in discussions about the future of their areas (planning)
3. Basis of initiative	 DEMOS is an international Research & Development project funded by the European Commission (IST-1999-20530). TUHH Technologie GmbH, TU Hamburg-Harburg, Fraunhofer Institute for Autonomous intelligent Systems (AiS), Fraunhofer Institute for Open Communication Systems (FOKUS), Ibermática, User Interface Design, Ipsos-RSL, Nexus, City of Bologna (Iperbole), City of Hamburg (hamburg.de). See http://demos-project.org/partners.html Begun September 2000 for 30 months (ended March 2003?) Objective: "to exploit novel forms of computer mediated communication in order to support democracy on-line ('e-democracy') and to enhance citizen participation in modern societies." How was it developed from the initial idea? Step 1 - Construction of a scenario in order to illustrate the possible development of the discussion⁷⁷ Step 2 - Specification of an idea model of process phases⁷⁸ Step 3 - Usability tests of the first interface conducted on an exclusive audience⁷⁹ Step 4 - development and test of the first prototype⁸⁰ Step 5 -development of final platform⁸¹
4. Democracy Context	Has so far been used in cities in developed democracies.
5. Participation area	Note- has been particularly used in "planning" Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative Environments, Consultation, Deliberation, Discourse, Mediation, Spatial planning
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	City governments have used it to consult with their citizens –essentially top-down. eInforming, eConsulting, eCollaborating
7. Stage in policy	So far used in (1) agonda sotting and (2) policy formulation
eycle 8. Stakeholders	 (1) agenda setting and (2) policy formulation Authorities use tool to consult citizens/ involve them in decision-making/planning. It is also suggested that it could be used by organisations to consult their staff. Stakeholders – consulters and consultees.

⁷⁶ Luehrs, R., Malsch, Th. and Voss, K. (2001) "Internet, Discourses and Democracy" In: Terano, T. et al. (eds): New Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence. Joint JSAI 2001 Workshop Post -Proceedings.

Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag. S. 67-74. http://demos-project.org/resources_publications.html 77 http://demos-project.org/progress_scenario.html

⁷⁸ http://demos-project.org/progress_modell.html

⁷⁹ http://demos-project.org/progress_usability.html

⁸⁰ http://demos-project.org/progress_prototyp.html

⁸¹ http://demos-project.org/progress.html



	 Actors defined by the system: Initiator, moderator, mediator/expert, work group member⁸²
9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or end-user)	 Users have to log in to use the system but the discussion may be available publicly on a read-only basis. Have not found information about "Conditions of Use" used in pilots or information needed to register.
10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	 Moderators summarise the discussion and choose main issues/agreements to move forward from one phase of the discussion to the next. Moderators also manage the discussion: (summarise the developing debate on a regular basis, try to tease out and manage emerging conflicts and answering questions) Technology supports moderators being elected by users (don't know if this happened in any of the pilots) In the Hamburg City debate there was an infraction policy (yellow card) "In general the moderators used two different ways to communicate with the users: messages in the forum (one-to-all-communication) and messages in the personal area or emails (one-to-one-communication). The strategy of the moderators was to intervene as early as possible. Nearly all messages concerning violations of rules were sent by email instead of posting them into the forum, in order to not disturb the constructive discussion. Almost all of the admonished participants acted insightfully and changed their behaviour after such an intervention." 83
11. Accessibility of the tool	• Technology for users to take part designed to be technologically inclusive and accessible ⁸⁴ "On the user side, a very heterogeneous environment was expected. Most of the environments should be supported. Due to varying operating systems and web browsers no proprietary extension could be used (i.e. Microsoft ActiveX), instead standardized methods must be used. Most of the operations are executed on the server side within Java Server Pages to shield the user from implementation details. Only a small part of code has to be executed on the user side for displaying and navigation within a forum. This is implemented in JavaScript, so the user's browser has to support JavaScript. In addition, Cookies have to be enabled for authentication purposes."
12. Language support	It seems that the tool has been used in German and Italian. No specific information about language support has been found.
13. Channel availability	The process outlines a variety of possible technologies feeding into the discussions – online and offline forums and discussions, questionnaires, email, chat, small group work.
14. Technologies	 Various methods and phases which feed into one another: Unstructured iterative discussion sessions and structured debates with goal-directed cycles, organised and controlled by a moderator or a group of moderators differentiated user roles and access rights modes for communication and feedback (direct/indirect, public/protected) support for differentiated types of user-interaction sorting and aggregating quantitative data and qualitative semantic content (free answers, comments and statements) participative "bottom up" specification of issues, construction of questionnaires, and selection of experts

Phase model (Complex); http://demos-project.org/progress_modell.html
 Rolf Lührs, Steffen Albrecht, Maren Lübcke, Birgit Hohberg (2006) "How to Grow? Online Consultation about Growth in the City of Hamburg: Methods, Techniques, Success Factors" http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/other/unpan024321.pdf

http://demos-project.org/concept_technology.html
 http://demos-project.org/concept_technology01.html



	 conflict resolution strategies allowing differentiated outcomes (convergence, consensus, divergence, "rational dissent") self-organization and subgroup formation allowing different levels of aggregation and distribution methods facilitating the maintenance of process coherence and coordination in the face of large numbers of participants with high fluctuation rates. ⁸⁶ Technologies used in pilots:
	DEMOS
	S 2 mos
	Jive Resin Webserver & Servlet Engine JDBC MySQL Database Resin Webserver
	Windows 2000
	Figure 1 System architecture of DEMOS.1 in the Hamburg trial
	 http://demos-project.org/concept_technology02.html The DEMOS system is available for licencing under an ASP model. The application including the moderation service is available as a turn-key system through Wornex. Note also that the version of DEMOS currently used by TuTech in its eDemocracy projects is an entirely new implementation, based on the same concepts, in PHP. For more information about technologies used in the pilots described here, see the "Zeno" case study. (Zeno is an Open Source Internet groupware system, written in Java.)
15. Evaluation	Registration information provided
mechanisms	Most of the technologies used could also be used to evaluate the process.
16. Further examples	 Pilots At the Technical University of Hamburg-Harburg (TUHH), the DEMOS system was used to find out how teaching at the university could be evaluated and improved. (2001)⁸⁷ In the City of Bologna citizens were invited to discuss the issue of Traffic in Bologna. The DEMOS process ran for six weeks in January and February 2002.⁸⁸ City of Hamburg, 2002: From November 4th until December 2nd, the citizens of Hamburg had the opportunity to discuss and develop ideas how to let Hamburg grow (See "How to Grow" below)
17. Further information	Rolf Lührs, Steffen Albrecht, Maren Lübcke, Birgit Hohberg (2006) "How to Grow? Online Consultation about Growth in the City of Hamburg: Methods, Techniques, Success Factors" http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/other/unpan024321.pdf

⁸⁶ http://www.wornex.com/content/view/16/83/
87 http://demos-project.org/prototyp_pilot_hamburg.html
88 http://demos-project.org/prototyp_pilot_bologna.html



e-Community Council 8.

1. Title	e-Community Council
2. General description	 http://www.ecommunitycouncil.org.uk/ An online environment based on blogs and questionnaires to support the work of community councils (representing neighbourhoods of approx 3-5000 people) – especially informing local citizens and encouraging their participation. Project involved 6 community councils in central Scotland, UK Each community council website is aimed at people in that community council's area (e.g. a village and its surroundings)
3. Basis of initiative	 This was an ITC project (International Teledemocracy Centre⁸⁹, Napier University). The project was first initiated by a local Community Councillor. Partners: Stirling Council, the Association of Community Councils for the Loch Lomond & Trossachs National Park area, Stirling Assembly, the Association of Scottish Community Councils. The project had the support of local MSP: Dr Sylvia Jackson.⁹⁰ The project was funded by the Scottish Executive⁹¹ The project ran from February 2004 to January 2006, however the websites are still live and a couple of them are in use. Objective: to investigate how technology could be developed to help regenerate democracy at the local community level. Developed in 2 phases -working with a specific Community Council to develop a toolkit to support their work (pilot, evaluate, improve) - generalise and roll out to other community councils involved (pilot, evaluate, improve)
4. Democracy Context	Community councils operate at a very local level and are semi-independent of local government. They receive very little central government funding or support, although some is coordinated through municipal level government.
5. Participation area	Community councils are often asked by other local and national agencies to consult their community. Much of their work involves environmental and economic planning processes Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative Environments, Consultation
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	Mostly e-consultation, though people also bring up issues that the community councils raise with municipal level government.
7. Stage in policy cycle	(1) agenda setting(2) policy formulation(3) decision-making
8. Stakeholders	For each council: Community councillors People in the local community to some extent other elected representatives – especially municipal government

⁹⁰ http://itc.napier.ac.uk/
91 http://www.scotland.gov.uk/



9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider	Community councillors – are given admin rights for their community council. Their login then enables them to access non-public areas of their ecommunity council website.
and/or end-user)	Members of the community do not need to register. If they wish to contribute (e.g. add a comment to an item) they are asked to provide a name. To encourage access, no further verification or security checks are made. The lack of registration means that demographic data is not available, but a privacy policy is not required.
	The conditions of use statement appears wherever a contribution can be made (e.g. below a comment form): • "Users of this website who wish to add a comment are requested to observe the following conditions.
	You may not: use offensive or abusive language; make statements of a personal nature; make advertising statements; include text which is not relevant. The providers of this system shall be the sole judge of whether, in relation to any text, the conditions of use have been breached and reserve the right to remove comments that breach these conditions of use. The providers of this system reserve the right to amend, at any time, these conditions of use and accept no liability for the comments posted to these pages."
10. Moderation,	The Community councillors moderate the website against the conditions of
facilitation,	use policy outlined above.
content-rating	
11. Accessibility of	The website has been developed by working with the community
the tool	councillors in order to minimise the technical skill needed to manage it. • The developers sought to make a usable and WAI compliant website 93
12. Language support	Only available in English
13. Channel availability	Internet via web browser. No email mechanisms used.RSS enabled
14. Technologies	The website supports the community council in their activities with the community via:
	A blog-type function – councillors can add items – text or documents and people comment on the item. Comments are shown in chronological order and unthreaded
	 A similar function is used to support "consultations" There is facility for councillors to create questionnaires for the public to respond to online.
	 An events diary and useful contacts facility are also provided. There is also a Councillor only section for councillors to work together to share and draft items.
	The toolkit is currently written in ASP. Information is held in a SQL server database.
15. Evaluation mechanisms	No demographic data collection is built in which could be used to analyse user interaction. However, the questionnaire mechanism could be used by the community councillors for evaluation
16. Further examples	The are currently 6 e-community councils of which 3 are fairly active: Bannockburn: http://www.ecommunitycouncil.org.uk/bannockburn/

http://www.ecommunitycouncil.org.uk/bannockburn/item.asp?id=677#conditions
 Whyte, A. and Macintosh, A.; (2006); 'An e-Democracy Model for Communities: Final Report of the e-Community Council Project' http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/Documents/e-community_council_final_report.pdf



	• Cambusbarron: http://www.ecommunitycouncil.org.uk/cambusbarron/
	• Strathfillan: http://www.ecommunitycouncil.org.uk/strathfillan/
17. Further	The website has been evaluated with community councillors, local residents
information	and members of the public as part of its final evaluation.:
	Whyte, A. and Macintosh, A.; (2006); 'An e-Democracy Model for
	Communities: Final Report of the e-Community Council Project
	http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/Documents/e-community_council_final_report.pdf
	See also
	Whyte, A., Macintosh, A., McKay-Hubbard, A. and Shell, D.; (2005);
	'Towards an e-Democracy Model for Communities'
	http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/documents/e-
	community council D2 Model v2 2.pdf
	• Whyte, A., Macintosh, A., McKay-Hubbard, A. and Shell, D.; (2005); 'e-
	Community Council User Requirements Specification'
	http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/documents/e-community_council_user-
	requirements-v2-2.pdf
	• Macintosh, A., McKay-Hubbard, A. and Shell, D (2005); 'Using Weblogs to
	Support Local Democracy; Proceedings of the International Conference
	TCGOV 2005; March 2-4, 2005; Bolzano, Italy; pp1-12.
	• Macintosh, A. and McKay-Hubbard, A., (2004); 'Renewing democracy
	with "e-Community Councils"; Proceedings of e-Society 2004 IADIS
	International Conference; 16-19 July, 2004; Avila, Spain.



e-consultation.org 9.

1. Title	e.consultation.org
2. General	http://www.e-consultation.org/
description	 Long-running project to study the use of electronic computing and communication technologies in consultation processes. Over the course of the project a variety of technologies have been used for consultation/discussion with "real" groups. This includes polling and preferencematching. Ireland (Northern Ireland and Eire: Republic of Ireland)
3. Basis of	Include:
initiative	 The e-consultation study group began to meet in 2000: a group of people and organisations centred on Queens University Belfast. 94 The current phase of the project was initiated in 2003 by 3 academic partners: Queen's University Belfast, the University of Maynooth and Letterkenny Institute of Technology applied for a €580,000 research grant on e-consultation. It was accepted by the Irish Higher Education Authority in Dublin by July and finally awarded in January 2004. 95 Objectives of current phase: To identify the social context and political implications of electronic forms of consultation and participation in Ireland, North and South. To identify the e-consultation technologies and processes that are most appropriate to the needs of diverse local communities and to determine the best ways to apply these technologies and processes, focussing on the identified needs. To advise, help, study and evaluate at least two electronic consultation exercises over the project period, and report on what has been learned from them.
	 4) To disseminate the results of the research through an online e-consultation guide and training workshops to help groups develop their awareness of and basic skills in e-consultation. The project's final report was published in 2006 96, but the e-consultation
4 Damas	trials and study group continue.
4. Democracy Context	 The island (Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland) has a history of conflicts, especially sectarian. Some e-consultations have been across both regions, some have been in the context of sectarian conflict within Northern Ireland. Note particularly the possible impact on peace and reconciliation in the area. 97 Public sector organisations in Northern Ireland have a statutory duty to consult their users and the public on a variety of policies and implementations.
5. Participation	Community building / Collaborative Environments, Consultation,
area	Deliberation, Mediation, Spatial planning and Polling
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	The project is especially aimed at top down consultation. eConsulting, eCollaborating

⁹⁴ http://wiki.e-consultation.org/tiki-index.php?page=AboutUs95 http://wiki.e-consultation.org/ResearchProject

⁹⁶ Honor Fagan, G., Newman, D., McCusker, P. and Murray, M. (2006) "Final Report: e-consultation: evaluating appropriate technologies and processes for citizens' participation in public policy" http://www.e-consultation.org/files/ecrp_report.pdf

⁹⁷ http://wiki.e-consultation.org/tiki-index.php?page=ResearchImpactReconciliation



7 Ctomo im moliav	Different machanisms may be appropriate to different storage in the policy
7. Stage in policy	Different mechanisms may be appropriate to different stages in the policy
cycle	lifecycle: (1) aganda setting [includes awareness and problem percention]
	(1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception]
	(2) policy formulation (5) policy evaluation.
8. Stakeholders	
o. Stakeriolders	The online guide identifies:Policy makers (commissioning an e-consultation)
	 Organisers (designing and managing one) Facilitators (of one part of an e-consultation)
	NGOs and activists
	Technologists
	And participants ⁹⁸
9. Rules of	The project emphasises the importance of designing each consultation – e.g.
engagement	picking the tools and how they are used – for each situation/group.
(owner/provider	This would include the information that would need to be provided to
and/or end-user)	register and, the information which would be made public.
and/or end-user)	register and, the information which would be made public.
	An example set of terms and conditions is that requiring agreement in order
	to register for the e-consultation forum. 99
	to register for the e consultation forum.
	Note that some e-consultation trial websites do not use any registration
	process at all in order to maximise participation. "The Wheel" asks
	contributors for the following information to accompany their comment:
	name, town (optional), email address and phone number (optional) 100
10. Moderation,	These would need to be chosen for each initiative.
facilitation,	
content-rating	
11. Accessibility of	For each trial the website was specifically put together using a range of
the tool	technologies. Therefore usability and accessibility varied:
	Problems included:
	content provided by the organisation –not being adapted for online
	reading.
	Instructions not clear enough
	Navigation problems
	Need to set out expectations, devise limits to the particular consultation,
	and state what will happen to submissions.
12. Language	Seem to be only available in English
support	
13. Channel	Various technologies used over various channels
availability	
14. Technologies	Various technologies are being investigated. These have been grouped into
	the following:
	Supporting one-way information transfer (website, email address,
	comment form)
	Supporting Dialogues (online chat, video conferencing, e-mailing lists,
	discussion forums)
	Exploring problems and planning solutions (electronic meetings software like Zing and Web 10)
	like Zing and Web IQ)Measuring needs and preferences (online petitioning, e-polling/e-voting,
	 Measuring needs and preferences (online petitioning, e-polling/e-voting, online surveys)
	 Writing Documents (weblogs, wikis and collaborative drafting
	environments) ¹⁰¹
	GIVII GIIII GIII GI

⁹⁸ http://www.e-consultation.org/guide/index.php/Main_Page
99 http://ecrp.mgt.qub.ac.uk/phpBB2/profile.php?mode=register
100 http://wheel.e-consultation.org/wki/index.php/Special:YourView
101 http://www.e-consultation.org/technologies.html



15. Evaluation	This would vary from one initiative to another
mechanisms	
16. Further	Current e-consultation trials:
examples	The Wheel (Active Citizenship) http://wheel.e-consultation.org
	Diversity online exhibition (for young people) http://diversity.e-
	consultation.org/home
	The North South Exchange Consortium http://nsec.e-consultation.org/ West and a Consultation but a few first and a consultation and a few first and a f
	Waterways Ireland e-Consultation http://waterways.e-consultation.org/ Next and Alexand Vent Forms but a Vent a second table and the second table and the second table and the second table and table
	Northern Ireland Youth Forum http://youth.e-consultation.org/ Recent
	The Irish Government's first online e-consultation
	http://www.econsultation.ie/
	The report from the consultation is now published. 102 And the e-
	consultation group are involved in the evaluation
17. Further	The online guide is a collaboratively produced resource – e.g. much of it
information	wiki-based, so continually under development: http://www.e-
	consultation.org/
	Honor Fagan, G., Newman, D., McCusker, P. and Murray, M. (2006)
	"Final Report: e-consultation: evaluating appropriate technologies and
	processes for citizens' participation in public policy" http://www.e-
	consultation.org/files/ecrp_report.pdf
	Simon Stephens, Paul McCusker, David O'Donnell, David R. Newman and (2004) #0. #1. Paul McCusker, David O'Donnell, David R. Newman and
	G Honor Fagan (2006); "On the Road from Consultation Cynicism to
	Energising e-Consultation"; Electronic Journal of e-Government Volume 4 Issue 2 2006 (87 - 94) http://www.ejeg.com/volume-4/vol4-
	iss2/stephens et al.pdf
	http://www.nuim.ie/nirsa/econsult/
L	The providence of the surface of the

¹⁰² Houses of the Oireachtas, Joint Committee on Communications, Marine and Natural Resources: "Tenth Report. Considerations, recommendations and conclusions on the Joint Committee's consultation on the draft General Scheme of the Broadcasting Bill' April 2007 http://www.oireachtas.ie/documents/committees29thdail/committeereports2007/Broadcasting.pdf



10. EPA.net East Palo Alto Community Network

1. Title	EPA.net East Palo Alto Community Network
2. General	http://www.epa.net/
description	 The Community Network has brought technology access points, a community web portal, and knowledge transfer to residents of the low-income, multi-lingual and multi-ethnic community of East Palo Alto, California. This case study concerns the portal (online resource centre) which includes: Local news and information, notice board/CMS tools to support transparency and community development (also hosts websites of community groups) Forums for engaging in community life, sharing ideas and thoughts, and for experimenting with technology Space to post/store photos, documents etc Area: East Palo Alto has a low-income, ethnically diverse population of approximately 30,000 within a 2.5 square mile area, near San Francisco Bay and Silicon Valley.
3. Basis of	EPA.net led to the establishment of PIECE ¹⁰³ (Partnership for Internet
initiative	 Equity and Community Engagement) at Stanford University, US -a joint project between "Plugged in 104" and Stanford's Symbolic Systems Program. Some funding from Technology Opportunities Program (TOP) of the US Department of Congress 105 Started in 2003, but rooted in earlier research in the community. Objectives: Close digital divide in East Palo Alto Provide up to date local information (no area newspaper) to support the community (e.g. local groups can advertise their events) Facilitate community spirit and involvement -especially through forum discussions of local issues. Provide various technology resources to support community in developing skills Note the importance of the related TAPs (Technology Access Points) initiative 106 See also the Deme case study in this report (based at PIECE)
4. Democracy Context	Low income seemed to prevent locals attending meetings and being involved in community decision-making. 107
5. Participation	Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative Environments,
area	Campaigning, Deliberation

¹⁰³ http://piece.stanford.edu/projects.html

¹⁰⁴ http://www.pluggedin.org/

¹⁰⁵ Brandi Thompson, Todd Davies and Rolando Zeledon (2005) "Building Digital Bridges: Lessons from Technology Initiatives in East Palo Alto" draft paper for "Online Deliberation 2005 / DIAC-2005" http://www.online-deliberation.net/conf2005/viewabstract.php?id=66

¹⁰⁶ http://www.epa.net/taps/

¹⁰⁷ Benjamin Sywulka, Todd Davies, Randy Saffold and Roma Jhaveri (2003) "Computers and Community in East Palo Alto: Report on the survey conducted among East Palo Alto residents regarding their computer usage and community involvement prior to the launch of the East Palo Alto Community Network" http://piece.stanford.edu/piece-computer-survey.pdf



6. Direction of	Essentially peer to peer
communication/	eInforming, eCollaborating, eEmpowering
level of	σ σ σ, σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ
participation	However, one of the forum topics is "Community Resources and City
' '	Government" and this is used by city government to post notices and
	answer questions.
7. Stage in policy	Not really relevant
cycle	
8. Stakeholders	EPA staff (administrator, content manager, executive producer) 108
	Plugged in staff (plus students and academics working on related)
	projects at Stanford)
	Staff in Technology Access Points
	 Local community organisations – especially those with website hosted on
	the network
	 Registered users e.g. local people/groups contributing articles/posting
	notices
	People who access the site to get information
9. Rules of	To create an account for the network (e.g. to post a comment) users
engagement	need to give an email address, password (plus security Q&A), first and
(owner/provider	last name, website address (optional), agreement to Terms of Use and
and/or end-user)	Acceptable Use policies.
	Terms of use: http://www.epa.net/info/terms.html
	Acceptable use: http://www.epa.net/info/acceptable.html
	Privacy and security: http://www.epa.net/info/privacy.html
	Cookies need to be enabled to login/register.
10. Moderation,	"Technical Mechanisms:
facilitation,	Regular scans for unusual traffic that could be evidence for inappropriate
content-rating	content will be conducted. Links to common adult content blocking software
	will be posted as well.
	Monitoring:
	EPA.net's content manger will conduct random checks of user posts and
	request removal of inappropriate material. Though we cannot guarantee
	that all user-contributed content on Epa.net will be screened, we will make
	great efforts to monitor public areas of the website, particularly those areas
	oriented for minors. Our goal is to recruit volunteers, in particular active
	contributors to the Epa.net site, to serve as facilitators of specific areas of
	the website. We will also encourage users to report any content they feel to
	be inappropriate to the facilitators and/or website administrators. As all
	users must register before they are able to post any content, we will have
	the ability to respond accordingly to any infringement of our Acceptable Use Policies." 109
11. Accessibility of	 A great deal of effort seems have gone into making the tool usable – for
the tool	example by providing comprehensive instructions and advice for using
110 1001	the network. 110 These include information about what a discussion forum
	is.
	 Users are also encouraged to learn html and use it in posts (gaining skills
	is an objective
	וז מוז טטןפטנועפ

http://www.epa.net/info/aboutus http://www.epa.net/info/acceptable.html http://www.epa.net/epa_help/epahelp



12. Language	Instructions and most of the content are in English
support	Some content in Spanish Note DISCS assessed into Learning 111 assessible "Barast and the Name
	Note PIECE research into languages 111 especially "Report on the Non- English Speaking Community in Fact Pale Alta in relation to their natartial."
	English Speaking Community in East Palo Alto in relation to their potential use of EPA.net ¹¹²
13. Channel	The network is primarily web-based, but the discussion forum makes use
availability	of (and can be used via) email (e.g. comments can be forwarded by
	email)
14. Technologies	The forum:
	The forum is divided into subjects
	Threads consist of a top level comment, followed by a series of non-
	threaded replies
	Top level comments are titled by their owners
	EPA.Net runs on OpenACS 113 (Open Architecture Community System) which
	is open source
15. Evaluation	The website has a comprehensive privacy statement 114 linked to from the
mechanisms	bottom of every page. This explains what kind of information may be
	collected about (registered) users and why.
	It lists 5 kinds of information collected:
	1) Administrative Information
	2) "My Profile" Information
	3) Files
	4) Survey Information
	5) Usage Data
	See further information below for evaluation methods and survey results.
16. Further	The website is a network that also hosts community group websites. Dame is related initiative (see associated) above.
examples 17. Further	 Deme is related initiative (see case study above) Evaluation of 3-year grant period: Brandi Thompson and Todd Davies
information	 Evaluation of 3-year grant period: Brandi Thompson and Todd Davies (2005); "East Palo Alto Community Network Top Evaluation Report"
IIIIOIIIIatioii	Prepared for the Technology Opportunity Program (TOP) US Department
	of Commerce
	http://ntiaotiant2.ntia.doc.gov/top/docs/eval/pdf/066001010e.pdf
	 Good evaluation – thorough methodology: Benjamin Sywulka, Todd
	Davies, Randy Saffold and Roma Jhaveri (2003) "Computers and
	Community in East Palo Alto: Report on the survey conducted among
	East Palo Alto residents regarding their computer usage and community
	involvement prior to the launch of the East Palo Alto Community
	Network" http://piece.stanford.edu/piece-computer-survey.pdf
	Papers on this page: http://piece.stanford.edu/projects.html

http://piece.stanford.edu/projects.html
http://piece.stanford.edu/projects.html
EPA.net Non-English Functionality Group (2002) Report on the Non-English Speaking Community in
East Palo Alto in relation to their potential use of EPA.net
http://piece.stanford.edu/piece-community-interviews.pdf
http://openacs.org/
http://openacs.org/



11. Funredes Tradauto

1. Title	Funredes Tradauto
2. General description	http://funredes.org/tradauto/ Funredes ¹¹⁵ have been working with automatic translation technologies to support multilingual virtual conferences – mostly based on email list technology. ¹¹⁶ However, the process is more than an automated translation service: Funredes call it an "intercomprehension" aid service. The current version of this is known as Tradauto.
	The Tradauto process is used in over 20 contexts internationally.
3. Basis of initiative	FUNREDES is a Non-Governmental Organization, dedicated to the dissemination of New Information and Communication Technologies (especially the Internet) in developing countries, with the objective of contributing to regional development and integration. Tradauto grew out of the EMEC method: Effective Management of Multilingual Electronic Conferences. This is a process, applied on top of
	moderated email lists, which includes an element of language translation. The EMEC method was conceived 2 NGOs (in 1996-1997): FUNREDES and Enda-Caribe. 117 and field tested by Funredes in 2000 in the frame of the MISTICA project.
	Objective: • The purpose of the EMEC project is, on one hand, to handle the electronic conferences better and therefore to face the "overload" of information. On the other hand, to make communication inside the virtual communities easier, and to develop it, particularly through the multilingualism of the list. 118
	 This is generally a 3 step process: 1) sending of a presentational e-mail of the original message (an e-mail with the key data of the message and a summary of it) 2) Storage\display of this message in an associated web site 3) Translation of each message (of the original message - sent by the member - and of the "synthesis" - written by the EMEC team). The EMEC method was piloted in the MISTICA list¹¹⁹ which ran in Spanish, French, Portuguese and English. The pilot ended in 2000.
	 Movement from EMEC to Tradauto: Comment from Daniel Pimenta: "the original work was with EMEC an ambitious method for managing efficiently electronic conferences using a kind of 'librarian' approach and including language translation by humans assisted by program. Mistica gave us an opportunity to make a field trial of EMEC during a little more than one year. The cost per message is important and prevented the experiment to follow up. Now, the service provided for that cost was outstanding and I keep thinking that

http://funredes.org/english/index.php3
http://funredes.org/tradauto/
http://funredes.org/tradauto/
http://funredes.org/endacaribe/traducciones/endacaribe.html
http://www.funredes.org/mistica/english/emec//method_emec/faq1.html
http://www.funredes.org/mistica/english/emec//method_emec/faq1.html
http://www.funredes.org/mistica/english/emec//method_emec/faq1.html the Information and Communication Technologies in America"



4 Domocracy	eventually the market will understand that such investments are worthwhile (in the name of democracy!). Several years after, seeing no space for an EMEC follow-up, we opened Tradauto which is a very low cost low level version of what was the real ambition. The real issue with Tradauto is making people understood this is NOT a translation service but rather an aid for inter-comprehension (which relates directly to democracy). The tool is designed to support inclusion by enabling people to participate in
4. Democracy Context	their own language.
5. Participation area	Community building / Collaborative Environments, Deliberation, Discourse (Pimienta adds research-action, advocacy)
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	Peer to peer eCollaborating, eEmpowering
7. Stage in policy cycle	Could be used as part of any stage.
8. Stakeholders	 The following actors are identified: Translators for output revision¹²⁰ a person reads each message and fixes the most visible mistakes of the translation software. See below (Rules of engagement) Translators for input revision: a person revises each message and rewrites it in a manner which will provide more reliable output from the translation program. Developers – people involved in integrating the list management with the software translation. Maintenance- the service tends to be unstable and need fixed regularly Various operational roles: subscription management, monitoring of the quality of service, user support. Moderators -see below (Moderation, facilitation, content-rating) for moderator's role. Improving "netiquette" in use of email lists is one of the drivers of the EMEC method One person may take on a combination role –promoting good dialogue as well as language ready for translation. They may also be involved in summarising posts -e.g. for gist translation
9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or end-user)	"The following guidelines are recommended to assist the translation process: OT = original text PT = preferred text
	 Check the spelling and grammar of the messages you send us. A spelling error will result in a mistranslation or the non-translation of a word. A grammatical error will make it more difficult for the program to identify the syntactic function of all words in a given sentence. Use your spellchecker! The translation engine used to translate your messages is designed for texts that are correctly punctuated. Punctuation marks, such as commas and periods, help the program to identify sentences and clause boundaries. A period should therefore be placed at the end of each sentence. The symbols /, *, _ and - should not be contiguous with words. Add a space to separate them. For instance, change male/female to male / female. Use upper and lower case. A sentence should always start with a capital letter; a sentence typed entirely in upper case may cause translation problems. Divide lengthy and complex sentences into shorter sentences. In particular, avoid using parentheses and dashes to set phrases apart.

¹²⁰ http://funredes.org/tradauto/index.htm/traducservices



	5 . Whenever possible, avoid using passive structures, for example: OT: A copy of the report will be sent to all Allies. PT: We will send a copy of the report to all Allies.
	6. Whenever possible, avoid idiomatic expressions: OT: It happens once in a blue moon. PT: It rarely happens.
	7. Include optional words that clarify the function of other words in the sentence, such as that or whom in the examples below: OT: The book I bought was very interesting. PT: The book that I bought was very interesting.
	OT: The man I wanted to see was on vacation. PT: The man whom I wanted to see was on vacation.
	8. Similarly, if the subject of a sentence refers to more than one verb and is separated from the second/third verb by a number of words, you should repeat the subject in front of each verb, like this: OT: They visited our warehouse yesterday and bought several products. PT: They visited our warehouse yesterday and they bought several
10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	products." 121 These are the aims listed for moderation of EMEC lists (like Mistica) • to avoid the contamination of the list by off-topic messages (administration messages, advertisements) • It eliminates non standard formats like HTML
	Each message is received by moderation and checked before being sent to the list.
	 Moderation should not be seen as censorship, as the aim of moderation is to maintaining fluent discussion at a good level, eliminating repetitive, out of focus and provocative messages and also disguised advertising messages
	 If any doubt occurs, the moderation always establishes a direct dialogue with the person who sent the message before deciding not to send it to the list. 122
11. Accessibility of	The Tradauto method shows various levels of cost – though these are 100 or 10m times levels than professional translation.
the tool	 100 or 10m times lower than professional translation WAI etc- The tool seems to mostly be used for email lists, preferring plain text and avoiding html. WAI issues would be relevant for any web version or archives.
12. Language support	So far Funredes has experimented with English, French, Portuguese and Spanish. They have been looking for software for other languages, especially Haitian Creole. See below (technologies) for more detail about technologies used in the translation process.
	 Note Daniel Pimenta's involvement with Funredes and his work on measuring language content in on the Internet. See Pimienta, D (2005) "Linguistic Diversity in Cyberspace –Models for Development and Measurement" in Paolillo, J. Pimienta, D. and Prado, D. (eds)(2005): "Measuring Linguistic Diversity on the Internet" Paris, France, UNESCO. http://portal.unesco.org/ci/en/ev.php-url/ ID=12850&URL DO=DO TOPIC&URL SECTION=201.html
	See http://funredes.org/lc for the main language project : Observatory of Linguistic Diversity in the Net

http://funredes.org/tradauto/http://www.funredes.org/mistica/english/emec//method_emec/faq2.html#mod



13. Channel	Essentially email, with web archives
availability	
14. Technologies	Funredes took the decision, in 2003, to automate the process of creating the translated message (previously done by cutting and pasting from the output of the translation program into the list server manager) and thus minimise dependency on human intervention (except obviously for the monitoring and the maintenance). The experience has shown that even for the simplest management of automatic translation different options exist which could make the process more or less friendly and in turn more or less costly to develop and maintain. Possible technology partners\software: • Atamiri - a multi-lingual machine translator. Note: there is a lack of open source (or even Unix-based) commercial packages usable for Tradauto. This obliges the programming perform via interfacing websites such as the following: • GlobalLink - Multilingual content management software for Oracle from eTranslate 125 • BabelFish -translator with web interface, but limited languages currently available 126
15. Evaluation mechanisms	This would vary from project to project.
16. Further examples	 Various discussion lists are associated with the project:. Mistica¹²⁷ (Portuguese, French, Spanish, English) Live Salsa: Electronic conference on culture in the Caribbean¹²⁸. (French, Spanish, English) Seems to still be in use. Cardicis¹²⁹ Information Society and Cultural Diversity at the Caribbean. (French, Spanish, English) Seems to still be in use. Cardis¹³⁰ – informal and non moderated list for CARDICIS workshop participants Bohio ¹³¹ – collaboration and community action in Haiti (French and Spanish) - seems to be live And several external lists for which Funredes offer the service (such as ICANNIC, WEST related)
17. Further information	 ICANN's , WSIS related) EMEC is documented in http://funredes.org/emec A good description of the EMEC method: Catherine Dhaussy and Daniel Pimenta (1999) "The EMEC Methodology (Efficient Management of Multilingual Electronic Conferences): A Step Towards Direct Democracy" http://funredes.org/english/publicaciones/index.php3/docid/356 This was also published in "Upgrade" http://www.upgrade-cepis.org/issues/2002/1/up3-1Pimienta.pdf Evaluation questionnaires were carried during all stages of the Mistica project: http://funredes.org/mistica/english/evaluations/

 $^{^{123}} This$ document aims at giving an idea of the different options and their corresponding cost.: http://funredes.org/tradauto/index.htm/traducservices

¹²⁴ concept developed by Bolivian Ivan Guzman de Rojas for a matrix language representation (using the Aymara indigenous language as pivot) which allows to develop a truly multilingual machine translator, i.e. one program, one lexical and grammatical data base, supporting various languages capable of operating either as source or target language, with simultaneous translation from any source language to various target languages. http://www.atamiri.cc/

http://www.etranslate.com/
http://babelfish.altavista.com/
http://funredes.org/mistica/

¹²⁸ http://funredes.org/salsa/

http://cardicis.org/index.php?lan=en

¹³⁰ http://cardicis.org/cardis/

¹³¹ http://bohio.org



12. Global Kids: Newz Crew

1. Title	Global Kids: Newz Crew
2. General	http://www.newzcrew.org/
description	A discussion forum based on 'youth circles' (inspired by Weblab's Small Group Dialogues 132). Newz Crew uses the Internet and news media to
	develop and promote media literacy and youth engagement in the
	democratic process.
	People register and are allocated discussion groups. Discussion groups exists for a preset amount of time – though the group can vote to continue. News items are posted on the website and group discussions are mostly based on these items. Group members can start new topics for their group. Featured discussions are shown on the website.
	 Participants come from all over the world, though the organisation is US based. There is also a US slant to the news and topics
	Participants should be between 14 and 19 years old
3. Basis of	The project is run by Global Kids and NewsHour Extra.
initiative	 Global Kids¹³³ is a New York City-based educational organisation that supports urban youth to become global citizens and community leaders. NewsHour Extra¹³⁴ is the student section of the Online NewsHour, - a PBS news program. PBS is a non-profit media organisation.¹³⁵
	Newz Crew launched in 2004.
	The project followed on from E.A.9.11 - "Everything After 9 11". This was the first "Youth Circle" run by Global Kids. It was a way to talk about issues arising from the September 11 th attacks in the US ¹³⁶
	Objectives
	Objectives • Promote media literacy and democratic engagement in young people. Global Kids also have objectives to promote leadership in young people and values like tolerance in a multi-ethnic society.
	The project is very successful: hundreds of groups have taken part over the last 3 years.
4. Democracy Context	 Many people have expressed concern about a lack of political engagement / opportunity for participation among young people. The project also promotes media literacy –which has implications for democratic efficacy.
5. Participation	Information Provision (and understanding), Community building /
area	Collaborative Environments, Deliberation
6. Direction of communication/	Strongly peer to peer, with young people involved at all levels in the running of the project.
level of participation	eInforming, eCollaborating, eEmpowering
7. Stage in policy cycle	Could be used at any stage. (Note that young people may not have any official mechanisms for taking part in the policy cycle)

http://weblab.org/
http://www.globalkids.org/
http://www.pbs.org/newshour/extra/
http://www.pbs.org/
http://www.pbs.org/
http://www.globalkids.org/ea911/
http://newzcrew.org/webx?98@919.AcNra0ZSrWp.0@sgd_about.html#3
http://newzcrew.org/webx?98@919.AcNra0ZSrWp.0@sgd_about.html#4



8. Stakeholders	"The Team ¹³⁷ "- global kids involved in the project – monitor dialogue
	groups (though there as a resource, not as moderators)
	 Young people who are assigned to a dialogue group
	NewsHour Extra journalists
	• Editors – people who choose the items to be featured as Newz Flashes ¹³⁸
	 Teachers – tools are provided for teachers to integrate Newz crew into
	their lessons ¹³⁹
	 Organisations promoting or sponsoring the project ¹⁴⁰
9. Rules of	 Participants are asked to think about guidelines for taking part (using a
engagement	slightly contrived method of self-questioning online 141). The guidelines
(owner/provider	are summarised as:
and/or end-user)	1 5
and/or end-user)	•
	i
	o respect the topic
	Information needed to register: first name, last name, email address,
	age, gender, city, state, country. Users are also asked to provide a
	biography or introduction for their group, a "screen name" and a
	password. Some optional information is collected to aid evaluation. See
	below (evaluation mechanisms). Users must also agree a terms and
	conditions box.
	Participants can also be signed up by their teachers
	• Further Terms and Conditions, privacy, disclaimers and legal statements:
	http://newzcrew.org/webx?sgdPrivacy@190.CaExa0IOar9.1@.bebc200
10. Moderation,	Group members (participants) manage their discussion groups between
facilitation,	them, including handling any conflict. Monitors are available if
content-rating	necessary. ¹⁴²
	The Featured Discussion process encourages participants to deliberate
	well. Excerpts and links appear on the home page. 143. These also
	facilitate ideas moving between groups: "We encourage you to vote to
	feature exchanges using the "Feature these posts" button at the top of
	the discussion pages. Please provide a few words explaining why you've
	selected the exchange. The exchanges in the Featured Discussions area
	are selected by our editors to highlight interesting and notable material,
	based on suggestions from participants like you." 144
11. Accessibility of	The dialogue process seems to be easy to use, with many tasks covered
the tool	in the FAQs 145 In addition, participants can ask monitors for help.
	There is no evidence of particular efforts being made to achieve WAI
	compliance (or similar) e.g. no "doc type" statement.
	No specific accessibility policies appear on the website.
12. Language	Although the project is nominally international, no information appears
support	about supporting any language apart from English and all discussions
	appear to be in English.
13. Channel	Contributions need to made via the website.
availability	 However, daily digests of a groups messages and topic updates are
	available via email ¹⁴⁶
14. Technologies	Newz Crew uses WebLab's Small Group Dialogue (SGD) software ¹⁴⁷ :
1 14 10(1111111111111100	

¹³⁷ http://newzcrew.org/webx?98@393.HcYda1dLrU3.0@sgd_credits.html138 http://newzcrew.org/webx?allGuidedPostsPV@411.NcYga1OaraB.0@

http://newzcrew.org/webx?98@398.RceLa15hrYI.0@sgd_teacherslounge.html
http://newzcrew.org/webx?98@396.NcFea1 IZrXN.0@sgd_thanks.html
http://newzcrew.org/webx?18@@!autopool=.1ad47599
http://newzcrew.org/webx?98@593.6cMha2AKrwi.0@sgd_help.html#aa

http://newzcrew.org/
 http://newzcrew.org/webx?98@593.6cMha2AKrwi.0@sgd_help.html#d

¹⁴⁵ http://newzcrew.org/webx?98@850.4caDa2lerUc.0@sgd_help.html

http://newzcrew.org/webx?98@1019.jcRNa3e7rgl.0@sgd_help.html#l



	 "Size - structure over clamour Participants are assigned to multiple small groups, instead of joining a crowded, anonymous mass. Time - investing, not driving by Limited lifespan of each group promotes commitment and provides closure. Accountability - listening instead of flaming Emphasis on member bios and member-promoted content drives visibility, a sense of belonging and self-regulation. Efficiency - automation reduces moderation Tracking, administration and notification system for users and hosts allows for cost-efficient community monitoring."
	The latest version of the software was built by Web Crossing ¹⁴⁸ for a Unix
15. Evaluation mechanisms	platform. Optional information is collected at registration (i.e. before taking part): • Year in school • Race/ethnicity • Urban/rural • Fluency in online communication
	 How likely are you to use online communication to get information? Why are you joining?
	 Do you think it will be successful? Would you be prepared to be interviewed about your experience? How did you hear about this?
16. Further examples	WebLab's software has also been used in other contexts, most famously "Listening to the City": http://dialogues.listeningtothecity.org/ • See http://dialogues.listeningtothecity.org/ • See http://weblab.org/sgd/approach.html for a list of projects. • See also E.A.9.11 - "Everything After 9 11". 149 Global Kids have developed a number of online projects that have involved
	e-participation and community initiatives. These are documented on their blog: http://holymeatballs.org/ • Video from virtual summer program, Camp Global Kids:
	 http://www.holymeatballs.org/podcasts/CampGK2006.mov Video from our recent collaboration with Unicef: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jQr0izgm0iw
	Ayiti - Our teen developed online game about Poverty in Haiti: http://www.TheCostoflife.org
17. Further information	 http://www.TheCostofLife.org Global Kids were involved in a youth participation project based on evaluation 150 – they worked with a adults to develop and carry out an evaluation. 5 youth media groups took part: Barry Checkoway and Katie Richards-Schuster (2006) "Participatory Evaluation For Community Change: Final Report"; Presented to Education Development Center, Inc. and Time Warner Foundation 151 Small Group Dialogue evaluations: http://weblab.org/sgd/evaluation.html

http://www.ssw.umich.edu/youthAndCommunity/pubs/ParticipationEvaluationforCommunityChange.pdf

¹⁴⁷ http://weblab.org/sgd/approach.html
148 http://www.webcrossing.com/
149 http://www.globalkids.org/ea911/
150 http://www.youthlearn.org/youthmedia/evaluation/youthparticipatory_eval.asp



13. Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement Review

1. Title	Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement Review
2. General	
description	 http://www.webdialogues.net/cs/ijc-greatlakes-home/view/di/77?x-t=home Web-based bilingual dialogue/online consultation with long range
description	
	planning theme. Focussed over 4 days.
	Part of wider consultative process to identify issues for US and Canadian
	governments to consider prior to reviewing the Great Lakes Water
	Quality Agreement.
	November 29-December 2, 2005
	Region: Great Lakes and St. Lawrence River basin, US and Canada.
3. Basis of	The 2 governments asked the International Joint Commission (IJC) ¹⁵² to run
initiative	the overall consultation.
	The IJC worked with WestEd ¹⁵³ as part of their Web Dialogues initiative ¹⁵⁴
	Main objective
	To identify issues citizens felt the Agreement needed to address and
	whether or how citizens thought the Agreement should be
	changed.
	Sub-objectives:
	Create a conversation among the people of the area, enable participants
	to discuss their concerns with each other; increase their understanding of
	other points of view; raise the level of discussion and input to the public
	record.
	Further inform the public about the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement
	Provide a way for members of the public unable to attend face to face
	meetings to join the consultation.
	It should be noted that the IJC explicitly set the goal of hearing and
	understanding the range of perspectives on the discussion topics. No
	attempt was made to reach consensus on any issues. 155
	 Only 250 people participated in the web dialogue, which both the IJC and
	WestEd considered low ¹⁵⁶ .
	 More than 4,100 people participated in the larger consultation process
	altogether, including nearly 2,700 who participated by sending form
	letters from a submit form on third-party websites.
4. Democracy	Canada and US –cross-border initiative
4. Democracy Context	Canada and US -Cross-porder initiative
5. Participation	Mainly consultation
area	also Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative
	Environments, Deliberation, Spatial planning,
6. Direction of	The consultation was a top-down process.
communication/	eInforming, eConsulting
level of	
participation	
7. Stage in policy	(2) policy formulation

¹⁵² The International Joint Commission is an independent binational organization established by the Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909. Its purpose is to help prevent and resolve disputes relating to the use and quality of boundary waters and to advise Canada and the United States on related questions http://www.ijc.org/

http://www.wested.org A non-profit research, development, and service agency working with schools and communities

¹⁵⁴ http://www.webdialogues.net/

¹⁵⁵ Frank Bevacqua, Paula Fedeski-Koundakjian, Laurie E. Maak, Nicholas Dewar (2006); "Public consultation in the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence river basin: Online and face-to-face" in National Civic Review; Volume 95, Issue 2, Date: Summer 2006, Pages: 48-53

¹⁵⁶ IJC speculated this was due to "consultation fatigue" – three government processes had held a large number of public meetings around the basin with in six months.



cycle	(3) decision-making
8. Stakeholders	US and Canadian governments.
	IJC staff
	WestEd staff – dialogue experts
	Topic experts (e.g. panellists)
	(note that WestEd do "not consider IJC, supporting staff, WestEd staff and
	topic experts to be stakeholders")
	Bilingual facilitator – divides topic into focus points (i.e. starts threads) Comparting at affirm and least and all afficients.
	Supporting staff members – questions and clarifications Destriction to the staff members – questions and clarifications
	 Participants (from local community – may have been involved in another stage of the consultation –e.g. face to face meeting)
9. Rules of	
engagement	 Dialogue publicly accessible, but need to register to contribute. Information required to register: First and last names, email address, a
(owner/provider	"login" name, a password and role in the dialogue (from a list)
and/or end-user)	 Optional: Title, organisation, city, province, state, zip/postal code,
and/or cha-ascr)	country, phone, nearest lake or river (from a list), interest in the waters
	of the Great Lakes (from a list), first language, age, rural/urban, how
	heard about the dialogue (from a list), personal statement, prior
	attendance in one of 14 public meetings, whether others would be
	participating indirectly through registrant,
	Guidelines include Discussion ground rules (what to do and what not to
	do) ¹⁵⁷
	Privacy – basic information about participants is published on the
	website: name and location/organisation if known ¹⁵⁸
	Demographic information (from optional) is also displayed 159
10. Moderation,	Roles ¹⁶⁰ :
facilitation,	 Participants - policymakers, researchers, practitioners, subject experts,
content-rating	support providers and interested community members
	Panellists (marked as active or inactive on that day) - pose questions,
	offer insight, raise complex issues, share their expertise. Panellists"
	contributions help everyone to get engaged with the important issues
	and become better informed, resulting in a more productive discussion.
	 Panellists may introduce additional discussion topics to the conversation. Facilitator - introduces the Focus Points each morning. He/she
	Facilitator - Introduces the Focus Points each morning. He/she encourages everyone to join in the conversation, ensures that all aspects
	of the topics are considered and keeps the conversation focused on the
	discussion topics. The facilitator reminds people about the discussion
	ground rules, when necessary, refocuses the discussion, and calls for
	clarification as needed. The facilitator can also edit or delete messages
	when appropriate or necessary.
	 Host - member of staff representing the organization that is holding the
	dialogue.
	Summarizer - prepares an overview of discussion highlights from the
	previous day's topic.
11. Accessibility of	The Web dialogues' website contains a link to the W3C validation service
the tool	to show that its CSS ¹⁶¹ is valid.
	No other accessibility information or problems found.
12. Language	French and English
support	WestEd modified its existing English-only web dialogue site to
	accommodate multiple languages

http://www.webdialogues.net/cs/ijc-greatlakes-guidelines/view/di/77?x-t=guidelines.view
http://www.webdialogues.net/cs/ijc-greatlakes-participants/view/di/77?x-t=participants.view
http://www.webdialogues.net/cs/ijc-greatlakes-participants/view/di/77?x-t=ijc.parstat.view
http://www.webdialogues.net/cs/ijc-greatlakes-guidelines/view/di/77?x-t=guidelines.view#roles

¹⁶¹ CSS (Cascading Style Sheets) is the recommended method to control the appearance of items on a web page



	A team of translators from the Government of Canada's Translation
	Bureau learned to enter their text into the discussions using a special
	"manager" view.The aim was to make participants' comments available in both languages
	in as near to real-time as possible (to make the French dialogue
	experience equivalent to the English one). The four translators (two
	translating into English and two into French) were prepared to be
	working 12 hours each day
	 However, the mornings saw the largest volumes of postings, creating a
	back-log of messages awaiting translation. As a result, francophone
	participants were faced with a substantial list of postings labelled
	"awaiting translation." This may have incited bilingual participants to
	switch to the English side where, even if they found it harder to
	participate, they at least had completely up-to-the-minute texts. In any
	event, there were few original French postings, which meant that the two
	into-French translators were carrying most of the load. By the third day,
	the Translation Bureau had assigned an additional four into-French
	translators to the dialogue.
	It was noted that shorter messages would improve the dialogue flow and
12. ()	keep translation costs lower.
13. Channel	 The dialogue took place online. Each morning when the day's discussion was introduced by the
availability	Each morning when the day's discussion was introduced by the facilitator, participants received an email providing an overview of the
	day's agenda, panellists and recommended resources in the library.
14. Technologies	How the dialogue worked:
14. recrimologics	 Focused, four-day Web dialogue at the end of the public consultation
	period.
	The dialogue website contained a library of background resources and
	displayed collective demographics on the participants. Names linked to
	personal profiles containing additional information,, (giving participants a
	sense of the community they were entering)
	Each day's topic was divided into multiple focus points — separate
	threaded conversations that were guided by a bilingual facilitator.
	Supporting staff members responded to questions and called for
	clarifications. Once introduced, discussions remained open for the
	duration of the dialogue so that participants could read and contribute to
	 the conversations if new ideas occurred to them later. Daily summaries captured discussion highlights and linked to each topic's
	 Daily summaries captured discussion highlights and linked to each topic's agenda. Emailed to participants at 9:00 a.m
	The WebDialogue application operates on a platform that uses either a
	Solaris or Linux operating system, an Apache Web Server, a MySQL
	database and middleware software from Community Servers, Inc. that is
	written in PERL and Java script.
15. Evaluation	Were asked to complete voluntary surveys
mechanisms	
16. Further	WestEd public dialogues:
examples	http://www.webdialogues.net/pub/htdocs/archives.htm
	From 1986-2002, Web Dialogue Developer Laurie Maak produced public
17 Funther	policy dialogues for Information Renaissance 162
17. Further	A synthesis of the contributions is available on the IJC website 163 Outline and review of the online dialogue 164
information	Outline and review of the online dialogue 164

http://www-new.info-ren.org/
http://www.ijc.org/en/activities/consultations/glwqa/synth.php
http://www.ijc.org/en/activities/consultations/glwqa/synth.php
http://www.ijc.org/en/activities/consultations/glwqa/synth.php
http://www.ijc.org/en/activities/consultations/glwqa/synth.php consultation in the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence river basin: Online and face-to-face" in National Civic Review; Volume 95, Issue 2, Date: Summer 2006, Pages: 48-53



14. HeadsUp

1. Title	HeadsUp
2. General	http://www.headsup.org.uk/
description	Discussion forum for young people (under 18) based on political issues. The forum is supported by relevant healterneyed information and
	The forum is supported by relevant background information and
	reference material for both young people and educators.
	One issue at a time with each issue organised into topics. Madagataga take on characters.
	Moderators take on characters. Marshare of parliaments and accomplise take part in the discussions.
	Members of parliaments and assemblies take part in the discussions.
	Area: UK
	Aimed at under18s
3. Basis of initiative	 Project initiated by the Hansard Society – a British educational charity aiming to promote effective and inclusive parliamentary democracy¹⁶⁵. The website is currently funded by the UK's House of Commons and Department of Constitutional Affairs (DCA).¹⁶⁶
	Objectives:
	 to build young people's levels of political awareness and participation so that they can play an effective role in the democratic processes affecting
	their lives.
	 HeadsUp is also a space politicians can use to consult with young people and find out their ideas, experiences and opinions. 167
	 Started as a pilot project in June 2003, ran for a year, then redesigned. The initiative is successful –with increasing numbers of young people and people in power (e.g. legislators/members of parliament) taking part. HeadsUp has over 3800 individual registrations, with an average of 300 posts per forum and over 40% of posts being made out of school hours. To date, over the 2006/2007 academic year, an average of 10 elected members have participated in the forums. Ministers, MPs and MEPs from all political parties have participated, with recent debates seeing the welcome involvement of our first MSPs and AMs¹⁶⁸. There are 5 HeadsUp Forums per academic year, each lasting for 3
	 Young people themselves vote on which topics they want to debate on the site, which gives them important ownership and buy-in. During this academic year forums completed have covered sport, the constitution and law and order, with topics as diverse as the environment and family breakdown still to come. Findings from one topic were mentioned in the UK Parliament's House of Commons 169
4. Democracy	Concern about lack of political engagement in the UK especially among

¹⁶⁵ http://www.hansardsociety.org.uk/ The Hansard Society do a large amount of work in e-democracy http://www.hansardsociety.org.uk/programmes/e-democracy They also run courses in online moderation and facilitation.

¹⁶⁶ http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s10 http://www.parliament.uk/ and http://www.dca.gov.uk/

¹⁶⁷ http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s5

¹⁶⁸ MP- Member of (UK) Parliament, MEP – Member of European Parliament, MSP – Member of Scottish Parliament, AM – Assembly Minister (e.g. the devolved government of Wales: the Welsh Assembley) ¹⁶⁹ Ross Ferguson: "Heads up for democratic renewal" The Guardian http://society.guardian.co.uk/e- public/story/0,,1714622,00.html 22nd February 2006



Context	young people. It was hoped that the forum would be used as part of the Citizenship Curriculum – introduced into schools in 2002 Note also that the forum is for use by people too young to vote (U.K age of electoral majority is 18) Hopefully resources like HeadsUp will help give young people the information with which they can make an informed decision about voting when they turn 18. 170
5. Participation area	Information Provision, Consultation, Deliberation
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	The forum is used on both a peer to peer basis (for discussion) and a top-down basis (for consultation) eInforming, eConsulting, eEmpowering
7. Stage in policy cycle	HeadsUp has fed directly into policy-making on a couple of occasions (2) policy formulation (5) policy evaluation.
8. Stakeholders	 Young people participating in the forum as individuals. For young people it provides a platform where their voices can be heard by policy and decision makers, enabling them to participate fully in the democratic process. Young people participating in the forum through schools (e.g. in lesson time) Teachers or youth workers. For teachers, HeadsUp is a free resource for teaching political literacy, and is particularly suited to delivering the Citizenship curriculum. People in power/parliamentarians influenced by the forum. Note that parliamentarians are given some training to help them take part and have their own pages on the website. For Parliament, Government, policy and decision makers, the forum presents a structured platform suitable for consulting with young people in a specific constituency or across the UK. Heads – moderators given characteristics to take on different moderation roles. One member of Hansard Society staff "plays" more than one moderator role. Continual moderation is considered crucial to make a deliberative forum work, with moderators playing the role of facilitators. Whenever there is opportunity, the moderators step back from discussion and allow young people to deliberate amongst themselves.

 $^{^{\}rm 170}$ Additional information HeadsUp provided by Barry Griffiths, HeadsUp Project Manager, The Hansard Society



Hansard society staff or associates using the site as a focus for research 9. Rules of Forum guidelines contain simple do's and don'ts with some information about how the forum works. 171 engagement (owner/provider The characteristics of the "Heads" reveal more advice on using the forum well¹⁷² and/or end-user) Anyone can access the live forums. However, to post a comment you need to be registered. Once a forum is closed, the comments are analysed and become available as a report (pdf file) These are available in a special feedback section: "HeadsOn" 173 To register: Individuals can send an email, including their name, age, which part of the country they live in, preferred username and password. 174 Teachers can register groups using an online form 175 Feedback • Legislators are also asked to respond to suggestions coming out of the forums and these responses are posted in the "HeadsOn" section for young people to read and respond to. 10. Moderation. Pre-moderation: all posts are checked (by the Hansard Society moderator) before appearing on the website. facilitation, content-rating The "Heads": Master Zen - "Life is full of mysteries that can amaze or frustrate us. We seek answers but sometimes they are not there to find. By talking about things and listening to other people's points of view, we can get closer to understanding. My job on HeadsUp is to persuade people to keep their minds open and to always be prepared to have their opinion changed." Chilli – "I'm a happy, peace-loving citizen. The kinda guy who's always looking on the bright side. I see the best in everyone and every situation. For me the creed, the colour and the name don't matter. It's all about respect. You'll see me on the site reminding people to chill and look for the positive side of a person or idea, even when it might seem there isn't one." Gruff - "I've heard people say that I'm stubborn and negative. That's total rubbish. Life isn't a bed of roses and I think it's important to remember that. I'll be around to remind people that although they might only see the good in something, there's probably another not so sunny side." BigEd – "It's natural to disagree during a discussion. You can feel so strongly about an issue that you will want to convince people that you're are right. Best way to do this is back up your argument or opinion with stats, quotes, figures and details. In the spirit of good science, you'll see me on the site when I think people need more evidence to back up their argument. But feel free to ask questions too." Justice – "With my legal wisdom I can take into account all sides of an argument and sift through loads of information. The other part of my job is to lay down the law, making sure people remain respectful toward

¹⁷¹ http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s1_8

¹⁷² http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s5_2

¹⁷³ http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s4

http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s5_5

http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/register.asp?page=s7_4

http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s5_2



	each other and don't lower themselves to insults, threats or swearing. Of
	course, everyone on HeadsUp is good at talking things out, so I don't
	expect to be acting as peace-keeper too often." 176
11. Accessibility of	The website has been designed to be compliant with a variety of
the tool	accessibility standards and includes this information in an accessibility
	page. 177
12. Language	The website is only available in English
support	
13. Channel	The forum is web-based
availability	 You can sign up to receive forum reports (from closed forums) by email.
	 The HeadsUp team also send out regular e-newsletters to teachers and
	young people. These newsletters inform participants about the detail of
	upcoming debates, including dates, questions posed and legislators
	involved, as well as flagging up other projects of interest.
14. Technologies	HeadsUp is divided into various sections:
11. recimologics	 Support pages about the website
	 The forum – users to respond to questions that the current issue has
	been broken down into. The forum is not threaded further. Users need to
	be logged in and a forum needs to be live to access the forum pages.
	 Back up – information about the current issue, divided into topics
	(mirrored in the forum)
	 Student zone¹⁷⁸ - additional information from users – e.g. related
	participation stories, evaluation form. Also contains a poll where young
	people can vote on future forum topics – the top 5 become the subject of
	forums in the 2007-08 academic year.
	Teacher section – additional information for teachers including lesson
	activities related to the current forum.
	HeadsOn – feedback from previous issues.
	Dog Digital ¹⁷⁹ are responsible for the current website design (the
	software is a development of previous versions of HeadsUp).
	Have not found any further information about specific technologies used
	or licensing.
15. Evaluation	 Participants are invited to give feedback in the Studentzone ¹⁸⁰. An
mechanisms	evaluation survey is currently available both offline and online (one for
	young people and one for teachers) and a detailed evaluation report will
	be written this summer based on data collected throughout the 2006-07
	academic year.
	 Parliamentarians are asked for feedback and this is displayed in the
	HeadsOn section along with feedback from participants 181
16. Further	The Hansard society are involved in a series of projects (often involving)
examples	innovative use of multi-media and ICT) with young people under their
,	Citizenship Education programme 182
	Further initiatives spring from the Hansard Society's e-Democracy
	program ¹⁸³
	 A major Hansard Society e-democracy project is the current Digital
	Dialogues program ¹⁸⁴ - an independent investigation into the use of
	online technologies to promote dialogue between central government
	and the public. The Law Commission Forum case study presented below
	is part of that initiative.
	1 is part of that initiative.

http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s12
http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s3
http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s3
http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s3
http://www.headsup.org.uk/content/default.asp?page=s4_9

http://www.hansardsociety.org.uk/programmes/citizenship_education http://www.hansardsociety.org.uk/programmes/e-democracy



17. Further	Ross Ferguson: "Heads up for democratic renewal" The Guardian
information	http://society.guardian.co.uk/e-public/story/0,,1714622,00.html 22nd
	February 2006
	A series of improvements are planned for later this year.

¹⁸⁴ http://www.digitaldialogues.org.uk/



15. Highland Youth Voice (Your Voice forum)

1. Title	Highland Youth Voice
2. General	Website: http://www.highlandyouthvoice.org/home.asp
description	Forum: http://www.highlandyouthvoice.org/YourVoice/
	e-Democracy website for youth parliament. The parliament is called Highland Youth Voice (HYV). The tools are developed and hosted by the ITC (International Teledemocracy Centre, Napier University 185) Includes • Content management system for dissemination of news and information, recording and archiving parliament business • E-voting system and online support for elections • Policy debating forum
	Aimed at mostly 12 – 18 year olds In the Highland Region of Scotland (Highland is the Authority for most of Northern Scotland) ¹⁸⁶
3. Basis of initiative	The youth parliament was initiated by a group of public bodies in Highland, centred on the Council. The idea was to give young people more input into what went on in the area and make Highland a good place to live as a young person. The longitudinal goal was to encourage young people to stay in the region or return after attending college elsewhere (and tackle depopulation)
	Highland Council involved ITC from the beginning – asking them to provide a website to support online elections to the parliament in 2000. In 2001 a project was outlined for the ITC to develop e-democracy tools as part of a participatory design project, working closely with youth parliament members. ITC are currently working on related projects for Highland Youth Voice. This stage of the project is due to end in Autumn 2007. The e-democracy tools will then be transferred to Highland Youth Voice or superseded. ¹⁸⁷
	Highland is a sparsely populated, mountainous area (16,000 young people spread over 26,000 square km). The parliament is only able to meet face to face twice a year. It was envisaged that e-democracy tools would help the parliament carry on its business between meetings. It would also hep other young people in the area to become involved.
	The website is still in use, though use of the tools has been patchy overall, with some periods of success (especially for the forum) and others of neglect. The website did not become central to the business of the parliament in the way that was hoped.
	The website is related to a National website for young people in Scotland: Young Scot. 188. This also contains e-democracy tools for young people and has a strong relationship with the Scottish Youth Parliament. 189
4. Democracy Context	The youth parliament was specifically designed to increase young people's participation in local government. (The members are mostly

http://itc.napier.ac.uk/
http://itc.napier.ac.uk/
klim Note that Caithness (as in the Caithness.org case study) is a region within Highland region.
http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/ProjectInfo.asp?ID=9
http://www.youngscot.org/
http://www.scottishyouthparliament.org.uk/



	under 18 and unable to vote). The parliament has been successful in
	this ¹⁹⁰ .
	The initiative also aims to equip people with the knowledge and self
5. Participation	confidence needed to be active citizens.
area	Information Provision, Community building, Consultation, Campaigning, Deliberation, Voting
6. Direction of	Council to young people
communication/	Young people to Council
level of	Peer to peer
participation	eInforming, eConsulting, eEmpowering
7. Stage in policy	Input into all stages in policy lifecycle.
cycle	Forum has been used for:
	(1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception]
	(2) policy formulation
	(5) policy evaluation.
8. Stakeholders	 The youth parliament's sponsors: Highland Council ¹⁹¹, Highlands and Islands Enterprise ¹⁹², Police (Northern Constabulary ¹⁹³), NHS Highland ¹⁹⁴, Scottish Natural Heritage ¹⁹⁵, Fire and Rescue ¹⁹⁶, Communities Scotland ¹⁹⁷ National groups
	Local youth participation staff
	Youth Parliament members
	 Young people in the area involved in the parliament or local youth forums Other young people in the area (e.g. can vote for parliament members and use the forum). All are registered.
9. Rules of	Teachers All young people in the area (secondary school age) are registered for
engagement (owner/provider and/or end-user)	 All young people in the area (secondary school age) are registered for the parliament. The database holds only necessary information about them- school, school-class, name, login details. No contact details are stored.
	 Each person has the following login details: user name (their first name combined with a number), password (which they can change) PIN. The user name and password are used to make a comment in the forum or to access the Admin section (if the person has those privileges)
	They are also used as part of the online voting system. The PIN is used in the system, but not visible to users.
	Different users have different levels of admin rights. At the bottom, users can enter comments in forums, but not enter content in the CMS.
	Parliament members (plus others) can enter content and use a private forum to discuss parliamentary business. Those with more Admin rights can moderate boards, retrieve other users' logins and change other users' details.
	The Admin section includes CMS tools and a members' forum. This requires a login to access. Apart from this section, the website is open to everyone on a read-only basis.
10. Moderation,	There is a <i>Privacy and Conditions of Use</i> statement which applies to the
facilitation,	forum and any public boards. 198 It includes warnings about posting
content-rating	personal information –as the website is for young people. The conditions

190 E.g. they successfully campaigned to have a youth convener on the Council: http://www.highlandyouthvoice.org/News/NewsItem.asp?NewsID=615&archive=25/09/2006
191 http://www.highland.gov.uk/
192 http://www.hie.co.uk/
193 http://www.northern.police.uk/
194 http://www.nhshighland.scot.nhs.uk/
195 http://www.snh.org.uk/
196 http://www.hifb.org/
197 http://www.hifb.org/

¹⁹⁷ http://www.hifb.org/
198 http://www.highlandyouthvoice.org/YourVoice/conditions.asp



	are displayed beneath all comment forms.
	The policy-debating forum is post-moderated, as users need to enter
	their user name and password in order to make a comment. Each
	comment is displayed with the contributor's username and school.
	Users may make anonymous comments. These are comments which are
	not accompanied by the users' user name and school, though users need
	their login details to make anonymous comments. These are pre-
	moderated.
	• Expert witnesses – all adult users are classed as "expert witnesses".
	Their debate comments show in a different colour to mark them out.
	They have facilitation roles in the forum – draw users out by asking for
	more opinions or reasoning, help to keep the debate on topic, bring
	factual information into the heart of the debate (in case users did not
	read the background information). Young people seem to value their
	contributions –it makes it seem like they are being listened to.
	The website also has a Shout Out board. This is open to anyone to post
	on. Contributors are asked for their name and place. This is pre-
	moderated.
	Moderation is currently done by ITC staff. An alert mechanism is used to
	email staff when new content is added to any part of the website.
11. Accessibility of	The website has been developed with young people in order to make it
the tool	as easy to use as possible. Evaluations of use of the forum 199 reveal that
	it is easy to read comments and post and reply to them.
	 Developers have prioritised accessibility, including minimising functional
	use of JavaScript
	Full WAI compliance not achieved
12. Language	The website is only available in English. Gaelic is a local language -
support	spoken as a second language by some young people in the area and
Support	
	undergoing a revival. It is envisaged that the amount of Gaelic content
10.01	included and supported will increase.
13. Channel	The tools are only available on the website
availability	Email alerts are used to let moderators know about changes to the
	website.
14. Technologies	The forum ²⁰⁰
	The forum is organised into "debates". Each debate is focussed on one
	topic. These may be consultations initiated by sponsors or third-parties.
	More than one debate may run at a time.
	Each debate consists of an introduction, background information and the
	comments. Some of the background information is included in the first
	comments (Opening Comments) made by the Expert Witness.
	 Closed debates²⁰¹ contain all the above, plus feedback from the debate's
	initiator. However, links to make a comment or reply to a comment are
	not available.
	 Removed comments –when a comment is removed for contravening the
	Conditions of Use, it is replaced by a message "Comment removed: see
	Conditions of Use" (linked to the conditions statement at the bottom of
	· ·
	the page). There is also a facility to remove comments, so that they do
	not show at all. This is useful for test or duplicate comments. 202

¹⁹⁹ Smith, E., Macintosh, A. and Whyte, A. (2006); 'Organised use of e-democracy tools for young people'. in Electronic Government: Communications of the Fifth International EGOV Conference 2006; September 4-8, 2006; Krakow, Poland; pp260-267
Abstract: http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/Abstract.asp?ID=71

200 http://www.highlandyouthvoice.org/YourVoice/

²⁰¹ http://www.highlandyouthvoice.org/YourVoice/Archives.asp

²⁰² It would also be useful for spam comments in a board that did not require registration



	_
	Comments are threaded. All comments are shown in full on the page at the same time. ²⁰³ . The most recently added comment or used thread is at the top. Comments are colour-coded into comments/replies and Expert Witness/ young person. ²⁰⁴ When a debate is live, each comment has a "reply to this" link next to it. This encourages use of the reply mechanism, threading and interaction with each other's comments.
	 The website is written in ASP. Non-static content is held in SQL server. The website is currently hosted on Microsoft SQL server 2003 Pages are set to display in CSS and html. Javascript is used to validate forms, but these are also validated server-side. The software was written (and is currently hosted) by ITC²⁰⁵
15. Evaluation mechanisms	 Contributions all contain the user name of the contributor (for the CMS and forum –though not the shout out board.) The following have been used as the basis of evaluation mechanisms: Server log files Comparison of statistics (especially for online voting) Workshops with users –including designing and evaluation
16. Further examples	 Questionnaires (paper and online) The forum was initially based on early ITC e-consultation software²⁰⁶ but has evolved through workshops and feedback with users
	The e-voting system and electoral support pages were based on an initial ITC system developed for HYV ²⁰⁷ . Since 2000, it has developed after evaluation of each election. This has fed into the design of other ITC e-voting systems, i.e. those used to support Napier's Academic Board elections ²⁰⁸
17. Further	See also other ITC projects: http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/projectsIndex.asp ITC website: http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/ProjectInfo.asp?ID=9
information	 Publications: "Highland Youth Voice Elections 2006: Evaluation" Smith, E. and Macintosh, A; November 2006 http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/Publications.asp#ID74 Smith, E., Macintosh, A. and Whyte, A. (2006); 'Organised use of edemocracy tools for young people'. in Electronic Government: Communications of the Fifth International EGOV Conference 2006; September 4-8, 2006; Krakow, Poland; pp260-267 "Highland Youth Voice Elections 2004: Evaluation" Smith, E. and Macintosh, A; January 2005 http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/Publications.asp#ID10 Masters, Z., Macintosh, A. and Smith, E., (2004); 'Young People and E-Democracy: Creating a Culture of Participation'; Proceedings of Third International Conference in E-Government, EGOV 2004; Zaragoza, Spain; 30th August to 3rd September, 2004. Smith, E. and Macintosh, A. (2003); 'E-voting: the powerful symbol of edemocracy'; Proceedings of DEXA 2003, the 2nd International Conference on Electronic Government - EGOV 2003; Prague, Czech Republic, September, 2003
	Smith, E., Macintosh, A. and Whyte, A. (2003); 'Culture and context in an

²⁰³ This was what young people wanted when the website was developed with their input. It works well, except for very busy debates.

204 Example of comments:
http://www.highlandyouthvoice.org/YourVoice/readComments.asp?debate=12&archive=yes

205 http://itc.napier.ac.uk/

http://tc.napier.ac.uk/ E.g. http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/projectSummaries.asp#Project12 http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/projectSummaries.asp#Project14 http://www.e-voter.org.uk/



- online voting system for young people'; in Duquenoy, P. Fischer-Hubner, S., Holvast, J. and Zuccato, A. (eds) Risks and Challenges of the Network Society: Proceedings of the second IFIP Summer School, 4-8th August 2003, Karlstad, Sweden, August 2003; pp107-114
- Macintosh, A., Robson, E., Smith, E., Whyte, A. (2002); 'Electronic Democracy and Young People'; Social Science Computer Review; Spring 2003, 21 (1); pp43-54
- Smith, E., Macintosh, A. and Whyte, A.; (2002); 'Invisibility Vs Transparency'. Proceedings Volume 2 of the 16th British HCI Conference London; September, 2002; London: BCS pp166-170
- Whyte, A. (2001); E-Voter and the Highland Youth Voice Elections http://itc.napier.ac.uk/ITC/Publications.asp#ID3



16. Junior Summit (1998)

1. Title	Junior Summit
2. General description	 http://www.jrsummit.net/ 1998 Summit: Nearly 3,000 participants from 139 countries communicating with one another through an innovative on-line forum, using translation technology. People were grouped into home rooms (small groups, mostly sharing languages). They suggest topics (first stage for a few weeks). List of 60 topics, participants vote to choose 20. They then choose topics and move to topic groups (mixed languages) –i.e. well-defined schedule All content (including the discussions) could also be used via email The forum was international. The project was based at MIT (US)²⁰⁹ Participants mostly 10-16²¹⁰. Specific inclusion efforts were made. 3,000²¹¹ children from 139 countries took part²¹²
3. Basis of initiative	 Isao Okawa organised the first junior summit in Japan (based on the 1995 G7 Summit). The summit was online for 6 months, culminating in a face to face summit for a minority of participants. Discussions were in English. Nicholas Negroponte, director of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) Media Laboratory, attended the Tokyo summit and was impressed. Negroponte and Okawa decided together that the Media Lab would host the 1998 summit. The information society was the main topic Major corporate sponsors were Citicorp, Lego, and Swatch. Additional partners included Sybase, Real Networks, Kodak, Polaroid, Lyris Technologies, WorldPoint, Chatspace, Africa Online, and others. Objective: Involve children and young people in discussions about planning the future (like the G7 Summit discussions) Technically: to make the content available to as many people as possible Timeline: See http://www.jrsummit.net/002tplswhy_jrs.wpi.html Progress – many still involved years later – e.g. in the online newspaper 213
4. Democracy Context	 The objective was to involve children and young people in discussions about the future. This group of people is largely disenfranchised. Notably the organisers sought to include a diverse selection of young people from across the globe. This did not reflect the make-up of G7 representatives!
5. Participation area	Collaborative Environments, Deliberation, Polling
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	Largely peer to peer, though outcomes were well publicised and presented to an audience at MIT ²¹⁴ . They also fed into action by the people involved. eInforming, eCollaborating, eEmpowering
7. Stage in policy cycle	(1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception](2) policy formulation(4) policy implementation
8. Stakeholders	Organisers (including research staff) at MITOrganisers (e.g. in partner organisations) globally

http://www.soc.northwestern.edu/justine/publications/JCMC.Cassell.Tversky.pdf

 ²⁰⁹ http://web.mit.edu/
 210 Cassell, J., Tversky, D. (2005). The Language of Online Intercultural Community Formation in Junior Summit '98. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication.

²¹¹ These were selected from 9,000 applicants.

²¹² William Wright and Scott Gunn (1999); "Running an Online Forum with 3,000 Kids from 139 Countries" in "Proceedings of the 9th Annual Conference of the Internet Society, INET'99", San Jose, US http://www.isoc.org/inet99/proceedings/2c/2c_2.htm

²¹³ http://journal.jrsummit.net:8001/

²¹⁴ http://www.jrsummit.net/tplsnews.wpi.html



	Content organisers
	Technical organisers (hardware and software)
	Moderators Other people who facilitate young people taking part (e.g. teechers)
	Other people who facilitate young people taking part (e.g. teachers) Children and voying people who took part online.
	Children and young people who took part online Children and young people aleated by their people at attend the affiling supposit
9. Rules of	Children and young people elected by their peers to attend the offline summit The moderators were given guidelines.
engagement	The moderators were given guidelines "adult moderators were trained to keep their participation to the absolute
(owner/provider	minimum-dealing with technical issues, and questions about the structure of the
and/or end-	program." (Cassell and Tversky, 2005)
user)	A mailing was sent to the participants containing a CD of software and
,	instructions for the online forum. ²¹⁵
	"the Junior Summit was a closed group of people-only those elected to the forum
	could access it-and the goals and structure of the forum were made explicit early
	on. Much like the imagined communities of nationalism described by Anderson
	(1991), these young people were told to think of themselves as a community,
	despite the fact that they had never seen one another. However, adherence to
	structure and participation in the stated goals were not policed by adults."
10 11 11	(Cassell and Tversky, 2005)
10. Moderation,	• Forum –works in small groups (of about 40 –due to personnel constraints). Each
facilitation, content-rating	has a moderator ²¹⁶ . First organised into "home groups" (sharing language) then "topic groups" (mixed language)
Content-rating	 23 moderators hired (about 15 hours a week each). Most had experience working
	with online education projects. Moderators to cover all languages
	Moderators received list of participants in their homeroom and information that
	helped them understand the history and goals of the project, including some
	guidelines for moderators. An online mailing list for moderators was put in place.
	Schedule:
	Week What happened
	1. 9/1 intro question: Tell everybody about yourself
	2. 9/7 intro question: Tell everybody about your community
	3. 9/14 intro question: How are computers used?
	4. 9/21 begin to suggest topics5. 9/28 select topic area; move to topic area
	6. 10/5 topic area
	7. 10/12 topic area (by 16th choose topic delegate)
	8. 10/19 topic area
	9. 10/26 topic area (finish draft of position paper)
	10. 11/2 send position papers to another topic group for review
	11. 11/9 revise position paper
	12. 11/16 week of summit
	13. 11/23 wrap-up from summit
11. Accessibility	Designed to be easy to use (by email or web browser), over very low bandwidth. Use
of the tool	of html only to display on legacy browsers (project is in 1998)
12. Language	Languages: • English, French, Spanish, Portuguese, and Chinese (Simplified).
support	Technology or process used to support mixed language use:
	"Incoming mail messages were routed to the Lyris mailing list management
1	software. Lyris handed them off to a Perl script, which requested translation into
1	the appropriate languages and stored the original message and all translations in
	the database. The messages were then sent out to mailing lists, so that, for
	example, an English speaker would see an original Spanish message followed by
	a machine translation into English. We felt that it was important to emphasize the

²¹⁵ http://www.jrsummit.net/tplsnews.wpi.html216 See Wright and Gunn for how this system was chosen



	 utility as well as the pitfalls of machine translation, so translations were always displayed beneath original texts. Participants could view original messages as well as translated messages on the website. In normal performance situations, the full turnaround time for individual message processing was about 10 seconds. During this time, several things happened: the message was received by our mail server, translations were requested, the original message and all translations were stored in the back-end database, the message and its translations were sent out to the appropriate mail lists, and the message became available on the dynamically generated website." (Wright and Gunn, 1999)²¹⁷ The website archive of the summit is available in Arabic, English, Spanish - Latin American, French, Hebrew, Japanese, Portuguese – Brazilian, Russian, Chinese Simplifed and Chinese Traditional
13. Channel availability	"The goal was to build a system with an e-mail core, so that those without Web access could participate fully. E-mail messages were displayed on the website, and responses could be made using a Web interface or a standard e-mail client." (Wright and Gunn, 1999)
14. Technologies	See Language Support for description of the translation process. Commercial products used together to save development time: E-mail list management: Lyris, by Lyris Technologies Machine translation: Enterprise Translation Server, by Transparent Language Database: Microsoft SQL Server The forum ran on six servers: Digital Unix: mail accounts, ftp, participant Web pages Digital Unix: online forum Web server, CGI scripts Windows NT: public Web server, Chinese machine translation Windows NT: database storage Windows NT: machine translation (French, Spanish, Portuguese) Windows NT: mailing list management Note that they also organised computers to be distributed to some participants (Wright and Gunn, 1999)
15. Evaluation mechanisms	"The data sets that comprise the Junior Summit are of three types: (1) the 48,000 messages posted to the online forum for the period September 1998-September 2003; (2) in-depth interviews about the effects of the Junior Summit conducted with

²¹⁷ "We used off-the-shelf machine translation (MT) software, along with our own internally developed web-based forum software (and commercial email list software to get things into people's email boxes). This solution was required because we had limited time, so we wanted to use as much off-the-shelf software as possible. What we used was state-of-the-art for 1998, but I'm sure there is better software out now. In particular, the MT software was very deficient in allowing us to use APIs, so we had to cobble together our own mechanisms for requesting almost real-time translations. These days, I'm sure most MT software will have good APIs, most likely web services.

There was another issue, which was the general quality of MT, and while that has gotten better in the intervening years, MT is still not at a place where it can replace human translators. For that reason, we provided a mechanism for our participants to replace any of our MT real-time translations with human translations. So people could get the gist almost instantly, but more accurate and subtle translations could be available after a bit of a delay.

Frankly, I have not had occasion to stay in touch with this area of technology much since I left MIT. My impression though, is that the technology is still rarely used, partly for the reasons I mentioned (MT still not really adequate for understanding beyond basic "gisting"). I also think there is a tendency in many fora to assume that participants will either know English or be able to learn it quickly. I hope you'll put this technology to work. Certainly if one hopes to have broad participation in online fora, especially for those who may not benefit from English-language education in schools, one needs to provide a mechanism for people to communicate across language barriers. I think our model of almost-instant MT, coupled with HT later one, still makes sense." Email from Scott Gunn, technical lead of project



16. Further examples	 78 participants from 20 countries five years after the Summit began; (3) questionnaires on socio-psychological variables (primarily self-efficacy, meaningful instrumental activity, social networks) filled out by the same subset of 78 of the children five years after the summit began." (Cassell and Tversky, 2005) The 1998 Summit was inspired by the 1995 Summit. The tradition continues – e.g. UNICEF's C8²¹⁸ and UNICEF's "Voices of Youth" forums²¹⁹
17. Further information	 William Wright and Scott Gunn (1999); "Running an Online Forum with 3,000 Kids from 139 Countries" in "Proceedings of the 9th Annual Conference of the Internet Society, INET'99", San Jose, US http://www.isoc.org/inet99/proceedings/2c/2c_2.htm Cassell, J., Tversky, D. (2005). "The Language of Online Intercultural Community Formation in Junior Summit '98" in "Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication". http://www.soc.northwestern.edu/justine/publications/JCMC.Cassell.Tversky.pdf Cassell, Justine, Huffaker, David, Tversky, Dona & Ferriman, Kim (2006) "The Language of Online Leadership: Gender and Youth Engagement on the Internet ". Developmental Psychology 42 (3) http://www.soc.northwestern.edu/justine/publications/Cassell_et_al_DevIPsych06.pdf Cassell, J. (2002). ""We Have these Rules Inside": The Effects of Exercising Voice in a Children's Online Forum". In S. Calvert, R. Cocking and A. Jordan (eds.), Children in the Digital Age. New York: Praeger Press, pp. 123-144. http://www.soc.northwestern.edu/justine/publications/jrsummit.chap.cassell.01.pdf History: http://www.jrsummit.net/001tplswhy_jrs.wpi.html For young people's views (especially of the offline summit) see http://journal.jrsummit.net.8001/

²¹⁸ http://www.unicef.org.uk/c8/index.asp http://www.unicef.org/voy/index.php



17. Law Commission Forum

1. Title	Law Commission Forum (a Digital Dialogues case study)
2. General description	 http://forum.lawcom.gov.uk/ Forum to enable people to participate in a discussion on law reform. The forum should feed into the Law Commission's program of reform²²⁰. The forum is divided into timed stages, so that one phase of the discussion feeds into the next. Format –a discussion forum (visually resembles a blog)
	 The forum is part of a wider study: Digital Dialogues (http://www.digitaldialogues.org.uk/) Target audience –anyone with an interest Area – England and Wales
3. Basis of initiative	 Law Commission objective: The Law Commission was established to keep the law of England and Wales under review with a view to its systematic development and reform. Our aim is to achieve more accessible, intelligible and modern law. Forum objective: "For people to participate in a discussion on law reform" ²²¹. Another channel for people to be involved in the Law Commissions' process. – i.e. responses will be considered alongside those received through other media. This online forum is being run within the Department for Constitutional Affairs²²² 'Digital Dialogues' pilot. Digital Dialogues Objective: The pilot will explore the potential of information and communication technology to support central government communications and consultations. Support and evaluation is being provided by the Hansard Society²²³, an independent, non-partisan charity. This is a "phase 2 pilot": 'Phase two of Digital Dialogues will build on the networks, practices and processes established during phase one: attempting to broaden these across central government and test the guidance material produced from phase one. New case-studies will be combined with a number carried over from phase one to allow the longitudinal evaluation vital to establishing sustainable practise. New case-study leaders will be offered the tools utilised in phase one of Digital Dialogues – blogs, forums and webchats. In addition, phase two will make available applications that are beginning to see mainstream use – wikis, podcasting, file-sharing directories, audio-visual blogs, mapping software, virals. New case-study leaders will also be encouraged to combine applications – for example, converging polling software with forums, or photo-sharing with mapping tools. Any applications will be offered the opportunity to make use of one or more of these platforms in isolation from or in parallel to conventional, offline techniques.
	Forum open: Mon 5th February – Fri 30th March 2007

http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/ - note that all government departments referenced for this case study are Westminster Government ones – i.e. with a UK/ England or England and Wales remit http://forum.lawcom.gov.uk/about http://www.dca.gov.uk/
http://www.hansardsociety.org.uk/
http://www.digitaldialogues.org.uk/



4. Democracy	UK
Context	Modern idea to make law accessible to the public.
Context	Wodern idea to make law accessible to the public.
F. Dorticination	Consultation
5. Participation	Consultation
area	Ton down
6. Direction of	Top down
communication/	eConsulting
level of	
participation	(1) arounds setting [includes accordance and problems persention]
7. Stage in policy	(1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception]
cycle	(2) policy formulation
8. Stakeholders	The Department for Constitutional Affairs (DCA) who commissioned the
	Digital Dialogues research
	The Hansard Society – running the Digital Dialogues research
	The Law Commission – outcomes feed into their program
	Expert users – e.g. legal experts
	Special interest and pressure groups
	Citizens with an interest
9. Rules of	Terms and Conditions 225
engagement	Web discussion rules ²²⁶ 227
(owner/provider	Moderation policy ²²⁷ 222
and/or end-user)	 Privacy and data protection for the website ²²⁸
	All posted on website, clear and comprehensive
	Registration:
	Users need to register to contribute – though not to read
	The registration form asks them to set up a username and to give their
	email address. Then:
	1) First Name
	2) Last Name
	3) What age bracket do you come under? (18-24, 25-34, 35-44. 45-54. 55-
	64, over 65)
	4) Please indicate your gender? (male, female)
	5) To which of these ethnic groups do you consider you belong? (English,
	Irish, Scottish, Welsh, British, Other White background, White and Black
	Caribbean, White and Black African, White and Asian, Other Mixed
	background, Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Other Asian background,
	Caribbean, African, Other Black background, Chinese, Other ethnic
	group)
	6) Where do you live?
	7) How often do you use the internet? (Frequently, Regularly, Occasionally,
	Hardly ever)
	8) Where do you most commonly access the internet from? (Home, Work,
	Library, Public access point, Combination, Other)
	9) Please state why you wanted to get involved in this forum?
	10) How did you find out about this consultation site?
	11) Have you taken part in any online consultations/forums before? (Yes,
	no)
	12) Have you ever taken part in Government consultations before? (Yes, no)
	13) Have you ever taken part in Law Commission consultations before? (Yes,
	no)
	14) I agree to the discussion rules of this site. 229

http://forum.lawcom.gov.uk/terms_and_conditions
http://forum.lawcom.gov.uk/forum/web_discussion_rules
http://forum.lawcom.gov.uk/forum/moderation_policy
http://forum.lawcom.gov.uk/site_information
http://forum.lawcom.gov.uk/site_information
http://forum.lawcom.gov.uk/site_information



10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating

- The moderation policy contains information about what moderation is.
- Forum moderated by the DCA's Law Commission consultation team, with occasional support from the Hansard Society²³⁰.
- Pre-moderation used, but only available in office hours

The Discussion Forum Rules:

By registering with this site you agree to abide by the following rules. These are standard web discussion rules that are designed to ensure participants feel safe, keen to take part and the discussion meets its objectives:

- 1) Debate should be lively but also respectful.
- 2) Stay relaxed though this inquiry is important and influential, taking part should be a positive experience.
- 3) Don't incite hatred on the basis of race, religion, gender, nationality or sexuality or other personal characteristic.
- 4) Don't swear, use hate-speech or make obscene or vulgar comments.
- 5) Don't provide information identifying your child, his or her address or school as being involved in court proceedings.
- 6) Don't break the law. This includes libel, condoning illegal activity and contempt of court (comments which might affect the outcome of an approaching court case). Please don't post private addresses, phone numbers, email addresses or other online contact details.
- 7) Don't send any documents used in any court proceedings or quote from such documents.
- 8) Don't provide information regarding the substance of a dispute before a court
- 9) Don't engage in "spamming". Please don't add the same comment to more than one forum.
- 10) Don't advertise. You can mention relevant products and services as long as they support your comment.
- 11) Don't impersonate or falsely claim to represent a person or organisation. Please don't mislead other users by abusing our registration procedure.
- 12) Don't post in a language other than English. We hope in the future to be able to support translation.
- 13) Stay on-topic. Please don't post messages that are unrelated to this online forum.
- 14) Don't be party political this site is about consensus-building, not party political point-scoring.
- 15) Under 16? If you are aged 16 or under, please get your parent/guardian's permission before participating in this online forum. Users without this consent are not allowed to participate or provide us with personal information.

If a comment contravenes the discussion rules it will not be published or will be removed from the forum. Posts may be returned to the participant by email, along with a reference to the broken rule(s). The participant will be invited to make appropriate changes in order that the post can be reconsidered. However, if a participant repeatedly breaks the rules that

²³⁰ The Hansard Society are experts in online moderation –they used to offer a course on this.



	participant's user account will be suspended and may be permanently revoked. 231
11. Accessibility of the tool	 As this is a pilot tool, it is presumed that the Law Commission consultation team had the skills or support they needed. Accessibility statement: "This site complies with Priority 1 of the Web Content Accessibility guidelines published by the W3 consortium" 232 Also an email address for technical help.
12. Language support	English
13. Channel availability	Mainly available as a websiteForum has xml feed capabilities
14. Technologies 15. Evaluation mechanisms	 Threaded discussion forum divided into topics. ²³³ 2 phases – an initial phase to gather suggestions for areas off the law needing reform. This discussion was summarised and the areas converged into areas of law (e.g. employment law). These "areas of law" were discussed in the second phase –looking for more detail about what people wanted reformed and why. As this is part of a pilot study, evaluation mechanisms are built in. An online survey to be completed at the start of the consultation
THECHAINSTIS	An evaluation survey at the end Need to be registered and logged in to complete the surveys See below for survey questions.
16. Further examples	 Digital Dialogue case studies phase 1: http://www.digitaldialogues.org.uk/interimreport/parttwo These have been completed and evaluated for the Interim Report²³⁴ Phase 2 case studies²³⁵. These are finishing and being evaluated.
17. Further information	The Full Digital Dialogues report will include an evaluation of this pilot and be published in Summer 2007.

Pre-Consultation survey:

- 1) How often do you use the internet? (Frequently; Regularly; Occasionally; Hardly ever)
- 2) Where do you access the internet? (Home, Work, Library, hternet café, Combination, Other)
- 3) Please state why you wanted to get involved in this forum?
- 4) How did you find out about this online forum?
- 5) Have you participated in other online consultations/discussion forums? (Yes, No)
- 6) If yes, please give us more info (topic, date, name of the consulting body) (not compulsory)
- 7) Have you been in contact with the Law Commission before? (Yes, No)
- 8) Have you given evidence to Parliament before? (Yes, No)
- 9) Nobody in Parliament or government ever listens to people like me (Agree, disagree)
- 10) There is not much I can do to change the way the country is run (Agree, disagree)
- 11) Do you have any other comments to make? (not compulsory)

Post-Consultation survey:

1) Are discussion forums a useful means of engaging with the Law Commission? (Yes, No, Don't know)

WAI link given: http://forum.lawcom.gov.uk/site_information

²³¹ Email from Hansard Society

²³² Statement: http://forum.lawcom.gov.uk/site information

The forum is set up with blog-based technology, but functions more like a forum than a blog

²³⁴ http://www.digitaldialogues.org.uk/interimreport

²³⁵ List currently here: http://www.digitaldialogues.org.uk/



- 2) Briefly, what are the advantages of online deliberation as you see them? (not compulsory)
- 3) Briefly, what are the disadvantages of online deliberation as you see them? (not compulsory)
- 4) Would you participate in online deliberation in the future? (Yes, No, Don't know)
- 5) Would you recommend participation in online deliberation to others? (Yes, No, Unsure)
- 6) How often did you post a contribution to the forum? (frequently, occasionally, rarely, never)
- 7) How often did you read other participants' posts? (frequently, occasionally, rarely, never)
- 8) How often did you visit the forum over its duration? (frequently, occasionally, rarely, never)
- 9) If you registered but did not post, briefly tell us why (not compulsory)
- 10) Did Law Commission representatives make sufficient contributions to the discussion? (Yes, No, Unsure)
- 11) In which direction was the main flow of deliberation in the forum? (between participants, from participants to policy team, from policy team to participants, even balance)
- 12) Did you learn anything about the Law Commission from participation in this forum that you did not know previously? (yes, no, don't know)
- 13) Did you learn anything from the other participants in the forum? (yes, no, don't know)
- 14) If yes to the above two questions, outline briefly how (not compulsory)
- 15) What was the main objective of the forum as you understood it? (not compulsory)
- 16) In your opinion, did the forum perform the role it set out to? (Yes, no, don't know)
- 17) Briefly state one thing you like most about the forum
- 18) Briefly state one aspect of the forum you would change to improve it
- 19) Do you have any comments about this website, online consultation or the public's role in policy making? (not compulsory)



18. Local issues forums

1. Title	Local issues forums
2. General description	http://e-democracy.org/ http://forums.e-democracy.org/ • Email lists for discussing local issues. Each list is an online community (forum) for a geographical area. Over time both software and policies for use have developed. Now available as a tool (GroupServer) which combines the lists with online forum/community tools. 236 • Each forum is specific to an area. Forums are currently live in: United Kingdom o Brighton & Hove o Bristol - Knowle West - Greater Bedminster o London Borough of Newham United States - Minnesota – State-wide o Minneapolis o Roseville o St. Paul o Winona New forums are starting in New Zealand. • Forums are aimed at everyone with email access and an interest in local issues.
3. Basis of initiative	The lists grew out of Minnesota E-Democracy which held world's first election oriented website in 1994. It was started by volunteers, who become a non-profit organisation. One of these volunteers was Steven Clift ²³⁷ , now chair of E-Democracy's board and organiser of Do-Wire ²³⁸ Issues Forum objective: • A space for sustained participation in local democracy. See Mission and Goals ²³⁹ UK pilots: In 2004, E-Democracy were funded by the Local eDemocracy Project ²⁴⁰ to bring Local Issues Forums to the UK ²⁴¹ The goal of this project was to document the Local Issues Forum process, create training materials, develop appropriate open source software to support Local Issues Forums, and launch a pilot project in the UK. The long term goal, was to demonstrate the effectiveness of Local Issues Forums as means of engaging UK citizens in local decision making processes and to offer this model of citizen participation to communities across the country. The Issues forums model, partly due to the UK project support, is now successfully expanding geographically, though the Minnesota forums are still by far the most successful in terms of participation and influence. ²⁴³

http://www.e-democracy.org/groupserver/

http://www.publicus.net/

http://www.dowire.org/

http://e-democracy.org/about.html

http://e-democracy.org/about.html

http://e-democracy.org/about.html

http://www.e-democracy.gov.uk/site/index.php

http://www.e-democracy.org/uk/press.html

case Study produced for Local eDemocracy Project

http://www.icele.org/site/scripts/download_info.php?fileID=890

http://www.icele.org/site/scripts/download_info.php?fileID=890

²⁴³ See the Flash-based Issues Forum Stories with video interviews (especially the Mayor of Minneapolis) http://www.e-democracy.org/experience/



4. Democracy	Varies across areas- though seems to be successful in areas with a stronger
Context	tradition of community/civic involvement.
	Probably the longest-running e-democracy initiative.
5. Participation	Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative Environments,
area	Consultation, Deliberation
	Also – online support for electoral information e.g. online hustings
6. Direction of	Mostly peer to peer, but also used by people in power to consult and for
communication/	citizens to bring issues to local government.
level of	eInforming, eConsulting, eCollaborating, eEmpowering
participation	
7. Stage in policy	Is used at almost all stages in the policy process, (depending on local
cycle	government buy-in) but mostly during early stages.
	(1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception]
	(2) policy formulation
	(3) decision-making
	(4) policy implementation (5) policy evaluation
8. Stakeholders	(5) policy evaluation. • Citizens ²⁴⁴
o. Stakeriolders	Community activists
	Elected officials/decision-makers
	Journalists
	 Issues forum staff/board (mostly volunteers)
9. Rules of	To join a local forum someone need only supply a name (full names are
engagement	preferred) area and email address ²⁴⁵ . However, the GroupServer software
(owner/provider	also supplies space for a profile where members may supply more
and/or end-user)	information (e.g. website or blog URLs)
,	Key rules for issues forums:
	o All posts must be signed by the author's full and actual name.
	 Two posts per person per day
	 No personal attacks.
	 Issues discussed must be local issues.
	 The Forum Manager has the duty to warn and remove members
	who fail to comply with forum rules. 246
	Full rules for all forums: http://www.e-democracy.org/rules/
	• Each forum also has its own charter. This may include the forum's goals,
	typical topics, participation rules, information about the forum's
	board/manager ²⁴⁷
10 Moderation	City-wide forums require 100 registered participants to open ²⁴⁸ Cash forum has a manager, whose job is to sid the smooth running of
10. Moderation, facilitation,	 Each forum has a manager, whose job is to aid the smooth running of conversation – by enforcing the rules in the most diplomatic or practical
content-rating	way. Detailed instructions/advice on fulfilling this role are contained in
content-rating	The Forum Managers' Guide section of the Guidebook (p24). These are
	recommended reading for anyone hosting or moderating any sort of
	online forum.
	The manager has a key role in facilitating the forum
	Forums are not pre-moderated
	 Participants can be suspended based on official rule violations for specific

²⁴⁴ The advantages of the forums for people in each of these groups are documented here: http://e- democracy.org/center/whyjoin.html and in more detail in the Local Issues Forum Guidebook http://www.e-democracy.org/uk/guide.pdf

245 http://e-democracy.org/uk/ifsignupform.doc

See Local Issues Forum Guidebook p21 for reasons and more details

²⁴⁷ E.g. Brighton and Hove charter: http://forums.e-democracy.org/groups/bh/charter
²⁴⁸ "Minneapolis is the largest forum with close to 800 registered participants (not including many more web visitors)." -email from Steven Clift



	 amounts of time with procedures for appeal and due process. "Fewer than 5 posts out of over 100,000 have been removed, edited, or annotated." ²⁴⁹ The rule restricting posts to 2 per day is enforced by the GroupServer software.
11. Accessibility of the tool	 Most participation takes place via email, though the forums can also be used through a simple web interface. No specific accessibility statements appear on the website
12. Language support	Have not found groups using other languages than English
13. Channel availability	Email, web and RSS
14. Technologies	 E-democracy.org have been moving all their groups and lists to GroupServer²⁵⁰ over the last 3 years. GroupServer is a GPL open source collaboration server. It supports manyto-many interaction in groups and communities via email and an integrated web forum interface. Websites supported by GroupServer provide a secure, personalised content structure with member directories, postings by topic, RSS and email digest modes, document sharing, and web-based forum management and many other features. GroupServer renders XML content dynamically using XSLTs and is built on Zope and written in Python. GroupServer is designed and developed by OnlineGroups.Net²⁵¹.
15. Evaluation mechanisms	The websites supporting the forums have a variety of mechanisms for participants to get involved in progressing the project –including blogs and wikis ²⁵²
16. Further examples	GroupServer technology is also used by the Do-wire groups ²⁵³ a family of edemocracy lists
17. Further information	 Local Issues Forum Guidebook (2005) Prepared by E-Democracy.Org for the UK Pilot Issues Forums http://www.e-democracy.org/uk/guide.pdf Case Study produced for Local eDemocracy Project (2005) http://www.icele.org/site/scripts/download_info.php?fileID=890 UK Pilot information: http://www.e-democracy.org/uk/ More information: http://e-democracy.org/if E-Democracy Experience: about the forums in multimedia: http://www.e-democracy.org/experience/ About E-Democracy: mission and background: http://www.e-democracy.org/about.html Research: Links to articles and resources: http://www.e-democracy.org/research/ See Project products: http://e-democracy.org/uk/notes.html

Email from Steven Clift

http://groupserver.org/

http://groupserver.org/groupserver/us/index.html

Project blog http://e-democracy.org/blog Wiki: http://e-democracy.org/wiki

http://groups.dowire.org/index.xml



19. Ministry of Finance Forum - Macedonia

1. Title	Ministry of Finance Forum - Macedonia
2. General	Ministry of Finance website: www.finance.gov.mk
description	Introduction in English: http://www.finance.gov.mk/gb/index.html
	Forum where citizens could make suggestions about draft laws or initiatives. It's not clear if the forum section is still live. Area: FYRO Macedonia
2. Dools of	Aimed at experts and general public Charted (but the Ministry of Finances) as part of an addresses a part of an addresses and approximately an addresses and approximately and addresses and approximately and addresses and approximately and addresses and approximately and addresses and addre
3. Basis of initiative	Started (by the Ministry of Finance?) as part of an e-democracy program – possibly EU-funded. 254
	Objective:
	Increase transparency and public understanding of government processes.
	Note also "The Ministry of finance promotes the development of the legislative framework that supports digital signatures and other regulation close with ecommerce. This move is expected to help the expansion of electronic transactions in the Macedonian market." (Kekenovski and Apostolova, 2004)
	We do not have much information about this project – including who initiated it, when it was initiated, how successful it was or whether any of it is still live.
4. Democracy Context	Within a mostly peaceful and successful move towards independence and democracy, some problems have been identified with ethnic divisions, pressures on media freedom, lack of transparency from the Government, lack of trust in the Government. Accepted as candidate for accession to EU. ²⁵⁵ Telecoms –recently privatised (from government control). Coming out of a protected-monopoly situation. Aggressive campaign to build IT and telecoms infrastructure. ²⁵⁶
5. Participation	(Hard to judge with little information available)
area	Information Provision, Collaborative Environments Importance of transparency
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	Mostly top-down or invited by government. eInforming, eConsulting
7. Stage in policy cycle	(Hard to judge with little information available)
8. Stakeholders	 Ministry of Finance ministers and staff People with a specialist interest Ordinary citizens Those interested in increasing transparency/tackling corruption.

²⁵⁴ Most of the information in this case study is taken from Coleman, S., and Kaposi, I. (2006); "New democracies, new media, what's new? A study of e-participation projects in third-wave democracies" http://www.ega.ee/handbook/# Toc132047448 p31

²⁵⁵ Freedom House (2006) Country Report: Macedonia

http://www.freedomhouse.org/template.cfm?page=47&nit=395&year=2006

²⁵⁶ Ljubomir Kekenovski and Mirjana Apostolova (2004) "E-Government The Future Prospect In Macedonia" UNPAN http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/untc/unpan012551.pdf



9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or enduser)	No information found
10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	No information found
11. Accessibility of the tool	No information found
12. Language support	Seems to have only been available in Macedonian.
13. Channel availability	No information found –presumably only available through a web interface.
14. Technologies	No information found
15. Evaluation mechanisms	No information found
16. Further examples	Skopje City Council started a project funded by EU Program for democratization and civil rights named as "e City Council" with the main objective: "Transparency and citizen involvement in Council sessions and councillors working". (Kekenovski and Apostolova, 2004, p6) The City of Skopje website ²⁵⁷ still contains a forum ²⁵⁸
17. Further information	 Coleman, S., and Kaposi, I. (2006); "New democracies, new media, what's new? A study of e-participation projects in third-wave democracies" http://www.ega.ee/handbook/# Toc132047448 Ljubomir Kekenovski and Mirjana Apostolova (2004) "E-Government The Future Prospect In Macedonia" UNPAN http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/untc/unpan012551.pdf

²⁵⁷ http://www.skopje.gov.mk/ ²⁵⁸ http://www.skopje.gov.mk/Forum/



20. Oncom - Online Communities

1. Title	Oncom, Online Communities
2. General	http://www.oncom.org.uk/
description	 Portals for geographic communities and for communities with particular interests (eg Arts, Business, Environment), with community news, notices, consultations, photographic features, campaigns. The open forum takes the form of "Letters to the editor". Format is entirely webbased and designed to look colourful and familiar, something like an online "local paper" The website also hosts consultations and online "hustings" for elections Web space for local councillors and community groups 12 geographic communities e.g. http://www.richmond-online.co.uk/ London Borough of Richmond upon Thames, South East England, UK Aimed at people in the local community. Each portal covers a small geographic area aligned with the democratic geography/natural communities of the borough (e.g. Barnes –population 12,900)
3. Basis of	Founded by local resident. Independent. Run by volunteers. Unfunded.
initiative	 History: Web page of local links made for Hampton in 1997 at the suggestion of local voluntary care group (VCG) to provide the local e-community with a rallying point online. Vision was for an e-community to enhance community spirit in this suburb developed to include a service making free websites for local charities and campaigns. at the request of the community, a system was developed to disseminate community-oriented local news, and a web forum (the VoxPop) devised as a voice for local people to express their interests on local issues In 2000 Online Communities was rolled out across the borough with a bigger vision: to develop a network of local websites where people with no experience of the internet air very local issues and come together as a wider community, with a public platform for their views and concerns. The community was run and funded by volunteers, but is currently looking for a more sustainable financial model, to finance a minimum of staff and technical development. Objectives "To improve this social network and better empower local communities by progressively making inventive use of the web, enabling everyone however inexperienced to simply and effectively participate in direct democracy; to regenerate a community spirit; to continue the work of innovation to create more opportunities for involvement and interaction on the local internet; to reach everyone in the borough who wants to use Online Communities for activity relevant to where they live from wherever they may be (at work, at school, at day centres, etc); to better inform and educate."
4. Democracy Context	The project is rare in terms of UK e-democracy by being a grass roots initiative (especially combined with its 10 year history) The project is based in one of the UK's wealthier boroughs.
5. Participation area	Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative Environments, Consultation, Campaigning, Deliberation

²⁵⁹ John Inglis, Online Communities (2007) "A future for Online Communities" http://forums.dowire.org/groups/ukie/files/f/11652-2007-01-12T221742Z/OncomProject2.doc



6. Direction of	Mostly peer to peer and ground-up, but also used by councillors to consult
communication/	or disseminate information.
level of	eInforming, eConsulting, eCollaborating, eEmpowering
participation 7. Stage in policy	Can be used at any stage of formulating or reviewing policy.
cycle	(1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception]
Cycle	(2) policy formulation
8. Stakeholders	Oncom volunteers (editors and regular content producers) ²⁶⁰
	People producing content on an occasional basis
	Local organisations with pages hosted on the website/using the content
	management system.
	People in government in the area, especially local councillors
	• Local citizens
9. Rules of	The forum is called Vox Pop ²⁶¹ "Vox Pop must be conducted in the tradition
engagement	of Online Communities' Letters to the Editor" (Code of Conduct)
(owner/provider and/or end-user)	 Vox Pop Code of Conduct: http://www.sav-it-all.com/voxpoprut3/help/conduct.html
and/or end-user)	All visitors to the portal can view the forums. Users need to apply to
	register in order to contribute. Information required: real name,
	password, email address (web mail not accepted), home address. These
	applications are vetted by volunteers.
	Citizen journalists who are trained to use the news content management
	system are representatives of local organisations, whose names and
10.14	emails appear on the pages they publish.
10. Moderation,	Post-moderated. "Letters are monitored; as writers publish in their own
facilitation, content-rating	name risks are reduced and pre-moderation is not necessary. However, users sign up to the code of conduct on registration and they are held to it.
content-rating	Those transgressing can be suspended from access to the VoxPop." 262
11. Accessibility of	The website is specifically designed to encourage use (e.g. of the content
the tool	management system) by people without IT skills.
	Have not found any specific information about accessibility
	Some pages use Frames, which can be problematic for some users. 263
12. Language support	English
13. Channel	Web-based
availability	 RSS news feeds from each page (used on many other websites)
	Newsbox which allows other websites to display Oncom news stories live
	on their sites.
14. Technologies	12 community websites bound together in a portal. Each shares
	functions, rather than content (though some portal-wide content/issues).
	Each has own editorial team.
	Each of the 12 locally-based portals access borough-wide and cross- withing power.
	cutting news
	 Content management systems allow local organisations/ councillors/people to produce their own pages
	Each local portal also has its own local e-community
	Directories of local information
L	- Directiones of local information

²⁶⁰ Oncom staff are active in UK e-Democracy, so well known among people involved in the field.
²⁶¹ The translation given on the website is "Voice of the people"
²⁶² Email from Jill Sanders, Oncom

²⁶³ Oncom respond that the frameset doesn't seem to have been problematic for users: "Some pages use Frames, which has not been found to be problematic for users. The website is a nested frameset in order to ensure easy navigation and to accommodate the complex structure of the network." -Jill Sanders

²⁶⁴ E.g. http://www.say-itall.com/voxpoprut3/index.php?act=thread&thread_id=6816&message_id=6816



	 Graphics widely employed, both to be user-friendly and attractive Vox pop threaded forum: Simple threading within each subject. Comments may be posted as new subjects or replies. Comments are shown as title accompanied by name and the number of days ago it was posted. Click on a title to show the full comment below the thread list.²⁶⁴ Each community has its own forum, but once registered, a user can post in any of the forums. There are also borough wide forums (e.g. environment)
15. Evaluation mechanisms	Information supplied to register to contribute includes demographic information (residential address) Usage statistics are published on the website, ²⁶⁵ with an explanation of their provenance and reliability.
16. Further examples	 Links to local information on other websites – e.g. rail enquiries Comprehensive and well categorised links to websites with local relevance Websites and photo galleries produced by Oncom volunteers about local places/issues e.g. Mogden Sewage Treatment Works: http://www.mogden.org.uk/
17. Further information	 About page: http://www.oncom.org.uk/comn/about.html Jill Sanders (2005) "The story of Oncom - Online Communities in Richmond upon Thames" in eGov Monitor http://www.egovmonitor.com/node/3601/print John Inglis, Online Communities (2007) "A future for Online Communities" http://forums.dowire.org/groups/ukie/files/f/11652-2007-01-12T221742Z/OncomProject2.doc ICT Case Study: Online Communities National eWell-Being Awards 2005 commended entry²⁶⁶ Awarded local services to the community through IT award, Richmond Council for Voluntary Services, 2005. 267



21. Open Government Website Of Mongolia

1. Title	Open Government Website Of Mongolia
2. General description	http://open-government.mn Website established in order to promote public-private dialogue on economic matters, and to involve citizens in law and policy-making. The site was intended to include information, draft laws, forum, online conference, and interviews conducted by the site's journalists. Rather than being a purely top-down initiative, the website has been run, until recently by various subcontractors (mostly NGOs) working for USAID -the US Agency for International Development ²⁶⁸ .
	The Government of Mongolia is currently re-developing, re-designing and re-launching the Open Government Website (OGW) with an aim to integrate the new site into the Government of Mongolia's broader communications goals, and to improve the public/private dialogue on key economic issues. 269
	See "Technologies" below for list of current content.
	 The new website is primarily conceived of as a web-based Content Management System (CMS). The content for the web-based CMS site is divided into three categories: Information (News, Cabinet Resolutions, Your Government, Who Said What, The Press Room, National Programs & Priorities, FAQ, Kids, Invest Mongolia, Employment Opportunities, Scholarships) Communications (Ask The Government, Vote Now, Contact us) Services (Mobile services, downloadable PDF forms for scholarship, Subscription to press releases and employment opportunities, downloadable PDF press releases and downloadable photos). Some of the functions that the Government of Mongolia would like the site to contain include: Subscription – email, PDF downloads, on-line chat, interactive map of Mongolia, on-line Poll, m-services, links and an abbreviated English version that will contain a limited News section, Your Government section and Invest Mongolia section.
3. Basis of initiative	 Area: Mongolia (though also used by people abroad) Launched in December 2001 The Open Government Website was set up by Chemonics LLC²⁷⁰, financed by USAID under the Economic Policy Reform and Competitiveness (EPRC) Project²⁷¹. The Asia Foundation was a subcontractor to Chemonics²⁷². Funding and technical support from US Agency for International Development (USAID.) Hosted and maintained by Chemonics under the EPRC project

²⁶⁸ http://www.usaid.gov/269 The Asia Foundation, Betina Infante (2006) "Strategy For The Open Government Content Management System" developed with The Cabinet Secretariat of the Government of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, under the USAID-funded EPRC project. This document has yet to be published on the Internet, but was provided by The Asia Foundation, Mongolia http://www.asiafoundation.org/Locations/mongolia.html

²⁷⁰ a US-based institutional contractor http://www.chemonics.com/

²⁷¹ Economic Policy Reform And Competitiveness (EPRC) Project

http://www.usaid.gov/mn/programs/eprc/index.html

http://www.asiafoundation.org/Locations/mongolia.html



	Chemonics staff unloaded content
	 Chemonics staff uploaded content. Initial objective: To improve the transparency of government. The site was designed to open channels of information between government and citizens and encourage citizen participation in the policy-making process through online debate of draft laws and policy papers. The Mongolian Government, including the Prime Minister, Nambaryn Enkhbayar seem to have been aware of the process and approved of the concept. 273 Current objectives: to assist the Government of Mongolia in improving public and private dialogue on government policies and programs by providing citizens with greater access to information about government programs and policies; disseminating timely and accurate information to the public; soliciting feedback and information from the public to improve government operations and policies; delivering on-line services that streamline government services-delivery and more effectively address citizens' needs. Progress and current status: The website has kept its original design until now, with the exception of a slight technical upgrade made in January 2003 in its forum section to post public comments and inputs on draft laws and policy issues during the law-making process. Current plans involve a complete overhaul of the website, from code to ownership. The Government of Mongolia has assumed responsibility for the site and is in the process of redeveloping the OGW. The Asia Foundation is
	 working closely with the Government on this effort. WSA In 2003 was nominated for the World Summit Award in the egovernment category²⁷⁴.
4. Democracy Context	Difficult transition to democracy in early 90s. "Although international observers considered that at the 2005 election all
E Participation	candidates apparently had equal access to media coverage, members of the MDU, still in opposition to the incumbent MPRP, reported incidents of harassment by the state. In July 2005 a new media law came into force, transforming the state radio and television networks into an independent broadcasting service." (Coleman and Kaposi, 2006, p70) There is still much concern about corruption ²⁷⁵ - the Government has responded by passing anti-corruption legislation and creating an anti-corruption agency which began operations in January 2007.
5. Participation	Information Provision, Consultation

http://www.asiafoundation.org/pdf/MG-corruptionfree.pdf

²⁷³ "Enkhbayar and his associates considered that Mongolia's relatively new democracy needed to improve transparency, especially in the complex legislative process which had prevented most citizens from evaluating and expressing opinions on draft legislation. Such information and engagement were considered necessary to foster the rule of law, as informed and engaged citizens are more likely to remain law-abiding citizens" Coleman, S. and Kaposi, I. (2006); "New democracies, new media, what's new? A study of e-participation projects in third-wave democracies" http://www.ega.ee/handbook/#_Toc132047448 (p70 in pdf)

274 http://www.wsis-award.org/index.php?folder=53 (not a category winner)

275 The Asia Foundation (2006) "Mongolia - Corruption-free for fairness and justice"



area	
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	Conceived as a top-down initiative – though previously lacking in government involvement. 276 The Government of Mongolia aims to remedy this in the new website. The new website should also increase opportunities for participation. eInforming, eConsulting
7. Stage in policy cycle	Initially focussed on policy, but with more general e-democracy and e-government aims (1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception] (2) policy formulation (4) policy implementation (5) policy evaluation.
8. Stakeholders	 Involved in delivering the current plan: Government of Mongolia²⁷⁷ including Cabinet Secretariat of the Government²⁷⁸ Parliamentarians Government Agency and Line staff The IT working group for the project (including IT Department of the Secretariat and Asia Foundation staff/associates) will oversee site development and maintenance Government of Mongolia webmasters will upload content The Asia Foundation is providing assistance for software and hardware identified in the new website plan: Identified by the plan: Primary Mongolian Professionals (age 25 – 50), Mongolian Businesses, Foreign investors, Diaspora, University Graduates, Civil Service, Media Secondary Secondary School Students, Herders, Pensioners, Disabled
9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or end-user)	Have not managed to find information about registration or terms and conditions either on previous site or within current plan
10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	Have not managed to find information about moderators or moderation policy.
11. Accessibility of the tool	No information found
12. Language support	 Mongolian (Cyrillic script) Some of the site (including transcripts) is available in English. The current proposal includes an abbreviated English version that will contain a limited News section, Your Government section and Invest Mongolia section.
13. Channel availability	 Website, Email newsletter "Superchats" have been organised on particular topics. These involved integration with other media: "In 2005 the website sponsored a national "Open Talk on Taxes" with the Ministry of Finance and General Department of National Taxation. This was broadcast live on national TV, radio and internet. Over 600 visitors from five different countries participated through the Internet chat lines and asked 63 questions; 115

²⁷⁶ Seems to have had tacit government support, though the government bore none of the costs of operation, nor did it provide content (The Asia Foundation)
²⁷⁷ The website's new motto will be "When it comes to government, ask us first"
²⁷⁸ The Cabinet Secretariat has authority for all communications originating from the Government of

Mongolia



	questions came through live telephone lines. There were 142 short text messages with questions and 14 questions from the live audience at the ICT Conference Hall. (Coleman and Kaposi, 2006, p71)
14. Technologies	 Current contents of website: Home page- Address by the Prime Minister N. Enkhbayar to users of the website, news, information (including information released by the Government Press Office), tips, subscription to the Open Government Newsletter, search. Legislation - Draft laws, Laws, Parliament agendas and Links with laws. The Parliament agendas page contains lists of laws adopted by the Parliament and pending laws. Links to other websites with Mongolian legislation. Discussion- three sections: Forum - participate in electronic discussions: comment on certain issues, initiate new discussions and view comments of other users. Online conference - you can chat with high-level government officials and politicians, from time to time. Questions and Answers section, interviews made by website's journalist. Links - to other useful websites of government institutions and non governmental organizations. Search²⁸⁰ - (not forum). Contact information²⁸¹ Have not found any information about technologies used to power the website.
15. Evaluation mechanisms	No information found about in built mechanisms. The new OGW strategy describes how the website will be evaluated.: "The GOM will use server software to measure quantitative indicators, and will produce site traffic reports, including unique visits, page/information popularity, the geographic location of site readers, etc. Indicator: Increase external site traffic Indicator: Increased internal site users They will monitor the quantity of requests/suggestions, services used, and the timeliness and quality of the GOM's response to inquiries. Indicator: Increased number of inquires and suggestions Indicator: Decrease response time to inquiries and suggestions. Indicator: Use of suggestion The GOM will also monitor the timeliness of information uploads to assess the responsiveness and utility of the site. Indicator: Number of daily updates to the site. They will conduct periodic usability studies to improve and upgrade the site according to user needs. Indicator: Increased positive feedback on content, functions and user-friendliness
16. Further examples	 Indicator: Increased user satisfaction" (Infante, 2006) The website has strong links with other media, including TV: "The Foundation will also support the Prime Minister's office in launching the national TV program "Open Government Website Presents" to

²⁷⁹ Coleman and Kaposi include "An estimated total of almost 700,000 Mongolians tuned in to the programme." However, this figure is disputed as unlikely in a total population of 2.3 million Mongolians, of which 1.3 million live in rural areas. (William S. Infante)

²⁸⁰ Don't forget to type in Cyrillic, if you're doing search in Mongolian

²⁸¹ Summarised version of the website's Site Map page http://www.open-

government.mn/english/mapnew/index.php?vmenunum=200&vlang=1&vurl=/english/mapnew/index.p ĥр



	 complement ongoing government efforts to build awareness of policy and institutional reform, and facilitate the participation of the public in decision making processes." ²⁸² See the website's links page for other government websites, plus links to NGO websites, media organisations etc ²⁸³
17. Further information	 EPRC project (2004) "Policy Brief: Transparency, The Open Government Website and Public Participation" http://www.eprc-chemonics.biz/documents/policy_briefs/20040919_opengov_en.pdf Website FAQ in English²⁸⁴ Overview from The Asia Foundation's website²⁸⁵ A case study in Coleman, S. and Kaposi, I. (2006); "New democracies, new media, what's new? A study of e-participation projects in third-wave democracies" (p70 in pdf)²⁸⁶ The Asia Foundation, Betina Infante (2006) "Strategy For The Open Government Content Management System" developed with The Cabinet Secretariat of the Government of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, under the USAID-funded EPRC project. This document has yet to be published on the Internet, but was provided by The Asia Foundation, Mongolia http://www.asiafoundation.org/Locations/mongolia.html

²⁸² http://www.asiafoundation.org/Locations/mongolia highlight2.html Note that the Government is now taking the lead in this.

²⁸³ http://www.open-government.mn/english/linksnew/index.php

²⁸⁴ http://open-

government.mn/english/phpgov/index.php?vlink=indexhome.php&vmenunum=100&vurl=/phpgov/inde x.php&vlang=1

285 http://www.asiafoundation.org/Locations/mongolia_highlight2.html

²⁸⁶ The case study was based upon information reflecting the status of the OGW from inception till 2005. Changes introduced to the structure and management of the site initiated by the new Enkhbold government when it came to power in early 2006 resulted in a complete overhaul of the site strategy and operations which are currently being implemented with assistance from The Asia Foundation.



22. Politika.lv

1. Title	Politika.lv (Policy)
	"In Latvian, no separate word for 'policy' exists, as 'politika' means both 'policy' and 'politics'. Negative connotations, such as corruption, have been closely attached to politics. By naming the policy portal 'politika', the SFL ²⁸⁷ team encouraged a 'rehabilitation' of the word, giving it a new meaning and linking consultative policy-making and open debate." ²⁸⁸
2. General	http://www.politika.lv/
description	http://www.policy.lv/index.php?id=100373⟨=en
	 Online portal dedicated to public policy in Latvia. Organised around three key components: resources (policy studies, draft legislation etc.), discussion (by way of publishing opinion articles and allowing for user comments) and providing interactive tools for public participation. Discussion is largely linked to and conducted through articles: analysis and interviews, political and social studies, draft policy papers. "Op-ed" (opinion editorial) articles published alongside lengthy, specialised policy papers, which may be less interesting to majority of users. Op-ed articles are commissioned from experts on specific topics describing a policy issue in non-technical language. This feature helped to make policy issues understandable to the general public, and has since proved a popular resource. Most of Politika.lv users read the op-ed articles, while few consult the lengthy policy papers. 289 Questionnaires and quick polls Also thematic specials e.g. election special: analysis of past party manifestos and interactive tool "Try on a party!" (users could compare their views on issues with those of five leading candidates from the 10 main political parties) The initial website included open, user generated forums, but these did not prove to be popular and are now used only in the context of on-line consultations, when users may introduce a new thread of discussion. 290 Independent Area: Latvia
3. Basis of	Founded in 2001, by Soros Foundation Latvia 291 (SFL) (civil servants and
initiative	 representatives from Latvian NGOs involved in initial idea) Since the beginning of 2003 Politika is part of the Centre for Public Policy PROVIDUS²⁹². Previous and current sponsors include the Soros Foundation – Latvia, Information Program of the Open Society Institute ²⁹³, Society Integration Foundation²⁹⁴, US Embassy²⁹⁵, European Parliament Information Office in Riga²⁹⁶, United Nations Development Programme²⁹⁷, Royal Netherlands Embassy in Riga²⁹⁸, various European

²⁸⁷ Soros Foundation Latvia http://www.sfl.lv/

²⁸⁸ Coleman, S. and Kaposi, I. (2006); "New democracies, new media, what's new? A study of eparticipation projects in third-wave democracies"

http://www.ega.ee/handbook/#_Toc132047448 (p79 in pdf) ²⁸⁹ Coleman, S., and Kaposi, I. (2006); "New democracies, new media, what's new? A study of eparticipation projects in third-wave democracies"

http://www.ega.ee/handbook/# Toc132047448 p77
290 email from Krista Baumane, publisher of Politika.lv and development director of PROVIDUS

²⁹¹ http://www.sfl.lv/

http://www.providus.lv/public/ http://www.policy.lv/index.php?id=102717&lang=en

²⁹³ http://www.soros.org/initiatives/information

²⁹⁴ http://www.lsif.lv/lv/

²⁹⁵ http://www.usembassy.lv/EN

²⁹⁶ http://www.europarl.lv/

²⁹⁷ http://www.undp.lv/?language=2



	Commission grants and contracts, the Latvian Government, Social Integration Foundation. In addition, since 2006 the website has started to receive voluntary user contributions and advertising revenues. Objectives: From the website: • The primary objective of the web-site is to contribute towards raising the quality of public policy decisions in Latvia by promoting policy-making based on policy analysis, as well as to promote public participation in the policy process. Quality participation requires resources. Information is one of those resources therefore we aspire to become a comprehensive source of policy studies and critique. • We wish to develop this website as a meeting place for a virtual community – a public policy community constituted by researchers, analysts, decision-makers, non-governmental organizations, journalists and everyone concerned about Latvia's development. • We offer an environment for critical discussions where professionals can debate about the research published, professional standards and methodological issues. • The website is also a place where new talent can get a good start, where a researcher can find professional growth opportunities, cooperation partners and identify financing possibilities for future projects. 299 From Coleman and Kaposi • Politika was set up in response to two main concerns: the need for open and responsible public policy-making and the development of a Latvian information society. • Improve Latvia's online discussion culture by providing a non-commercial space. (that is driven by contents' quality instead of click-rate 300) • editorial guidelines prioritise independence and serving the public interest by "providing truthful information and diversity of opinion." 301
4. Democracy Context	 "Having joined the EU on 1 May 2004, Latvia is unquestionably considered 'free' by international standards, and is rated as such by Freedom House. The political party structure, however, has been described as weak and party politics unstable." "the requirements of the acquis communautaires were met in time for first round EU accession in 2004."
5. Participation area	Information Provision, Collaborative Environments, Deliberation, Discourse
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	Expert/citizen to government/media, citizen to citizen Also by government for e-consultation eInforming, eConsulting, eCollaborating, eEmpowering
7. Stage in policy cycle	Used at all stages in the policy lifecycle, but designed for early stages. Very influential (1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception] (2) policy formulation (3) decision-making (4) policy implementation (5) policy evaluation

http://www.undp.lv/?language=2
http://www.policy.lv/index.php?id=100373&lang=en
email from Krista Baumane
coleman and Kaposi p73



8. Stakeholders	 Researchers (get work published) Experts and other authors³⁰² Public policy community³⁰³ Decision-making institutions and policy-makers³⁰⁴ NGOs Citizens Sponsors (especially Soros Foundation Latvia) Media/journalists
9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or end-user)	 To comment on articles/other resources, users can either "register" providing their e-mail address and choosing a nickname that will be theirs or post a comment using any nickname without registering it (therefore, theoretically there is a possibility for them to use multiple nicknames). For on-line consultations, registration is required for participants to submit comments (not to view them). 305 Privacy policy: http://www.policy.lv/index.php?id=100517⟨=en Editorial principals: http://www.policy.lv/index.php?id=100373⟨=en
10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	 For regular comments, Editors and Contents Administrator monitor the discussion occasionally, more so if the published material concerns controversial issues, such as rights of the homosexuals when violations of discussion rules occur regularly. Discussions are closely monitored only in the cases of on-line consultations, when the role of the moderator is to facilitate discussion threads, open up new ones and post messages received via e-mail. There is no public content rating mechanism, however, the CMS allows Administrator to monitor most popular resources/articles.
11. Accessibility of the tool	 Administration and CMS designed to be used through a web browser and not require technical skills (like html) Have not found any other information about accessibility
12. Language support	 Latvian. A very brief overview of the Latvian version is available in English, mostly lists of policy studies, select translations of original contents and original language publications in the event that e.g. an interview is conducted in English, or an overseas author has contributed in English. The English version does not have any interactive features
13. Channel	Website
availability 14. Technologies	Weekly email newsletter about updates to the site. Latvian site:
14. Technologies	 Main articles on which users can comment. Comments are not threaded. The comment box has a basic WYSIWYG³⁰⁶ editor (for adding bold, links and lists) Weekly polls Questionnaires Plus special tools for events (like "Try On A Party!" or on-line consultations)

 $^{^{\}rm 302}$ Except for interviews and discussion moderation, the editors rarely hire journalists. The authors – who are paid roughly the market price of a 37-dollar set fee per article – are "policy experts, academicians, university professors, NGO representatives, government representatives or parliamentarians." (Baumane personal communication, March 2004) Coleman and Kaposi p78 all actors participating in the public policy process -- parliamentarians, civil servants, NGOs and advocacy groups http://www.policy.lv/index.php?id=100276&lang=en Coleman and Kaposi p81

³⁰⁵ email from Krista Baumane

 $^{^{\}rm 306}$ "What you see is what you get"



15. Evaluation mechanisms	 Feedback surveys - general survey is conducted at least once a year to find out what users think about published pieces and what improvements they would like to see. Special projects, like election sections and the European Union special, have been evaluated in separate surveys, the last of which attracted up to 300 responses.³⁰⁷ monitoring user visits/revisits, server statistics etc references to Politika.lv in print media.
16. Further	Deep links to features on other websites: e.g. "Integration Monitor" from
examples	Latvian Centre for Human Rights -a daily press review in English on human rights, integration, language and ethnic issues. 308
17. Further	Coleman, S. and Kaposi, I. (2006); "New democracies, new media,
information	what's new? A study of e-participation projects in third-wave democracies" http://www.ega.ee/handbook/#_Toc132047448 (p73 in pdf) • PowerPoint presentation: Krista Baumane, (publisher of Politika) "e-
	democracy in Latvia: balancing the bottom-up and top-down approaches" Tallinn, 8 April 2005 http://www.ega.ee/public/KristaBaumane_eDem_in_Latvia.ppt

³⁰⁷ Coleman and Kaposi, p81308 http://www.humanrights.org.lv/html/monitor/index.html



23. Reconciling for the future online forum

1. Title	Reconciling for the future online forum
2. General	Have not found an online archive of the forum. URLs used have now been
description	usurped.
	Information about the project:
	http://www.cdsee.org/project_reconcilingforthefuture.html
	 Project to develop links and dialogue between people working in reconciliation and related fields in South East Europe. This included an online forum, plus a Youth forum, a database (of relevant people and organisations 309) and an offline conference. The online forum existed for only a short time to get input into the conference's agenda. 2 online chats were held – though these were not directly connected to the project. (with Oli Rehn and Erhard Busek) The technology was also used for a chat with Javier Solana in 2004 310
	Targeted at people in working in reconciliation in South East Europe ³¹¹ , young people and people working with young people.
3. Basis of initiative	Project run by CDRSEE (Center for De mocracy and Reconciliation in South East Europe) 312 CDRSEE also involved in Albanian-Serb Information Exchange Forum (kosovakosovo.com) above. An initiative of the Hellenic Presidency of the European Union and of the Stability Pact for Southeastern Europe 313. Supported by the Hellenic Ministry of Foreign Affairs 314, the Royal Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs 315, the Canadian Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade 316 and the Swedish Ministry of Foreign Affairs 317. Objectives (of Internet forum): "To start up a region-wide dialogue that will help refine the agenda of the "Reconciling for the Future" Workshop; To collect information of who is doing what in the field of reconciliation in order to start up a regional data-base that will keep record of such activities and projects and provide a mechanism for maximizing synergies and avoiding duplication; To identify visionary projects and local champions of the process and advocate them to potential donors; and To enhance a youth forum that will give a voice to the new generation to say how they want their countries and the region to look like in the future." 318 Project Timetable: 20 January 2003: Internet Forum debate. Starting up the Database 3-6 April 2003: Brainstorming Workshop April - June 2003: Completion of the Database. Establishing the follow-up activities

http://www.stabilitypact.org/pages/press/detail.asp?y=2003&p=5 Note that the URL on this page is no longer related to the project.

Secretary-General of the Council of the European Union and High Representative for the Common

Secretary-General of the Council of the European Union and High Representative for the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP). See press release for "Q&As with Javier Solana" February, 2004 http://www.cdsee.org/pdf/ask_solana_pr_en.pdf
 South East European countries: Albania, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Moldova,

³¹¹ South East European countries: Albania, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Moldova, Montenegro, Romania, Serbia and The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia http://www.stabilitypact.org/about/default.asp



4. Democracy Context	 Outcomes: The database is identified as being a success, but there is no up to date information about it³¹⁹ Have not found any archive of Internet forum Forum fed into Reconciling the Future, International Conference³²⁰/ Stability Pact Workshop in Thessaloniki³²¹ Covers area of political instability with recent history of conflict (including ethnic conflict)
5. Participation area	Information Provision, Community building
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	Peer to peer (mostly among NGOs but also youth groups) eCollaborating, eEmpowering
7. Stage in policy cycle	Not really related to policy, but designed to feed into workshop agenda (1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception]
8. Stakeholders	 NGOs, people and organisation working in the area Young people involved in the youth forum Leaders in the area International organisations involved (especially European organisations)
9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or end-user)	Have not found this information.
10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	Have not found this information.
11. Accessibility of the tool	Have not found this information.
12. Language support	It seems that the forum was in English
13. Channel availability	Have not found this information.
14. Technologies	 Forum is mentioned Online chat is mentioned A database of relevant information was an outcome (This provides information about networks and organisations of the SEE region.)
15. Evaluation mechanisms	Have not found this information.
16. Further examples	 Related initiatives: Other CDRSEE projects, including Albanian-Serb Information Exchange Forum (kosovakosovo.com) above. http://www.cdsee.org/projects.html "Reconciling for the Future, feature story" South East Europe TV (30th May, 2003) exchanges http://www.seetv-exchanges.com/transcripts/2003/2003-05-30.htm (relationship with

³¹² A non-profit http://www.cdsee.org/
313 http://www.stabilitypact.org/
314 http://www.ypex.gov.gr/www.mfa.gr/el-GR/
315 http://www.regjeringen.no/en/dep/ud.html?id=833
316 http://www.international.gc.ca/index.aspx
317 http://www.sweden.gov.se/sb/d/2059
318 http://www.cdsee.org/project_reconcilingforthefuture.html
319 http://www.cdsee.org/project_see_database_report.html
320 http://www.cdsee.org/project_st_html

http://www.cdsee.org/projects.html http://www.stabilitypact.org/pages/Press/detail.asp?y=2003&p=24



	project not clear)
17. Further information	 Press release for Stability Pact Workshop in Thessaloniki http://www.stabilitypact.org/pages/Press/detail.asp?y=2003&p=24 Project page on CDRSEE website http://www.cdsee.org/project_reconcilingforthefuture.html History and Reconciliation in Southeast Europe ACTIVITY BRIEF (History and Reconciliation in Southeast Europe USAID Regional Services Center) http://www.usaid.gov/locations/europe_eurasia/countries/rsc/activities/activity_cdrsee.pdf



24. Self-Sufficiency Task Force

1. Title	Self-Sufficiency Task Force
2. General	www.qnb.ca/2026
description	Forum archives: http://www.gnb.ca/2026/forumarchive-f.asp (French)
	http://www.gnb.ca/2026/forumarchive-e.asp (English)
	Bi-lingual (French/English) consultation, aiming to inform people of new
	Brunswick, stimulate debate and gather opinion.
	Online means of consultation used:
	Online Discussion Forum
	Briefs and comments submitted by e-mail, fax and mail (made available online if permission given)
	Online questionnaire (surveys to <i>measure</i> opinion with collated results)
	displayed)
	Online booking of private meetings with the Task Force Discourse Force Consumer 322 322
	Plus regional Focus Groups. 322
0.0.1.6	Area New Brunswick, Canada
3. Basis of	Consultation ran from January – end of March 2007
initiative	 Initiated by government "In January 2007, Premier Shawn Graham launched his Self-Sufficiency Agenda. Co-chairs Francis McGuire and Gilles Lepage have been tasked with developing a plan to take self-sufficiency from conception to reality. They will outline their views in a series of papers. They will ask the questions that need to be asked and list some options for New Brunswickers to consider. They will need to communicate – in person and online – with as many people as possible to gather their ideas and input. The final report will describe a new path for this province." 323 Purpose: To stimulate a debate about the future direction of the province; To inform New Brunswickers of the deeper issues affecting the province's capacity for growth; To analyze the public's appetite for change; To introduce the public to the wider ideas behind self-sufficiency, and
	To advise the Premier on a series of reforms to direct the Self-Sufficiency
	Agenda.
	Goals:
	 A better informed public able to understand the complex issues of productivity and economic growth;
	A mobilized business community willing to act quickly to improve productivity and retain workers;
	 The establishment of a group of third-party supporters willing to publicly endorse the individual steps of the Self-Sufficiency Agenda, and
	 A strong civil service ready to enact the premier's Self-Sufficiency Agenda.
	Part of a consultative process which included reports, focus groups and
	stakeholder meetings. 324
	Final report due in April 2007
4. Democracy Context	"To engage the public by soliciting ideas and initiating a conversation on the future strategic directions for the province." 325

 $^{^{322}}$ Except for the Focus Groups, which were arranged individually, all were accessed/arranged through the Public website.

³²³ http://www.gnb.ca/2026/index-e.asp

³²⁴ Press release January 2007 Office of the Premier / Self-Sufficiency Task Force: "Premier announces Self-Sufficiency Task Force" http://www.gnb.ca/cnb/news/sst/2007e0056tf.htm



5. Participation	Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative Environments,
area	Consultation, Deliberation
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	Top down Informing, eConsulting, eCollaborating
7. Stage in policy cycle	At the beginning of the policy process 1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception] 2) policy formulation
8. Stakeholders	 Government (elected representatives), especially Premier 2 co-chairs of the Task Force other Task Force staff (from within New Brunswick government) translators (for root comments and Task force reports) Experts (e.g. on economics) Citizens Businesses and community groups ex-pats (invited to take part in consultation) Other Canadian provinces?
9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or end-user)	 Contributors do not register, but in order to post a comment in the discussion they need to provide their email address and name 326 and spell out a word graphic as part of the comment form. The following text appears in red above the comment form: "All comments are reviewed by a site moderator prior to posting. Statements considered libelous, hateful or of a commercial nature will not be posted. Comments appear in the language received" (plus statements considered "defamatory") Html not allowed in comments. "The Task Force Co-chairs also contribute, by posting questions and/or clarifying issues." 327 The website has a comprehensive privacy statement 228 covering the questionnaires and the forum
10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	Comments are moderated by someone with administrative privileges: "Both softwares [forum and questionnaire] have an administrator role. Beyond this, there are no assignable roles or functions." ³²⁹ Comments are pre-moderated. No information about what happens to rejected comments or the proportion of these received.
11. Accessibility of the tool	 No specific information about accessibility. Contributors need to read a graphic and re-produce it as text to make a comment. This may be a barrier to blind people taking part.
12. Language support	 French and English – the software was specifically adapted to enable this e.g. navigation elements, instructions and questionnaires appear in your chosen language Root comments (added by the Task force) and Task Force reports (which form a basis for discussion) are available in both languages Other contributions (submitted briefs and comments) appear in the language in which they were written.
13. Channel availability 14. Technologies	 The forum is web-based Questionnaires, including a variety of ways to display the results ³³⁰. The
1 1 9 1 1	survey was built using Quask Software ³³¹ . This was the first time this

Email from Bonnie Buckingham Landry, Director of Web Services, Communications New Brunswick

326 There is a "remember me" option for the name and email address

327 From the website –page not currently live

http://www.gnb.ca/2026/privacy-e.asp Email from Bonnie Buckingham Landry, Director of Web Services, Communications New Brunswick



	 particular software was used for an online questionnaire. The forums: Root comments outline the topic. E.g. On the forum's index page are seven statements on realities facing New Brunswick. Each statement is accompanied by a link to the discussion in the form of the number of comments received on that statement. These comments are not threaded. French and English comments appear in the same thread. The forum seems to be archived by month. The Forum is based on software from DasBlog 332 The survey was build using Quask Software 333. This was the first time this particular software was used for this. 334 The forum is based on Open Source software 335
15. Evaluation mechanisms	 Questionnaires contain demographic data Contributors need to provide an email address to make a comment.
16. Further examples	Site is part of New Brunswick Government website http://www.gnb.ca/
17. Further information	 Results of consultation http://www.gnb.ca/2026/reports-e.asp Press release January 2007 Office of the Premier / Self-Sufficiency Task Force: "Premier announces Self-Sufficiency Task Force" http://www.gnb.ca/cnb/news/sst/2007e0056tf.htm A report on the consultation is due very soon (April 2007) Criticism of limit of process http://www.citizenspress.org/cpleft/node/70

http://www.gnb.ca/2026/survey/surveyResult1-e.asp

http://www.quask.com/ (not open source)

http://www.dasblog.info/ -open source application running on ASP.NET platform

http://www.quask.com/

http://www.quask.com/

http://www.dasblog.info/CategoryView.aspx?category=License



25. Seoul's Cyber Policy Forum

1. Title	Seoul's Cyber Policy Forum
2. General	http://forum.seoul.go.kr
description	 An online discussion forum on a different topic for each month. The forum is run by Seoul Metropolitan Government(SMG). Topics could be suggested by citizens and e-Democracy experts typically "hot" political issues affecting citizens' lives around the time of the discussions. Relevant materials are posted to guide citizens on topics, and expert opinions are posted to highlight the pros and cons of various issues. After the month-long discussions end, results are published on the web site. A few excellent discussions are given awards to encourage further discussions. The Seoul Metropolitan Government posts summaries of the discussion results which list citizen opinions that are reflected in policies. This allows citizens to see that their opinions are meaningfully used in the policy-making process. Moreover, online opinion polls are used if issues warrant more input. See "Citizens' Opinions Adopted in City Policies from Online Discussions" in "Seoul E-Government Cyber Policy Forum -Cyber Acropolis for All Citizens" 336 There is a separate Youth Cyber Forum – as young people may have a different policy agenda to adults. Area: Seoul, South Korea Seoul Metropolitan Government is the largest municipal government in
3. Basis of	Korea, administering services to 10 million citizens, Project initiated by Information Systems Planning Bureau (CIO) at Seoul
initiative	 Metropolitan Government in 2003 Objectives: To provide citizens with opportunities to understand policy issues. To encourage citizens' participation in public administration and to obtain feedback about policy issues. To reflect citizens' opinions in city policies and produce more tailored policy solutions for citizens. 337 Context:
	In February 2003, the Seoul Metropolitan Government launched a new program to invite discussion on policy issues, opportunities to understand public policy, and to facilitate discussions. Seoul had already operated many online innovations such as Citizen Online Bulletin Board, Submitting Citizens Suggestion online, Citizen Cyber Monitors, and Online Opinion Polls.
4. Democracy Context	 In 1993 first civilian president following 32 years of military rule. South Korea today is a fully functioning modern democracy. 338 "In 2003, Seoul ranked top among 100 large cities of the world in the egovernment assessment conducted by Rutgers University and sponsored by the UN. In "Political(Citizen) Participation" Index of the assessment, Seoul achieved the highest score, which means Seoul citizens already have sufficient opportunity to fully utilize the advantage of CPF. 339 The E-government of Korean central government was ranked 5th in the world in 2004 by the UN 440
5. Participation area	Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative Environments, Consultation, Spatial planning

³³⁶ Information System Planning Bureau, Seoul Metropolitan Government (2005) "Seoul E-Government Cyber Policy Forum -Cyber Acropolis for All Citizens" p13

³³⁷ Seoul e-Government Cyber Policy Forum -Cyber Acropolis for All Citizens- Project description unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/other/unpan022095.pdf p3

³³⁸ CIA World fact book https://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/geos/ks.html

Seoul e-Government Cyber Policy Forum -Cyber Acropolis for All Citizens- Project description unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/other/unpan022095.pdf
 http://www.unpan.org/egovernment4.asp



6. Direction of	essentially top down
communication/	eConsulting
level of	
participation	
7. Stage in	(1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception]
policy cycle	(2) policy formulation
8. Stakeholders	Seoul Metropolitan Government (everyone involved in policy formation)
	Those with a specific interest in e-government and e-democracy
	Adult citizens
	Young people
9. Rules of	The website is open to the public on a read-only basis.
engagement	Need to register to contribute
(owner/provider	Full name, Mailing address, Telephone number, etc.
and/or end-	Have not found translations of terms of use statements.
user)	
10. Moderation,	"No moderation is involved during the forum: Citizens would run the forum
facilitation,	on their own and the web manager would interfere only to control the vulgar
content-rating	languages etc. and maintain the website for security purposes" 341
	A special feature of Seoul's Cyber Policy Forum allows users to cast votes for and discussions. Citizens and their votes to contain policies. And their votes to contain a principle.
	good discussions. Citizens can add their votes to certain opinions, and then
11 Appropriedity	the most popular opinions rise to the top.
11. Accessibility of the tool	Do not have information about accessibility
12. Language	Forums only available in Korean
support	- Forums only available in Rolean
13. Channel	Web-based forum
availability	Available via email
14.	One topic per each month. Topics could be suggested by citizens and e-
Technologies	Democracy experts typically "hot" political issues affecting citizens' lives
	around the time of the discussions.
	Relevant materials are posted to guide citizens on topics
	expert opinions posted to highlight the pros and cons of various issues.
	After the month-long discussions end, results are published on the web site
	"In June, 2005, SMG added the "Real-time Discussion Forum" where
	intensive discussions are tossed and passed between relevant civil servants,
	citizens and experts[]The real-time forum is held for 1~3 days, and 2 fixed-
	hours a day." ³⁴²
15. Evaluation	 The forum is built on open source technology³⁴³ The forum includes a variety of mechanisms which could be used in
mechanisms	evaluations, including registration data and online surveys.
HICCHAINSINS	E-government Research Institute at the University of Seoul 344 conducted a
	survey of Seoul citizens between September 24 and October 6, 2003, to
	examine the satisfaction level of citizens regarding the Cyber Policy Forum.:
	Number of respondents: 3,289 Seoul citizens, including an offline survey of
	500 respondents and an online (e-mail) survey of 2,789 respondents. 345
16. Further	Related initiatives – Chan-Gon Kim (Vice Mayor, Guro District of Seoul) Ph.D.

³⁴¹ Email from Eunshin Lee, Representative of Int'l Cooperation, Information System Planning Bureau,

Seoul Metropolitan Government

342 Seoul e-Government Cyber Policy Forum -Cyber Acropolis for All Citizens- Project description unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/other/unpan022095.pdf

343 Email from Eunshin Lee

http://www.uos.ac.kr/ceng/eresins/a110/cea110.jsp 345 Chan-Gon Kim (2004); "*Seoul's Cyber Policy Forum*"; Case study prepared for Local e-Democracy National Project



examples	 Dissertation (Rutgers University-Newark, 2005) is titled "Public Administrators' Acceptance of The Practices of Digital Democracy: A Model Explaining The Utilization of Online Policy Forums In South Korea" and includes studies of the Cyber Policy Forum and related research and initiatives. Some of his research is summarised under the title "Four Stages of Digital Democracy" 346 There is also a good summary presentation from the "Joint Academic Exchange Workshop on Information Policy & e-Government Models"; Seoul, 2nd February 2007: http://egov.epfl.ch/UserFiles/File/Kim_Presentation.pdf
17. Further	Information System Planning Bureau, Seoul Metropolitan Government (2005) Construct Colors Bullion Formation (2005) Construct Colors Bullion Formation (2005) Construct Colors Bullion Formation (2005) Construct Colors Bullion (2005) Colors Bullion (2005) Construct Colors Bullion (2005) Colors Bullion (2005)
information	"Seoul E-Government Cyber Policy Forum -Cyber Acropolis for All Citizens" Seoul e-Government Cyber Policy Forum -Cyber Acropolis for All Citizens-
	Project description:
	http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/other/unpan022095. pdf
	Seoul e-government website: http://www.e-seoul.go.kr/
	Case study from ICELE ³⁴⁸
	Do-wire case study http://dowire.org/wiki/Seoul's_Online_Policy_Forum

³⁴⁶ E.g. his submission to the Do-wire list: http://www.dowire.org/notes/?p=317 And this wiki http://www.p2pfoundation.net/Four_States_of_Digital_Democracy 347 http://english.seoul.go.kr/government/ICSFiles/afieldfile/2005/03/07/Seoulpolicyforum.doc 348 http://www.icele.org/site/scripts/documents_info.php?documentID=426&pageNumber=9



26. Slashdot

1. Title	Slashdot
2. General description	 http://slashdot.org/ "News for nerds" Long standing community for people to share news and discuss technology, largely used by people interested or involved in software production –e.g. the Open Source community. Users create the content by publishing articles (stories) Comments are attached to articles (stories) similar to a blog format. Has evolved an innovative and influential process for users to rate content provided by each other Area: International (US-focus 349)
3. Basis of initiative	Slashdot was originally created in September of 1997 by Rob Malda. Today it is owned by OSTG ³⁵⁰ , which, in turn is owned by VA Software ³⁵¹ . The founders sold the project as it became too busy ³⁵³ for them to run. However they still run the project (Rob Malda and by Jeff Bates). They post stories and manage other sites for OSTG. The main objective was to provide a resource/framework for technology news (news of interest to "nerds"/ "geeks" ³⁵⁴). When the site became popular a method was needed for organising the (user-contributed) content and the moderation/ratings system was devised. ³⁵⁵ The website seems to be supported by adverts and subscriptions, though they also offer technology services/solutions.
4. Democracy Context	 International project. The moderation/ratings system has been described as a type of democracy. It has also been criticised as undemocratic. 356 It should also be noted that Slashdot's users represent very narrow demographics (e.g. they are mostly male)
5. Participation area6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative Environments, Polling Not an e-participation tool, but very much a peer to peer technology
7. Stage in policy cycle	Not applicable
8. Stakeholders	 Founders and other editorial staff People involved in creating the site (code) OSTG and VA Software Moderators (i.e. most regular users) Members with a subscription Registered members

They don't mind that its US-centric http://slashdot.org/faq/editorial.shtml#ed850

350 Open Source Technology Group http://www.ostg.com/

351 http://www.vasoftware.com/

352 Rob Malda aka "Cmdr Taco" and Jeff "Hernos" Bates http://slashdot.org/faq/slashmeta.shtml#sm100

353 Slashdot typically serves 80 million pages per month. We serve around 3 million pages on weekdays, and slightly less on weekends http://slashdot.org/faq/slashmeta.shtml#sm300

³⁵⁴ http://slashdot.org/about.shtml

³⁵⁵ http://slashdot.org/faq/com-mod.shtml#cm510

³⁵⁶ Poor, N. (2005). Mechanisms of an online public sphere: The website Slashdot. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 10(2), article 4. http://jcmc.indiana.edu/vol10/issue2/poor.html



	Readers who don't contribute
	advertisers
9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or end-user)	 Users need to create an account (register) to contribute. Required information: Nickname, email address, time zone, spell out a word graphic Guidelines for posting a comment (appear under the form): reminder to preview and check URLs Allowed HTML is listed <url:http: example.com=""></url:http:> will auto-link a URL "Important Stuff Please try to keep posts on topic. Try to reply to other people's comments instead of starting new threads. Read other people's messages before posting your own to avoid simply duplicating what has already been said. Use a clear subject that describes what your message is about. Offtopic, Inflammatory, Inappropriate, Illegal, or Offensive comments might be moderated. (You can read everything, even moderated posts, by adjusting your threshold on the User Preferences Page) Privacy and Terms of Service statements are on the OSTG website 357

³⁵⁷ http://www.ostg.com/privacy.htm http://www.ostg.com/terms.htm



10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	 Any regular user and account-holder who has their browser set to accept cookies may moderate. The moderation system is a way for users to rate content (stories and comments) to emphasise the good content.
	How does moderation work? "When moderators are given access, they are given a number of points of influence to play with. Each comment they moderate deducts a point. When they run out of points, they are done serving until next time it is their turn.
	Moderation takes place by selecting an adjective from a drop down list that appears next to comments containing descriptive words like "Flamebait" or "Informative." Bad words will reduce the comment's score by a single point, and good words increase a comment's score by a single point. All comments are scored on an absolute scale from -1 to 5. Logged-in users start at 1 (although this can vary from 0 to 2 based on their karma) and anonymous users start at 0.
	Moderators can not participate in the same discussion as both a moderator and a poster. This is to prevent abuses, and while it is one of the more controversial aspects of the system, I'm sticking to it. There are enough lurkers that moderate that, if you want to post, feel free.
	Moderation points expire after 3 days if they are left unused. You then go back into the pool and might someday be given access again.
	Concentrate more on promoting than on demoting. The real goal here is to find the juicy good stuff and let others read it. Do not promote personal agendas. Do not let your opinions factor in. Try to be impartial about this. Simply disagreeing with a comment is not a valid reason to mark it down. Likewise, agreeing with a comment is not a valid reason to mark it up. The goal here is to share ideas. To sift through the haystack and find needles. And to keep the children who like to spam Slashdot in check."
	 There is also a meta moderation system to manage the moderators³⁵⁹ A full description of the moderation system, including cumulative "karma" ratings is here: http://slashdot.org/faq/com-mod.shtml#cm510
11. Accessibility of the tool	 As Slashdot is Open Source technology, its code-base should have a high level of compliance. However, no specific claims are made Contributions which use malformed html (that would effect the display of the website) are the only contributions removed from the website ³⁶⁰ The website seems easy to use (though arguably that's not relevant to its users, who are technology-enthusiasts.) Contributors need to read a graphic and re-produce it as text to make a comment. This may be a barrier to blind people taking part.
12. Language support	 Slashdot.org is in English There are other (independent) sites in other languages e.g. Japanese³⁶¹ There are also independent sites that aim to mirror Slashdot, using translated content –e.g. in Spanish and Portuguese³⁶²
13. Channel availability	Slashdot is primarily web-basedRSS feeds are available

³⁵⁸ Malda http://slashdot.org/faq/com-mod.shtml#cm600 359 http://slashdot.org/faq/metamod.shtml 360 http://slashdot.org/faq/com-mod.shtml#cm200 361 http://slashdot.org/faq/editorial.shtml#ed850 http://slashdot.jp/ 362 http://slashdot.org/faq/editorial.shtml#ed860



	Various email newsletters (e.g. daily) and alerts (e.g. someone replies to your comment) available
14. Technologies	 Forum functions: Comments follow stories Each comment is accompanied by a rating (number) and a descriptive word (e.g. funny) They can be threaded and displayed in a variety of ways: "Flat mode displays all the comments in one gigantic list, without showing anything in the way of relationships between comments. Threaded shows a hierarchy of responses, with replies as links to new pages. Nested displays the same hierarchy of responses, but displays all of the comments. (This can be a bitch of a page to render on weaker platforms and in longer discussions.)" 363 Technology: Open source code known as Slash: http://slashdot.org/code.shtml Current hardware platform: http://slashdot.org/faq/tech.shtml#te050 There is a wiki to report and track bugs 364
15. Evaluation mechanisms	This is less important for a commercial website. The registration process includes very little demographic data. Arguable the content ratings process is a form of continual evaluation.
16. Further examples	 Websites based on Slashdot in other languages –see "Language Support" above Other OSTG sites http://www.ostg.com/
17. Further information	 http://slashdot.org/faq/ http://slashdot.org/code.shtml Poor, N. (2005). Mechanisms of an online public sphere: The website Slashdot. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 10(2), article 4. http://jcmc.indiana.edu/vol10/issue2/poor.html Overview of Slashdot's Content ratings system (p44-45) in Noveck, Beth Simone, "Designing Deliberative Democracy in Cyberspace: The Role of the Cyber-Lawyer". Journal of Science and Technology Law, Vol. 9, Winter 2003 http://www.nyls.edu/docs/noveck_article.pdf

 ³⁶³ http://slashdot.org/faq/com-mod.shtml#cm100
 364 http://sourceforge.net/tracker/?func=add&group_id=4421&atid=104421



27. Today I Decide (TOM)

1. Title	Today I Decide (TOM)
2. General	https://www.eesti.ee/tom/
description	Portal provided by the Estonian government which includes facility for Estonians to present proposals for legislation (TOM allows citizens to engage more directly with the legislative and policy-making processes either by proposing new legislation or by suggesting amendments to existing laws.) If a proposal receives
	sufficient support, it is discussed by the government (the proposed idea will be sent further to the relevant governmental institution: mainly ministries, but also local governments. State Chancellery has an intermediary role)
	5 stage process: (1) Citizen (any person) submits an idea
	(2) Discussion with the author - Others (registered users of TOM) have 14 days to comment on the idea. Author may not take part in commenting phase. (3) Editing period — the originator of the idea takes arguments into consideration amends as necessary (in 3 days).
	 (4) The idea is voted on —A simple majority endorses the idea. (6) The idea moves to the government for processing - directed to the public agency whose administrative area it belongs to. According to the Public Information Act, the public agency has one month to either start implementation or to submit a substantiated answer that explains why the idea / proposal does not merit implementation. The answers are published on the portal. 365
	This is described in a diagram on the website's Help page ³⁶⁶ .
	 Area: Estonia Target audience- citizens, but especially younger people. (There are no restrictions on who may use TOM. Anybody with access to Internet and desire to change things at all levels of governmental decision making can use the tool³⁶⁷)
3. Basis of initiative	 Initiated by the Estonian Government³⁶⁸ as part of a larger e-government project³⁶⁹. Coleman and Kaposi identify the site as being under the control of the State Chancellery, a department which includes the Prime Minister's Office. The State Chancellery owns the software for TOM, and is responsible for the portal's document management and development. Launched in June 2001
	 Launched in June 2001 The State Chancellery is currently developing a citizens' participation portal and consultation will be a part in that as well as the present day TOM (due 2008). Objective:
	 Increase citizen participation: "The administration was keen to solve the problem of political disengagement in Estonia. Particularly in the light of Estonia's NATO membership and recent referendum on EU membership, the government needed to find new ways of promoting public debate."
	 Especially among young people: "By 2001 Internet penetration in Estonia was almost 90 percent among people aged between 15 and 35, so an online portal was regarded as an effective way of engaging young people. While many in this age group were active in debates concerning social and political issues,

365 Ubaldi, B. (2006) "Täna Otsustan Mina = Today I Decide Website (TOM)" http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/unpan/unpan023590.pdf 366 https://www.eesti.ee/tom/help/

³⁶⁷ Nele Leosk Program Director, e-Governance Academy Foundation Estonia

³⁶⁸ http://www.valitsus.ee/

http://www.valitsus.ee/?lang=en

http://www.riik.ee/en/ Initial URL for e-government project.



their activities did not actively feed into traditional decision-making channels." 370

- Provide channel for citizens to interact with Government, where a response was guaranteed.
- In addition, TOM was designed to serve citizen-to-citizen communication (discussion/forum during commenting phase)
- Initially opportunity for citizens to comment on draft laws published by the Government : pilot phase only.

Development

- Based on document management system the Government already used and hardware (e.g. server) already in place.
- Project team looked into other contemporary online discussion sites, particularly from Scandinavia.³⁷¹
- The Prime Minister's Office held a naming competition for the new edemocracy site. The winning entry, Täna Otsustan Mina (Today I Decide) came from an employee in the press department.

Current status:

- In June 2006, the portal had 6646 registered users; 1807 ideas had been proposed through it, of which 622 had been sent to ministries for responding.
- "In practice, TOM has come to be used by individuals (loyal visitors), not interest groups; the portal lacks legal basis that would make it a serious tool for government agencies; the portal's real impact on the initiation of legislation and policy formulation cannot be assessed; and it fails to function as a public forum. In short, TOM reflects the views of its (loyal) visitors, not the public opinion. The portal does not currently function in accordance with its title "Today I Decide", but as "Today I Think" or "Today I Propose" (See Nele Leosk's comments on this assessment 374)
- Coleman and Kaposi express concern about low usage³⁷⁵

4. Democracy Context

"Estonia was admitted to NATO in March 2004, and it joined the European Union (EU) the same year. Following the rejection of the EU constitution by French and Dutch voters in May 2005, Estonian support for the constitution decreased. However, the Estonian government does not plan to hold a national referendum on the issue and will continue the process of ratification of the EU constitution. In September, the government approved the plan to adopt the euro by January 2007.

Estonia is considered the least corrupt country in the former Soviet Union.

³⁷⁰ Coleman and Kaposi, 2006 p101

³⁷¹ Coleman and Kaposi, 2006 p104

³⁷² Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications of Estonia (2007) "Information Technology in Public Administration of Estonia Yearbook, 2006"

http://www.riso.ee/en/pub/2006it/index.php?mn=41&prnt=39

³⁷³Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications of Estonia (ibid)

³⁷⁴ "I'd say it lacks high political support as, often times, turning the proposed ideas to a new legislation or in order to chance existing ones, a public official who gets the proposal in order to be considered for implementation, simply has not enough power. | Some proposals might be implemented later without being referred to etc. | There are not so many comments posted during commenting phase. There is no "real" or "active" forum. Still, the latest survey we carried out in our Academy proves that the idea presenters are satisfied with he quality of the comments and consider them useful. However, there are not so many of them. | Usually single persons present the ideas not interest groups etc. But, "hot" topics are always more debated and various ideas, views are presented) Nele Leosk Program Director, e-Governance Academy Foundation Estonia

³⁷⁵ "The number of active contributors to TOM remains small. When the portal first opened, there were days when between 10 and 20 proposals were posted. This dropped to on average just two or three per week and the number voting on proposals dropped to around 20, meaning that with only 11 votes a proposal can achieve the simple majority required for it to be referred to a ministry." However, this situation may have changed. Coleman and Kaposi, 2006 p106



	Corruption in the national government is low, although some concerns exist at the local level due to the lack of oversight mechanisms. Estonia is among the world's leaders in the use of e-government: an impressively transparent system makes government decisions almost instantaneously available on the internet, where Estonians may comment and exchange views. Estonia was ranked 27 out of 159 countries surveyed in Transparency International's 2005 Corruption Perceptions Index. The government respects freedom of speech and the press. Three national television stations, including two in private hands, broadcast both Estonian- and Russian-language programs.[] Dozens of independent newspapers and radio stations offer diverse viewpoints, and Estonia is one of the most internet-friendly countries in the world" 376
5. Participation area	Information Provision, Collaborative Environments, Consultation, Deliberation, Polling
6. Direction of communication/ level of participation	Top down, peer to peer, possibly ground-up eInforming, eConsulting, eCollaborating, eEmpowering
7. Stage in policy cycle	(1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception](2) policy formulation
8. Stakeholders	 Government Public agencies Citizens –including people proposing ideas, people commenting on each other's ideas, people coming to look for information or otherwise visiting the site on a read-only basis.
9. Rules of engagement (owner/provider and/or end- user)	 Everybody can follow TOM but just registered users can post ideas and comments and vote. Users can register using the Estonian ID-card³⁷⁷, which is also used for evoting, but this is not compulsory. Contributions appear on the website with some anonymity –i.e. a username (pseudonym) rather than a full name. "From the outset, the right of users to remain anonymous was regarded as an important principle of TOM and this offered protection for specialist experts or vulnerable citizens who wished to discuss issues without disclosing their identities." ³⁷⁸ For some months in 2005 (after State Chancellery developed and improved TOM), there was a requirement that only people who have registered with ID cards can vote (only these votes counted) but after criticism, that requirement was abolished). Terms, conditions or privacy statements only available in Estonian.
10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	Coleman and Kaposi describe a one-person editorial role, including moderation for the website, though this is based on information received in 2004: "a full-time system operator who supervises the portal, deletes submissions which breech the site rules and forwards approved proposals to relevant government department for review. When ministerial responses are received, the operator posts them on the portal. Such a workload can be managed by a person employed in another capacity at the State Chancellery" 379
11. Accessibility of the tool	 No specific claims about/problems with usability were found No evidence of special efforts to pass compliance tests (e.g. no doc type declaration, no WAI - or similar - compliance sign 380)

³⁷⁶ Freedom House http://www.freedomhouse.org/template.cfm?page=22&year=2006&country=6960
³⁷⁷ http://www.riso.ee/en/information-policy/projects/id-card

³⁷⁸ Coleman and Kaposi p104 –The registration process has changed since their case study was written, though contributors still have control over how their identity appears on the website.

³⁷⁹ Coleman and Kaposi p103 380 WAI: Web Accessibility Initiative http://www.w3.org/WAI/



12. Language	Website only available in Estonian
support	• Language laws restricting public information in other languages than Estonian.
	Ability to speak Estonian is necessary to become a citizen. Large Russian-
	speaking population in Estonia (due to previously being part of the former
	Soviet union). 381
13. Channel	Web-based with possibility for RSS feeds
availability	 Many e-mail alert functions are available: to get information about processing
availability	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14.	the presented idea etc
	• See General Description above
Technologies	Planned move to Open Source in 2008 ³⁸²
15. Evaluation	Registration and proposal process provides statistical and demographic data
mechanisms	• "In May 2006, the State Chancellery commissioned a survey on the use of ICT
	for the engagement of citizens in democratic decision-making processes by
	ministries and the Parliament. Methods used for the realisation of the survey
	included a comparative analysis of websites and an electronic questionnaire to
	the members of the Parliament. Results and conclusions of an analogous
	survey carried out in 2004 by the Centre of Policy Studies PRAXIS were used
	for comparison." 383
16. Further	"Up to 2004, there existed a legislative forum Themis, administered by the
examples	Estonian Law Centre and aimed at the facilitation of consultation and
'	participation. The experience received from the administration of the portal is
	planned to be taken into account in the development of the new engagement
	portal.
	 According to Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications of Estonia:
	Electronic consultation and participation tools only exist on the websites of the
	Parliament, the MoEAC and the MoSA. Despite the good availability of
	information, websites of other ministries still provide only limited opportunities
	for voicing one's opinion on draft laws or policy documents online. FAQ
	columns and gallups are rare, yet the most common among consultation
	tools. A few feedback forms, questionnaires, Questions and Answers pages,
	guestbooks, and a blog were also found on the websites of ministries and the
	Parliament." 384
	Nele Leosk: "all ministries provide at least some participation tools though not
	a variety of them (9 out of 11 have FAQ, 5 gallup etc.)[³⁸⁵] Still, only 3
	ministries have a special web for consultations: Ministry of Economic Affairs
	and Communications, Social Affairs and Environment)
	e-citizen and related projects ³⁸⁶
	e-Governance Academy ³⁸⁷
	• e-voting in National elections 388
17. Further	Ubaldi, B. (2006) "Täna Otsustan Mina = Today I Decide Website (TOM)"
information	http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/unpan/unpan023590.
	pdf
	Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications of Estonia (2007)
	"Information Technology in Public Administration of Estonia Yearbook, 2006"
	http://www.riso.ee/en/pub/2006it/index.php?mn=41&prnt=39
	RISO State Information System, Information Policy ³⁸⁹

³⁸¹ Freedom House http://www.freedomhouse.org/template.cfm?page=22&year=2006&country=6960 According to the US State Department, the Law on Language prohibits the use of any language other than Estonian on public signs, advertisements, and notices, including election posters.

³⁸² Nele Leosk, by email
383 Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications of Estonia (ibid)
384 Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications of Estonia (ibid)
385 For more information contact Ms Nele Leosk, Program Director, e-Governance Academy Foundation

http://www.riso.ee/en/information-policy/projects/e-citizen

³⁸⁷ http://www.ega.ee/

³⁸⁸ http://www.vvk.ee/engindex.html



- Coleman, S., Kaposi, I. (2006); "New democracies, new media, what's new? A study of e-participation projects in third-wave democracies" http://www.ega.ee/handbook/# Toc132047448 (p100 in pdf)
- Andre Krull (2003) "ICT Infrastructure and E-readiness Assessment Report: Estonia" http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/4627/5665
- Forthcoming: e-Governance Academy Foundation analysis carried out together with State Chancellery and European University Institute.

³⁸⁹ http://www.riso.ee/en/information-policy



28. V@W - International Virtual Workshop

1. Title	V@W -International Virtual Workshop
2. General	http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/
description	International Virtual Workshop:
	Bilingual 4 week online event (March 2007)
	in Portuguese and English with "gist translations" of every post (mostly
	done by humans)
	Supporting information available in both languages.
	Discussion structured over 4 weeks, including weekly summaries
	Inclusion of "Guest Speakers"
	Target –People working against social exclusion/with an interest in social inclusion
	Area - The majority of participants at the Workshop came from Brazil and
	other Portuguese speaking countries. 390
3. Basis of	A follow-up to the World Conference "Social Protection and Inclusion:
initiative	converging efforts from a global perspective", Lisbon 2 - 3 October 2006 ³⁹¹
	Organised by the International Labour Organisation's STEP Programme ³⁹² , and the TECFA Unit ³⁹³ of the University of Geneva.
	Supported by the Portuguese Government (STEP Portugal project) and
	sponsored by Geneva International Academic Network GIAN ³⁹⁴
	Themes:
	Modernising social assistance and improving access to social services to promote social inclusion.
	Integrating economic and social approaches to combat social exclusion at the local level.
	Objectives:
	 Continue conversations from "World Conference" on above themes Inform the new version of the Learning and Resources Centre on Social Inclusion (CIARIS ³⁹⁵).
	Ran from 5 th to 31 st March 2007
	Registrants include people from Albania, Cap Vert, France, Guinea Bissau, India, Mozambique, Netherlands, Philippines, Portugal, Uganda, UK, Ireland, and Spain
	Over 200 people registered and around 2/3 of these logged in
	 "The scope of discussions was extensive, encompassing both conceptual
	and practical dimensions, and often linking the two. In fact, establishing
	good bridges from concepts to practice and back proved to be the core
	challenge addressed via the Virtual Workshop and the subject of concern
	of some participants. We found that asynchronous discussions allowed
	time to reflect and then write."396
4. Democracy	International conference
Context	
5. Participation	Community building / Collaborative Environments, Deliberation
area	

http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=taxonomy/term/34
 http://www.psi-conflisboa.com/portal/index.php?lang=EN
 also http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=node/77 for background to the Virtual

³⁹² http://www.ilo.org/public/english/protection/socsec/step/

³⁹³ http://tecfa.unige.ch/

http://www.ruig-gian.org/

³⁹⁵ http://www.ilo.org/ciaris/

³⁹⁶ http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=node/164



6. Direction of	Peer to peer
communication/	eCollaborating, eEmpowering
level of	
participation	
7. Stage in policy	(policy of organisations involved)
cycle	(1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception]
	(2) policy formulation
	(4) policy implementation
	(5) policy evaluation.
8. Stakeholders	Organisers, the Support Team ³⁹⁷
	Those involved in translation
	Those involved in facilitation –including summarising threads. 398
	Registered participants (contributors and readers)
	Visitors accessing the workshop on a read-only basis
	Those involved with CIARIS ³⁹⁹ , especially those involved in transferring
	information from the workshop to the database
	Special guests ⁴⁰⁰ (give presentations: discussion follows)
	Organisations who benefit from staff input from the workshop
9. Rules of	The V@W site is public. The discussion forums are available on a read
engagement	only basis. Contributors' email addresses are not shown to visitors.
(owner/provider	Need to register to contribute and see information about other registered
and/or end-user)	participants. Being registered to the V@W allows:
	 the participants to recognize the authors of the messages posted in the forums;
	 to each participant to be contacted individually;
	o to all the participants to edit or delete their contributions.
	"Each registered participant has a personal profile available on the site.
	The information available on the personal profiles has been provided by
	the participants. The participants may change their personal information
	if they wish, however, making public the name and email address in the
	V@W site is a condition to participate. Participants who do not want to
	make public this information should request the organizers to cancel their
	registration to the V@W." Terms of use ⁴⁰¹ (also includes privacy
	statement and information about translation of posts)

http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=node/39
http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=node/39
In practice the support team seem to facilitate and translate. They are referred to as "animators"
http://www.ilo.org/ciaris/
http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=node/30
http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/ciarisworkshop/html/terms-of-use.html



10. Moderation, facilitation, content-rating	 Post-moderation: "The V@W Discussion Forums are facilitated "a posteriori" this means that all the messages are read by the organizers after being posted by their authors. The organizers reserve the right to edit or delete any message for any reason whatsoever within a reasonable time frame. The facilitators will ensure that participant's messages contribute to the discussion subjects and will manipulate messages to allow the good organization of the discussions. Participants will be informed on any change concerning their messages." 402 In practice, this seems to mean that the facilitators can move comments from one thread to another
11. Accessibility of the tool	 The website does not include an accessibility statement, though the Drupal software used does: Drupal is Section 508 and WCAG Priority 1, 2, 3 compliant. 403 It includes clear and comprehensive instructions for use in the V@W Help Guide 404. This includes screenshots and the option to post a comment within the guide to request more information. "In this way, the other participants will also benefit from the answers." There is also a print-friendly version of the website.
12. Language support	 The tool can be used in Portuguese or English – the navigation and all supporting materials are available in either language. The Team provide gist translations of each post, unless the contributor has already done so (except in the café) Both the original and the translation are shown. "It is human translation for the most part. Those of us less adept have been cheating a bit with Babelfish and Google translator, but we have a brilliant colleague in Portugal who checks the site 3-4 times a day and does these shorter translations. The "gists" are bullet points, inserted into the post in a different color that leave out a lot of the social wrappings ("Dear colleagues, etc."). Participation has been pretty light, so the burden has not been so much. If it was really active, it would be much harder. We have two others on standby. All the conference pre-reading was translated as well and we have navigation in both languages and the library is pretty much fully in both languages. These materials will be an ongoing resource after the event." 405 The system used was Drupal. This runs on English by default, but software can be downloaded to translate the interface. Available languages include Albanian, Serbian and Greek. 406
13. Channel availability	Web-based 2 Newsletters per week distributed by email Comments can be received (in real time) but not contributed by email RSS feed available
14. Technologies	 Agenda –a work program for each week Supporting materials (library) Forums 407: Two thematic discussion forums Introductions area for participants to introduce themselves

http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/ciarisworkshop/html/terms-of-use.html
http://drupal.org/node/44661
http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=node/4
http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=node/4
Email from Nancy White, Lead Workshop Facilitator, Full Circle Associates
http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=node/39
http://drupal.org/project/Translations
http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=node/5



	 Online café dedicated to free interactions and exchanges on subjects that may not be directly related to the main themes. Special guests' discussion forums that are weekly events 408. Within each theme, discussions are organised by topic. (Contributors may suggest a new topic) Forum is threaded. Contributors can post a top level comment (including giving it a title) or a reply Users can control the way the comments are displayed (e.g. expand or collapse threads) Documents may be uploaded and attached to comments Open Source technology The workshop is hosted on Tecfaseed 409. This is one of the Tecfa Unit servers. The workshop runs on Drupal 410. Drupal is open source software licensed under the GPL, and is maintained and developed by a community of thousands of users and developers. Drupal is free to download and use. 411 Customising: The organisers spent a lot of time defining the needs for the workshop and adjusting the different "modules" to fit their needs.
15. Evaluation mechanisms	 Demographic data from registration Organisers have participants' email addresses which could be used for evaluation Participants were asked to complete an evaluation survey. This will contribute to a final report.
16. Further examples	 See TECFA Portal⁴¹² See Basis of initiative (above) for organisations related to the workshop Full Circle Associates (communications consultants involved in online communities, e.g. through organisation workshops on online facilitation) were involved through Nancy White⁴¹³
17. Further information	A report is being compiled.

See forums: http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/door/index.php?module=pnForum http://www.fullcirc.com/

 $^{^{408}}$ See agenda http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/users/frete/ciarisworkshop/?q=node/30 409 TECFA is an academic unit in the field of educational technology, School of Psychology and Education, University of Geneva. Tecfa Community Portal bilingual (English, French) centre for exchange and collaboration.: http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/door/ Presumably the software was adapted to support Portuguese. This seems to host a large number of groups/forums. 410 http://drupal.org/

⁴¹¹ http://drupal.org/about

⁴¹² http://tecfaseed.unige.ch/door/.



29. Zeno (Dito 2)

1. Title	Zeno (Dito 2)
1. Title 2. General description	 http://zeno8.ais.fraunhofer.de/zeno/ Discourse support system/groupware/platform for goal-oriented moderated online discussion Tools to manage users/groups who participate and content (created and used in the discourse) Tools-set includes argument-mapping, content management, discussion forums, surveys and integration with Geographical Information Systems. The Zeno kernel is a Java library for building groupware systems for the Web. The library provides facilities for content management, user administration, as well as an email interface and notification services.
3. Basis of initiative	 Target: Any group that needs to deliberate and make decisions The first version of Zeno was developed as part of the European GeoMed project, which integrated Zeno with a Geographical Information Systems so as to enable citizens to discuss city plans on the Web. GeoMed was a joint European project funded in the Telematics Applications Programme as IE 2037 D13. (1996 – 99)⁴¹⁵
	 Objectives Initially designed to support online mediation of discussions about political and planning issues. An early e-democracy initiative – designed to use the Internet to involve more people, more fully in democratic decision-making, giving citizens an effective voice⁴¹⁶ History: The City of Esslingen used Zeno to support public discussion on re-zoning
	 land in 2001 417 Used as the foundation of the DEMOS 418 system. (See DEMOS case study above) Used by Zebralog in 2003 for an eParticipation project in Berlin, about renovating the Alexadenerplatz square.
4. Democracy Context	Zeno has especially been used in planning and integrated with GIS systems for this purpose.
5. Participation area	Information Provision, Community building / Collaborative Environments, Consultation, Deliberation, Discourse, Mediation, Spatial planning, Polling

⁴¹⁴ https://developer.berlios.de/projects/zeno/

⁴¹⁵ Development -Partners: the German National Research Center for Information Technology (GMD), TNO-FEL and TNO-Bouw (the Netherlands), VUB (Belgium), Intecs Systemi (Italy), and Intrasoft (Greece)

User-partners: the City of Bonn (Germany), the City of Tilburg (the Netherlands), the Region of Tuscany (Italy), and the Technical Chamber of Greece.

In Barbara Schmidt-Belz, Thomas F. Gordon and Hans Voss (1999) "*Urban Planning with GeoMed - First User Experiences 1*" Eurocities, 4th European Digital Cities Conference. Salzburg, 1999. 135-38. http://www.tfgordon.de/publications/SchmidtBelz1999a.pdf

⁴¹⁶ Gordon, Thomas F., and Gernot Richter. (2002) "Discourse Support Systems for Deliberative Democracy" in "eGovernment: State of the Art and Perspectives (EGOV)". Eds. Roland Traunmüller and Klaus Lenk. Aix-en-Provence: Springer Verlag, 2002. 248-55.

http://www.tfgordon.de/publications/Gordon2002a.pdf

⁴¹⁷ Märker, Oliver, Hans Hagedorn, Matthias Trénel and Thomas F. Gordon. 2002b. "Internet-based Citizen Participation in the City of Esslingen. Relevance - Moderation - Software." Pp. 39-45 in CORP 2002 - "Who plans Europe's future?" edited by M. Schrenk. Wien: Selbstverlag des Instituts für EDV-gestützte Methoden in Architektur und Raumplanung der Technischen Universität Wien. ⁴¹⁸ http://demos-project.org/index.html



	1.
6. Direction of	Government to citizen, Citizen to citizen, citizen to government
communication/	eInforming, eConsulting, eCollaborating
level of	
participation	
7. Stage in policy	Can be used at any stage, but especially useful for early stages
cycle	(1) agenda setting [includes awareness and problem perception]
	(2) policy formulation
	(3) decision-making
	(5) policy evaluation.
8. Stakeholders	Users are divided into roles:
o. Stakeriolders	1) readers (browse the document and follow the dialog)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	 2) writer (write parts of the document or actively participate in the dialog) 3) moderators (edit the document or moderate the discussion) 419
	administrator (create user communities, select editor of a user community)
	For a specific initiative, other stakeholders would be the instigators and
0.01.6	those affected by any outcomes (e.g. a City council)
9. Rules of	Zeno includes a directory service for managing users and groups of
engagement	users. The directory maintains passwords, contact information, in
(owner/provider	particular email addresses, and user preferences. Directories are
and/or end-user)	managed by "administrators" and are specific to the community using the
	system in that initiative. 420
	 Access rights are controlled in Zeno by assigning the roles of reader,
	author or moderator to users and groups for each journal.
	The rights of each role are fixed by the Zeno system. They cannot be
	redefined by users.
	Moderators have the most rights; with few exceptions they may do
	anything which can be done with a journal and its contents.
10. Moderation,	Moderators are given extensive tools to manage the discussion.
facilitation,	These include:
content-rating	 moving, copying, deleting, publishing and un-publishing articles, opening
	and closing topics, ranking or ordering articles and journals, and labelling
	articles and links to build conceptual graphs and visualize relationships.
	 Automatic link management helps moderators to preserve the referential
	structure when they restructure the content of a discourse.
	, and the second
	A form of active moderation/facilitation is encouraged, including
	structuring and focusing the discussion, assuring lively debate,
	encouraging and developing argumentation, encouraging feedback ⁴²¹
	Moderators may contact contributors via email to ask them to reply to
	certain statements.
11. Accessibility of	No specific information found about accessibility
the tool	
12. Language	German or English
support	
13. Channel	Web (HTTP), Email (SMTP), News (NNTP), Weblog (RSS)
availability	Journals may be subscribed to for delivery by email. Articles may be
	submitted via email. And email can be used for contact and feedback
14. Technologies	Open Source groupware application, written in java
1 1. 1 connologics	 Extensible, object-oriented system architecture
	 Extensible, object-oriented system architecture Easily customisable user interfaces, using the Velocity template engine 422
	and Cascading Style Sheets (CSS) ⁴²³
	and Cascading Style Sheets (CSS)

⁴¹⁹ A more detailed description of these roles is given in Gordon and Richter, 2002

http://www.tfgordon.de/publications/Gordon2002a.pdf p3

420 Actually directories may have sub directories and permissions are inherited

421 Detailed descriptions of the moderators' roles are given in Märker, Hagedorn, Trénel and Gordon, 2002

http://www.ais.fraunhofer.de/~maerker/paper/CaseStudyEsslingen.pdf p6



	 Is available for download under GNU Lesser General Public License (LGPL)⁴²⁴ Data model: 1) Journals - container-like objects, that can be used for many purposes, including shared workspaces, discussion forums, collaborative editing environments. Journals as discussion forums can be either threaded or linear. 2) Articles - are similar to email messages, support attachments. Contributions to a discourse are stored as articles. 3) Topics - thematic collections of articles (Topics and articles are contained in journals) Journals, articles and topics - collectively known as Zeno resources, form a hierarchy of the content. Typed links allow resources to be connected, which results in graph of resources. Moderators can move resources around this hierarchy. Links are automatically managed Attributes describe the properties of resources, attachments and links which are relevant to the system or to the users. These attributes include those fixed by the system (e.g. the date a piece of content is created) and those designed by users for their own purposes.
15. Evaluation mechanisms	This would depend on the way the tool was set up –e.g. how the registration process was configured. Most configuration seem to have included storing participants' email addresses. These can be used for follow-up questionnaires.
16. Further examples	See "Basis of Initiative" above for previous uses of the system
17. Further information	 http://www.tfgordon.de/papers/papers.html Gordon, Thomas F., and Gernot Richter (2002) "Discourse Support Systems for Deliberative Democracy" in "eGovernment: State of the Art and Perspectives (EGOV)". Eds. Roland Traunmüller and Klaus Lenk. Aixen-Provence: Springer Verlag, 2002. 248-55. http://www.tfgordon.de/publications/Gordon2002a.pdf Barbara Schmidt-Belz, Thomas F. Gordon and Hans Voss (1999) "Urban Planning with GeoMed - First User Experiences 1" Eurocities, 4th European Digital Cities Conference. Salzburg, 1999. 135-38. http://www.tfgordon.de/publications/SchmidtBelz1999a.pdf Dito User Manual (2003) http://dito.esslingen.de/zeno/forum/dito-usermanual.pdf Additional clarification for this case study provided by Tom Gordon, Fraunhofer Fokus

http://velocity.apache.org/
423 Gordon and Richter, 2002 http://www.tfgordon.de/publications/Gordon2002a.pdf
424 https://developer.berlios.de/projects/zeno/
425 Gordon and Richter, 2002 http://www.tfgordon.de/publications/Gordon2002a.pdf p5

⁴²⁶ http://www.fokus.fraunhofer.de/home/